

## CONTINUOUS REPRESENTATION OF INTERVAL ORDERS BY MEANS OF DECREASING SCALES

Gianni Bosi

**Abstract.** We characterize the representability of an interval order on a topological space through a pair of continuous real-valued functions which in addition represent two total preorders associated to the given interval order. Such a continuous representation is obtained by using the notion of a decreasing scale.

### 1. Introduction

*Interval orders* are reflexive and total binary relations which are not transitive in general. Such a model may be viewed as the simplest one fulfilling these requirements, in the sense that interval orders may be fully represented by a pair of real-valued functions. The real representability of interval orders was first deeply studied by Fishburn (see e.g. Fishburn [18,19], and then considered by other authors (see e.g. Bridges [9–11], Bridges and Mehta [13], and Oloriz et al. [22]).

Some authors were concerned with the existence of a (semi)continuous representation of an interval order on a topological space (see e.g. Bridges [12], Candeal et al. [15], Chateauneuf [16], Bosi [3], Bosi and Isler [4], and Bosi et al. [5]). In particular, Chateauneuf [16] provided a characterization of the existence of a pair of continuous real-valued functions representing an interval order on a connected topological space. A characterization of the existence of a continuous representation of an interval order on a topological space has been recently obtained by Bosi et al. [6] by using a suitable notion of order separability, called *i.o.separability*.

In this paper we provide a characterization of the existence of a pair  $(U, V)$  of continuous real-valued functions representing an interval order  $\preceq$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  (in the sense that, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \preceq y$  if and only if  $U(x) \leq V(y)$ ). The functions  $U$  and  $V$  may be chosen so that they represent two total preorders associated to the interval order  $\preceq$ . In order to obtain such a characterization, we use the notion of a *decreasing scale* which was first introduced by Burgess and Fitzpatrick [14], and then considered by other authors (see e.g. Herden [20], Alcantud et al. [1], Bosi and Mehta [7] and Bosi and Zuanon [8]).

---

*AMS Subject Classification:* 06A06; 91B16.

*Keywords and phrases:* Interval order; continuous numerical representation; decreasing scale.

## 2. Notation and preliminaries

An *interval order*  $\succsim$  on an arbitrary nonempty set  $X$  is a binary relation on  $X$  which is *reflexive* and in addition verifies the following condition for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ :

$$(x \succsim z) \quad \text{and} \quad (y \succsim w) \Rightarrow (x \succsim w) \quad \text{or} \quad (y \succsim z).$$

The *strict part* of a given interval order  $\succsim$  will be denoted by  $\prec$  (i.e., for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \prec y$  if and only if  $\text{not}(y \succsim x)$ ). An interval order  $\succsim$  on a set  $X$  is necessarily total, in the sense that, for any two elements  $x, y \in X$ , either  $x \succsim y$  or  $y \succsim x$  (see Oloriz et al. [22]).

If  $\succsim$  is an interval order on a set  $X$ , then we may consider the binary relations  $\succsim^*$  and  $\succsim^{**}$  on  $X$  defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x \succsim^* y &\Leftrightarrow (z \succsim x \Rightarrow z \succsim y \text{ for every } z \in X) & (x, y \in X) \\ x \succsim^{**} y &\Leftrightarrow (y \succsim z \Rightarrow x \succsim z \text{ for every } z \in X) & (x, y \in X) \end{aligned}$$

Fishburn [19] proved that the binary relations  $\succsim^*$  and  $\succsim^{**}$  associated to any interval order  $\succsim$  on a set  $X$  are total preorders on  $X$  (i.e., they are reflexive, transitive and total). It is clear that, for any two elements  $x, y \in X$ , if either  $x \succsim^* y$  or  $x \succsim^{**} y$ , then we have that  $x \succsim y$ .

Obviously every total preorder  $\succsim$  on a set  $X$  is an interval order on  $X$ . In this case, we have that  $\succsim = \succsim^* = \succsim^{**}$ . The importance of interval orders in economics lies on the fact that they are not transitive in general.

A total preorder  $\succsim$  on a set  $X$  is representable by means of a real-valued function  $U$  on  $X$  if, for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$x \succsim y \Leftrightarrow U(x) \leq U(y).$$

We also say that  $U$  is a *utility function* for the total preorder  $\succsim$  on the set  $X$ .

