INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES FOR MAXIMAL SPACE-LIKE SUBMANIFOLDS IN THE INDEFINITE SPACE FORM

Liu Ximin

Abstract. In this note, we give two intrinsic integral inequalities for compact maximal space-like submanifolds in the indefinite space form and a sufficent and necessary condition for such submanifolds to be totally geodesic.

1. Introduction

Let $M_p^{n+p}(c)$ be an (n+p)-dimensional connected semi-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature c whose index is p. It is called an indefinite space form of index p and simply a space form when p = 0. If c > 0, we call it a de Sitter space of index p. Let M^n be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold immersed in $M_p^{n+p}(c)$. As the semi-Riemannian metric of $M_p^{n+p}(c)$ induces the Riemannian metric of $M_p^{n+p}(c)$ M^n is called a space-like submanifold. A space-like submanifold with vanishing mean curvature is called a maximal space-like submanifold. Kobayashi [5] gave the Weierstrass-Enneper representation formulas for maximal space-like surfaces in 3-dimensional Minkowski space and exhibited various examples. In particular, he determined the maximal space-like surfaces which are rotation surfaces or ruled surfaces. Montiel [6] gave an integral inequality for compact space-like hypersurfaces in a de Sitter space and by use of this integral inequality, he studied the constant mean curvature space-like hypersurfaces. Also, Akutagawa [1] and Ramanathan [8] investigated space-like hypersurfaces in a de Sitter space and proved independently that a complete space-like hypersurface in a de Sitter space with constant mean curvature is totally umbilical if the mean curvature H satisfies $H^2 \leq c$ when n=2and $n^2H^2 < 4(n-1)c$ when $n \ge 3$. Later, Cheng [3] generalized this result to general submanifolds in a de Sitter space.

In this paper, we study compact maximal space-like submanifolds in the indefinite space form with flat normal bundle and obtain two intrinsic integral inequalities for such submanifolds. We also give a sufficent and necessary condition for such submanifolds to be totally geodesic. We will prove the following

AMS Subject Classification: 53 C 40, 53 C 42, 53 C 50.

 $Keywords\ and\ phrases:\ {\it Maximal\ space-like\ submanifold,\ indefinite\ space\ form,\ flat\ normal\ bundle.}$

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China

54 Liu Ximin

Theorem 1. Let M^n be an n-dimensional compact maximal space-like submanifold in $M_p^{n+p}(c)$ with flat normal bundle. Then

$$\int_{M^n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum R_{mijk}^2 + \sum R_{mj}^2 - ncR \right\} * 1 \le 0.$$

Theorem 2. Let M^n be an n-dimensional compact maximal space-like submanifold in $M_n^{n+p}(c)$ with flat normal bundle. Then

$$\int_{M_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mijk} R_{mijk}^2 + \frac{1}{n} S^2 + (n-2)cS - n(n-1)c^2 \right\} * 1 \le 0.$$

Theorem 3. Let M^n be an n-dimensional compact maximal space-like submanifold in $M_p^{n+p}(c)$ with flat normal bundle. Then M^n is totally geodesic if and only if

$$\int_{M^n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mijk} R_{mijk}^2 + (n-2)cS - n(n-1)c^2 \right\} * 1 = 0.$$

In the above theorems, $\sum R_{mijk}^2$ is the square length of the Riemannian curvature tensor, $\sum R_{mj}^2$ the square length of the Ricci curvature tensor, S the square length of the second fundamental form, R the scalar curvature. All these are intrinsic properties of M^n .

2. Preliminaries

Let $M_p^{n+p}(c)$ be an (n+p)-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold of constant curvature c whose index is p. Let M^n be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold immersed in $M_p^{n+p}(c)$. We choose a local field of semi-Riemannian orthonormal frames e_1, \ldots, e_{n+p} in $M_p^{n+p}(c)$ such that at each point of M^n, e_1, \ldots, e_n span the tangent space of M^n and form an orthonormal frame there. We use the following convention on the range of indices:

$$1 \le A, B, C, \ldots \le n + p;$$
 $1 \le i, j, k, \ldots \le n;$ $n + 1 \le \alpha, \beta, \gamma \le n + p.$

Let $\omega_1,\ldots,\omega_{n+p}$ be its dual frame field so that the semi-Riemannian metric of $M_p^{n+p}(c)$ is given by $d\bar{s}^2=\sum_i\omega_i^2-\sum_\alpha\omega_\alpha^2=\sum_A\epsilon_A\omega_A^2$, where $\epsilon_i=1$ and $\epsilon_\alpha=-1$. Then the structure equations of $M_p^{n+p}(c)$ are given by

$$\begin{split} d\omega_A &= \sum_B \epsilon_B \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0, \\ d\omega_{AB} &= \sum_C \epsilon_C \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{C,D} K_{ABCD} \omega_C \wedge \omega_D, \\ K_{ABCD} &= c \, \epsilon_A \epsilon_B (\delta_{AC} \delta_{BD} - \delta_{AD} \delta_{BC}). \end{split}$$