An interval order  $\succsim$  on a set  $X$  is said to be representable through a pair  $(U, V)$  of real-valued functions on  $X$  if, for all  $x, y \in X$ :

$$x \succsim y \Leftrightarrow U(x) \leq V(y).$$

If  $\succsim$  is an interval order on a set  $X$ , then a subset  $G$  of  $X$  is said to be  $\succsim$ -*decreasing* if, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \succsim y$  and  $y \in G$  imply  $x \in G$ .

An interval order  $\succsim$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *upper (lower) semicontinuous* if  $L_{\prec}(x) = \{y \in X : y \prec x\}$  ( $U_{\prec}(x) = \{y \in X : x \prec y\}$ ) is a  $\tau$ -open subset of  $X$  for every  $x \in X$ . If  $\succsim$  is both upper and lower semicontinuous, then it is said to be *continuous*.

## 3. Continuous representability

We present a characterization of the existence of a pair of continuous real-valued functions representing an interval order on a topological space, where the

two functions are utilities for two total preorders naturally associated with the given interval order.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The following conditions are equivalent for an interval order  $\succsim$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ :*

- i) *The interval order  $\succsim$  is representable through a pair of continuous real-valued functions  $(U, V)$  with values in  $[0, 1]$ , where  $U$  is a representation for the total preorder  $\succsim^{**}$  and  $V$  is a representation for the total preorder  $\succsim^*$ ;*
- ii) *There exist two families  $\{G_r^*\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]}$  and  $\{G_r^{**}\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]}$  of open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  with  $G_1^* = G_1^{**} = X$  satisfying the following conditions:*
  - (a)  *$x \succsim y$  and  $y \in G_r^*$  imply  $x \in G_r^{**}$  for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$ ;*
  - (b)  *$G_r^*$  is  $\succsim^*$ -decreasing and  $G_r^{**}$  is  $\succsim^{**}$ -decreasing for every  $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$ ;*
  - (c)  *$\overline{G_{r_1}^*} \subseteq G_{r_2}^*$  and  $\overline{G_{r_1}^{**}} \subseteq G_{r_2}^{**}$  for every  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$  such that  $r_1 < r_2$ ;*
  - (d) *for every  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \prec y$  there exist  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1[$  such that  $r_1 < r_2$ ,  $x \in G_{r_1}^*$ ,  $y \notin G_{r_2}^{**}$ .*

*Proof.* *i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii).* If  $(U, V)$  is a representation of the interval order  $\succsim$  with the indicated properties, then just define  $G_r^* = V^{-1}([0, r[)$ ,  $G_r^{**} = U^{-1}([0, r[)$  for every  $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1[$ , and  $G_1^* = G_1^{**} = X$  in order to immediately verify that  $\{G_r^*\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]}$  and  $\{G_r^{**}\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]}$  are two families of open subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  satisfying conditions (a) through (d).

*ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i).* Assume that the above condition ii) holds. Define two functions  $U, V : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$U(x) = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in G_r^{**}\} \quad (x \in X),$$

$$V(x) = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in G_r^*\} \quad (x \in X).$$

We claim that  $(U, V)$  is a pair of continuous functions on  $(X, \tau)$  with values in  $[0, 1]$  representing the interval order  $\succsim$  where  $U$  is a representation for the total preorder  $\succsim^{**}$  and  $V$  is a representation for the total preorder  $\succsim^*$ .

From the definition of the functions  $U$  and  $V$ , it is clear that they both take values in  $[0, 1]$ . Let us first show that the pair  $(U, V)$  represents the interval order  $\succsim$ . Consider any two elements  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \succsim y$ , and observe that, for every  $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$ , if  $y \in G_r^*$  then it must be that  $x \in G_r^{**}$  by the above condition (a). Hence it must be that  $U(x) \leq V(y)$  from the definition of  $U$  and  $V$ . Now consider any two elements  $x, y \in X$  such that  $y \prec x$ . Then by condition (d), there exist  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$  such that  $r_1 < r_2$ ,  $y \in G_{r_1}^*$ ,  $x \notin G_{r_2}^{**}$ . Hence we have that  $V(y) \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq U(x)$ , which obviously implies that  $V(y) < U(x)$ .

Let us now prove that  $V$  is a representation for the total preorder  $\succsim^*$ . From the first part of condition (b) we have that  $G_r^*$  is a  $\succsim^*$  decreasing subset of  $X$  for every  $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$ . Hence if  $x, y$  are any two elements of  $X$  such that  $x \succsim^* y$ , then it must be that  $V(x) \leq V(y)$  from the definition of  $V$ . Now consider any two elements  $x, y \in X$  such that  $y \prec^* x$ . Hence there exists another element  $z \in X$  such that  $y \prec z \succ x$ . So, by condition (d), there exist  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$  such that  $r_1 < r_2$ ,

$y \in G_{r_1}^*$ ,  $z \notin G_{r_2}^{**}$ . By condition (a), we have that  $x \notin G_{r_2}^*$  since  $z \lesssim x$ . Finally, we may guarantee the existence of  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$  such that  $r_1 < r_2$ ,  $y \in G_{r_1}^*$ ,  $x \notin G_{r_2}^*$ . Hence from the definition of  $V$ , we have that  $V(y) \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq V(x)$  which obviously implies that  $V(y) < V(x)$ .

Analogously it may be shown that  $U$  is a representation for the total preorder  $\lesssim^{**}$ .

To conclude the proof, let us show that  $U$  and  $V$  are both continuous functions by condition (c). We only prove that  $U$  is continuous. Then analogous arguments will show that also  $V$  is continuous. Let us first prove that  $U$  is upper semicontinuous. Consider any  $x \in X$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \cap ]0, 1]$  such that  $U(x) < \alpha$ . Then from the definition of  $U$ , there exists  $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$  such that  $U(x) \leq r < \alpha$ ,  $x \in G_r^{**}$ . Observe that  $U(z) \geq \alpha$  ( $z \in X$ ) implies that  $U(z) > r$  which in turn implies that  $z \notin G_r^{**}$ . Hence  $G_r^{**}$  is an open subset of  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $U(z) < \alpha$  for every  $z \in G_r^{**}$ . In order to show that  $U$  is lower semicontinuous, let us first prove that

$$U(x) = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_r^{**}}\} \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

Since  $G_r^{**} \subseteq \overline{G_r^{**}}$  for every  $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$ , it is clear that, for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$\inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_r^{**}}\} \leq \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in G_r^{**}\}.$$

Now assume that there exists  $x \in X$  with

$$\inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_r^{**}}\} < \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in G_r^{**}\}.$$

Consider  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$  such that

$$\inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}\} < r_1 < r_2 < \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in G_{r_2}^{**}\}.$$

Then we have that  $x \in \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$ ,  $x \notin G_{r_2}^{**}$ , and this is contradictory, since  $\overline{G_{r_1}^{**}} \subseteq G_{r_2}^{**}$ . So it must be that, for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$\inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_r^{**}}\} = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in G_r^{**}\}.$$

Now consider any  $x \in X$ , and any  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \cap ]0, 1]$  such that  $\alpha < U(x)$ . Further let  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]$  be such that  $\alpha < r_1 < r_2 < U(x)$ . Then we have that  $x \notin \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$  because otherwise  $x \in \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$  implies that  $x \in G_{r_2}^{**}$  and this contradicts the fact that  $U(x) > r_2$ . Observe that  $U(z) \leq \alpha$  ( $z \in X$ ) implies that  $U(z) < r_1$  which in turn implies that  $z \in \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$  since  $U(x) = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_r^{**}}\}$  for every  $x \in X$ . Hence  $X \setminus \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$  is an open subset of  $X$  containing  $x$  such that  $\alpha < U(z)$  for every  $z \in X \setminus \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$ . This consideration completes the proof. ■

REMARK 3.2. The family  $\{G_r^*\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1]}$  is a  $\lesssim^*$ -decreasing scale according to the definition introduced by Burgess and Fitzpatrick [14].

As an application of the previous characterization, in the following proposition we present a generalization of the Theorem in Chateaufeuf [16]. Chateaufeuf showed that a *strongly separable* interval order  $\lesssim$  on a connected topological space

$(X, \tau)$  is representable through a pair of continuous real-valued functions  $(U, V)$ , where  $U$  is a representation for the total preorder  $\preceq^{**}$  and  $V$  is a representation for the total preorder  $\preceq^*$ , provided that the total preorders  $\preceq^*$  and  $\preceq^{**}$  are both continuous.