Restricting these forms to M^n , we obtain $\omega_{\alpha}=0, n+1\leq \alpha\leq n+p$, and the Riemannian metric of M^n is written as $ds^2=\sum_i\omega_i^2$. From Cartan's lemma we can write

$$\omega_{\alpha i} = \sum_{j} h_{ij}^{\alpha} \omega_{j}, \quad h_{ij}^{\alpha} = h_{ji}^{\alpha}.$$

From these formulas, we obtain the structure equations of M^n :

$$d\omega_{i} = \sum_{j} \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_{j}, \quad \omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0,$$

$$d\omega_{ij} = \sum_{k} \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} K_{ijkl} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l},$$

$$R_{ijkl} = c(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) - \sum_{\alpha} (h_{ik}^{\alpha} h_{jl}^{\alpha} - h_{il}^{\alpha} h_{jk}^{\alpha}), \tag{1}$$

where R_{ijkl} are the components of the curvature tensor of M^n .

For details on indefinite Riemannian manifolds we refer to O'Neill [7].

We call

$$h = \sum_{\alpha} h_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} h_{ij}^{\alpha} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_{\alpha}$$

the second fundamental form of M^n and the square length of the second fundamental form is defined by

$$S = \sum_{\alpha} tr(h_{\alpha})^2 = \sum_{\alpha, i, j} (h_{ij}^{\alpha})^2.$$

The mean curvature vector N of M^n is defined by

$$N = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha} tr(h_{\alpha}) e_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha} (\sum_{i} h_{ii}^{\alpha}) e_{\alpha},$$

and it is well known that N is independent of the choice of unit normal vectors e_{n+1}, \ldots, e_{n+p} to M^n . The length of the mean curvature vector is called the mean curvature of M^n , denoted by H.

If M^n is maximal, then

$$\sum_{i} h_{ii}^{\alpha} = 0, \quad \alpha = n+1, \cdots, n+p.$$
 (2)

Define the first and the second covariant derivatives of $\{h_{ij}^{\alpha}\}$, say $\{h_{ijk}^{\alpha}\}$ and $\{h_{ijkl}^{\alpha}\}$, by

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k}h_{ijk}^{\alpha}\omega_{k}=dh_{ij}^{\alpha}+\sum_{k}h_{kj}^{\alpha}\omega_{ki}+\sum_{k}h_{ik}^{\alpha}\omega_{kj}+\sum_{\beta}h_{ij}^{\beta}\omega_{\beta\alpha},\\ &\sum_{l}h_{ijkl}^{\alpha}\omega_{l}=dh_{ijk}^{\alpha}+\sum_{m}h_{mjk}^{\alpha}\omega_{mi}+\sum_{m}h_{imk}^{\alpha}\omega_{mj}+\sum_{m}h_{ijm}^{\alpha}\omega_{mk}+\sum_{\beta}h_{ijk}^{\beta}\omega_{\beta\alpha}. \end{split}$$

Then we have

$$h_{ijk}^{\alpha} = h_{ikj}^{\alpha},\tag{3}$$

$$h_{ijkl}^{\alpha} - h_{ijlk}^{\alpha} = \sum_{m} h_{im}^{\alpha} R_{mjkl} + \sum_{m} h_{jm}^{\alpha} R_{mikl} + \sum_{g} h_{ij}^{\beta} R_{\alpha\beta kl}, \tag{4}$$

where $R_{\alpha\beta kl}$ are the components of the normal curvature tensor of M^n , that is

$$R_{\alpha\beta kl} = \sum_{i} (h_{ik}^{\alpha} h_{il}^{\beta} - h_{il}^{\alpha} h_{ik}^{\beta}).$$

56 Liu Ximin

If $R_{\alpha\beta kl} = 0$ at a point x of M^n we say that the normal connection of M^n is flat at x, and it is well known [2] that $R_{\alpha\beta kl} = 0$ at x if and only if h_{α} are simultaneously diagonalizable at x.

The Laplacian Δh_{ij}^{α} of the fundamental form h_{ij}^{α} is defined to be $\sum_{k} h_{ijkk}^{\alpha}$, and hence, if M^{n} has flat normal bundle, from (3) and (4) we have

$$\Delta h_{ij}^{\alpha} = \sum_{k} (h_{ijkk}^{\alpha} - h_{ikjk}^{\alpha}) + \sum_{k} (h_{ikjk}^{\alpha} - h_{ikkj}^{\alpha}) + \sum_{k} (h_{ikkj}^{\alpha} - h_{kkij}^{\alpha})
= \sum_{m,k} h_{im}^{\alpha} R_{mkjk} + \sum_{m,k} h_{mk}^{\alpha} R_{mijk}$$
(5)