We recall that an interval order  $\preceq$  on a set  $X$  is said to be *strongly separable* if there exists a countable set  $D \subseteq X$  such that, for every  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \prec y$ , there exists  $d_1, d_2 \in D$  with  $x \prec d_1 \preceq d_2 \prec y$ .  $D$  is said to be an *order dense subset* of  $X$  (see Chateauneuf [16]).

Observe that, in contrast to the *Chateauneuf Representation Theorem*, ours does not need any connectedness assumption on the topological space. The following proposition was already proved by Bosi [3] by using the proof of the existence of a continuous utility function provided by Jaffray [21].

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Let  $\preceq$  be a strongly separable interval order on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , and assume that the total preorders  $\preceq^*$  and  $\preceq^{**}$  are both continuous. Then the interval order  $\preceq$  is representable through a pair of continuous real-valued functions  $(U, V)$  with values in  $[0, 1]$ , where  $U$  is a representation for the total preorder  $\preceq^{**}$  and  $V$  is a representation for the total preorder  $\preceq^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\preceq$  be a strongly separable interval order on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , and assume that the associated total preorders  $\preceq^*$  and  $\preceq^{**}$  are both continuous. Then from the Proposition in Chateauneuf [16], we have that  $\preceq$  is continuous. Further strong separability of  $\preceq$  implies *order separability* of  $\preceq^*$  and  $\preceq^{**}$ . In particular, if  $D$  is an order dense subset of  $X$ , then for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \prec^{**} y$  there exists  $d \in D$  with  $x \prec^{**} d \prec^{**} y$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $(D, \preceq^{**})$  is actually a totally ordered set (or a *chain*) without extreme points. Therefore by using considerations in Birkhoff [2] and following a construction analogous to Construction 3.3 in Alcantud et al. [1], we may conclude that there exists an order-preserving function  $f: (D, \preceq^{**}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1[ , \leq)$ . Further we may assume that the mapping  $f$  is onto.

For reader's convenience we recall that any countable chain  $(C, \preceq)$  is order isomorphic with a subchain of  $(\mathbf{Q}, \leq)$  (see Theorem 22 on page 200 in Birkhoff [2]) and in particular order isomorphic with  $(\mathbf{Q}, \leq)$  if  $(C, \preceq)$  is *dense in itself* and has neither a minimal nor a maximal element (see Theorem 23 on page 200 in Birkhoff [2]). Therefore we may conclude that any countable chain  $(C, \preceq)$  with the above properties is also order isomorphic with  $(\mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1[ , \leq)$ .

Let us now go back to our case and consider an order-preserving function  $f: (D, \preceq^{**}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1[ , \leq)$  which is also onto. If  $f^{-1}(r) = d$  ( $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1[$ ), then define

$$G_r^* = L_{\prec}(d), \quad G_r^{**} = L_{\prec^{**}}(d) \quad (r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1[),$$

and set  $G_1^* = G_1^{**} = X$ . We claim that  $\{G_r^*\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1[}$  and  $\{G_r^{**}\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1[}$  are two families of subsets of  $X$  satisfying condition *ii)* of Theorem 3.1. It is clear that  $G_r^*$  is open and  $\preceq^*$ -decreasing, and  $G_r^{**}$  is open and  $\preceq^{**}$ -decreasing for every  $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap ]0, 1[$ , so that condition *(b)* holds. In order to show that condition *(a)* is verified, just

observe that, for every  $d \in D$ , if  $x \succsim y$  and  $y \prec d$ , then  $x \prec^{**} d$ . In order to prove that condition (c) holds, observe that for all  $d_1, d_2 \in D$  such that  $d_1 \prec^{**} d_2$  there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $d_1 \succsim z \prec d_2$ , and therefore we have that

$$L_{\prec}(d_1) \subseteq \overline{L_{\prec}(d_1)} \subseteq L_{\succ^*}(z) \subseteq L_{\prec}(d_2),$$

$$L_{\prec^{**}}(d_1) \subseteq \overline{L_{\prec^{**}}(d_1)} \subseteq L_{\succ^{**}}(d_1) \subseteq L_{\prec^{**}}(d_2),$$

where  $L_{\succ^*}(z) = \{w \in X : w \succsim^* z\}$  and  $L_{\succ^{**}}(d_1) = \{w \in X : w \succ^{**} d_1\}$  are closed subsets of  $X$ . Finally, condition (d) of Theorem 3.1 holds, since strong separability of the interval order  $\succsim$  implies that for all  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \prec y$  there exist  $d_1, d_2 \in D$  such that  $x \prec d_1 \prec^{**} d_2 \prec^{**} y$ , and therefore  $x \in L_{\prec}(d_1)$  and  $y \notin L_{\prec^{**}}(d_2)$ . This consideration completes the proof. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I wish to thank an anonymous referee for many helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.C.R. Alcantud, G. Bosi, M.J. Campión, J.C. Candeal, E. Induráin and C. Rodríguez-Palmero, *Continuous utility functions through scales*, (2006), submitted.
- [2] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1967.
- [3] G. Bosi, *Continuous representations of interval orders based on induced preorders*, Riv. Mat. Sci. economiche e sociali, XVIII, I (1995), 75–82.
- [4] G. Bosi and R. Isler, *Representing preferences with nontransitive indifference by a single real-valued function*, J. Math. Economics **24** (1995), 621–631.
- [5] G. Bosi, J.C. Candeal, E. Induráin, E. Oloriz and M. Zudaire, *Numerical representations of interval orders*, Order **18** (2001), 171–190.
- [6] G. Bosi, J.C. Candeal and E. Induráin, *Natural topologies for interval-ordered structures and the continuous representation problem*, (2005), preprint.
- [7] G. Bosi and G. B. Mehta, *Existence of a semicontinuous or continuous utility function: a unified approach and an elementary proof*, J. Math. Economics **38** (2002), 311–328.
- [8] G. Bosi and M. Zuanon, *Continuous representability of homothetic preorders by means of sublinear order-preserving functions*, Math. Soc. Sci. **45** (2003), 333–341.
- [9] D.S. Bridges, *A numerical representation of preferences with intransitive indifference*, J. Math. Economics **11** (1983), 25–42.
- [10] D.S. Bridges, *Numerical representation of intransitive preferences on a countable set*, J. Economic Theory **30** (1983), 213–217.
- [11] D.S. Bridges, *Representing interval orders by a single real-valued function*, J. Economic Theory **36** (1985), 149–155.
- [12] D.S. Bridges, *Numerical representation of interval orders on a topological space*, J. Economic Theory **38** (1986), 160–166.
- [13] D.S. Bridges and G.B. Mehta, *Representations of Preference Orderings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [14] D.C.J. Burgess and M. Fitzpatrick, *On separation axioms for certain types of ordered topological space*, Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. **82** (1977), 59–65.
- [15] J.C. Candeal, E. Induráin and M. Zudaire, *Continuous representability of interval orders*, Applied General Topology **5** (2004), 213–230.
- [16] A. Chateauneuf, *Continuous representation of a preference relation on a connected topological space*, J. Math. Economics **16** (1987), 139–146.
- [17] G. Debreu, *Continuity properties of Paretian utility*, Int. Economic Review **5** (1964), 285–293.

- [18] P.C. Fishburn, *Intransitive indifference with unequal indifference intervals*, J. Math. Psychology **7** (1970), 144–149.
- [19] P.C. Fishburn, *Interval Orders and Interval Graphs*, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [20] G. Herden, *On the existence of utility functions*, Math. Soc. Sciences **17** (1989), 297–313.
- [21] J.Y. Jaffray, *Existence of a continuous utility function: an elementary proof*, Econometrica **43** (1975), 981–983.
- [22] E. Oloriz, J.C. Candeal and E. Induráin, *Representability of interval orders*, J. Economic Theory **78** (1998), 219–227.

(received 14.02.2005, in revised form 05.09.2005)

Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata “Bruno de Finetti”, Università di Trieste, Piazzale Europa 1, 34127 Trieste, Italy

*E-mail*: [giannibo@econ.units.it](mailto:giannibo@econ.units.it)