3. Proofs of the Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. From (1), (2) and (5), we have

$$\begin{split} \sum h_{ij}^{\alpha} \Delta h_{ij}^{\alpha} &= \sum h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{mk}^{\alpha} R_{mijk} + \sum h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{im}^{\alpha} R_{mkjk} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum (h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{mk}^{\alpha} - h_{mj}^{\alpha} h_{ik}^{\alpha}) R_{mijk} + \sum (h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{im}^{\alpha} - h_{ii}^{\alpha} h_{jm}^{\alpha}) R_{mj} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum [c(\delta_{ij} \delta_{mk} - \delta_{mj} \delta_{ik}) - R_{imjk}] R_{mijk} \\ &\quad + \sum [c(\delta_{ij} \delta_{im} - \delta_{ii} \delta_{jm}) + R_{ijim}] R_{mj} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum R_{mijk}^{2} + \sum R_{mj}^{2} - ncR. \end{split}$$

Since $\int_{M^n} \left\{ \sum_{i,j} h_{i,j}^{\alpha} \Delta h_{i,j}^{\alpha} \right\} * 1 \leq 0$, we have

$$\int_{Mn} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum R_{mijk}^2 + \sum R_{mj}^2 - ncR \right\} * 1 \le 0.$$
 (6)

Theorem 1 is proved. ■

In order to prove Theorem 2, we need the following algebraic lemma

LEMMA. Let a_1, \ldots, a_n be real numbers. Then

$$\sum (a_i)^2 \ge \frac{1}{n} (\sum a_i)^2, \tag{7}$$

and the equality holds if and only if $a_1 = \cdots = a_n$.

In fact,

$$n\sum_{i}(a_{i})^{2} - (\sum_{i}a_{i})^{2} = \sum_{i}(a_{i} - a_{j})^{2},$$
(8)

and the Lemma follows immediately from (8).

Proof of Theorem 2. From (1), we have

$$R_{mj} = (n-1)c\delta_{mj} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{mi}^{\alpha} h_{ij}^{\alpha}$$
, and $R = n(n-1)c + S$.

Since M^n has flat normal bundle, we can diagonalize the second fundamental form simultaneously so that $h_{ij}^{\alpha} = \lambda_i^{\alpha} \delta_{ij}$, and then from (7), we have

$$R_{mj} = (n-1)c\delta_{mj} + \sum (\lambda_j^{\alpha})^2 \delta_{mj},$$

$$\sum R_{mj}^2 = n(n-1)^2 c^2 + 2(n-1)cS + \sum (\lambda_j^{\alpha})^4$$

$$\geq n(n-1)^2 c^2 + 2(n-1)cS + \frac{1}{n} \{\sum (\lambda_j^{\alpha})^2\}^2$$

$$= n(n-1)^2 c^2 + 2(n-1)cS + \frac{1}{n}S^2.$$

Therefore, from (6) we have

$$\int_{M^n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mijk} R_{mijk}^2 + \frac{1}{n} S^2 + (n-2)cS - n(n-1)c^2 \right\} * 1 \le 0.$$
 (9)

Theorem 2 is proved. ■

Proof of Theorem 3. From (9) we have

$$\int_{M^n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{mijk} R_{mijk}^2 + (n-2)cS - n(n-1)c^2 \right\} * 1 \le 0.$$
 (10)

If M^n is totally geodesic, i.e., $S=0, \; h_{ij}^{\alpha}=0$, then from (1) we have

$$R_{mijk} = c(\delta_{mj}\delta_{ik} - \delta_{mk}\delta_{ij}), \quad \sum R_{mijk}^2 = 2n(n-1)c^2,$$

and in this case, (10) becomes an equality.

Conversely, if (10) becomes an equality, then S=0, and M^n is totally geodesic. \blacksquare

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This note was written during the author's stay at the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn. The author would like to express his thanks to the institute for its hospitality and support.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Akutagawa, On space-like hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in the de Sitter space, Math. Z., 196 (1987), 13-19.
- [2] B. Y. Chen, Geometry of Submanifolds, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973.
- [3] Q. M. Cheng, Complete space-like submanifolds in a de Sitter space with parallel mean curvature vector, Math. Z., 206 (1991), 333-339.
- [4] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, Maximal spacelike hypersurfaces in the Lorentz-Minkowski spaces, Ann. Math., 104 (1976), 407-419.
- [5] O. Kobayashi, Maximal surfaces in the 3-dimensional Minkowski space L³, Tokyo J. Math.,
 6 (1983), 297-309.
- [6] S. Montiel, An integral inequality for compact spacelike hypersurfaces in de Sitter space and applications to the case of constant mean curvature, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 37 (1988), 909– 917.
- [7] B. O'Neill, Semi-Riemannian Geometry, New York, Academic Press, 1983.
- [8] J. Ramanathan, Complete space-like hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in the de Sitter space, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 36 (1987), 349-55.

(received 10.05.2001)

Department of Applied Mathematics, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China E-mail: xmliu@dlut.edu.cn