

## ON $\pi$ -IMAGES OF SEPARABLE METRIC SPACES AND A PROBLEM OF SHOU LIN

Tran Van An and Luong Quoc Tuyen

**Abstract.** In this paper, we give some characterizations of images of separable metric spaces under certain  $\pi$ -maps, and give an affirmative answer to the problem posed by Shou Lin in [Point-Countable Covers and Sequence-Covering Mappings, Chinese Science Press, Beijing, 2002].

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In his book [7], S. Lin proved that a  $T_1$  and regular space  $X$  is a quotient compact image of a separable metric space iff  $X$  is a quotient  $\pi$ -image of a separable metric space, iff  $X$  has a countable weak base. But he does not know whether quotient  $\pi$ -images of separable metric spaces and quotient compact images of separable metric spaces are equivalent. So, the following question was posed by S. Lin.

QUESTION 1.1. [8, Question 3.2.12] *Is a quotient  $\pi$ -image of a separable metric space a quotient compact image of a separable metric space?*

In [10], S. Lin and P. Yan proved that a  $T_1$  and regular space  $X$  is a compact-covering compact image of a separable metric space if and only if  $X$  is a sequentially-quotient compact image of a separable metric space, if and only if  $X$  has a countable  $sn$ -network. And in [3], Y. Ge proved that a  $T_1$  and regular space  $X$  is a sequentially-quotient compact image of a separable metric space if and only if  $X$  is a sequentially-quotient  $\pi$ -image of a separable metric space, if and only if  $X$  has a countable  $sn$ -network. Thus, we are interested in the following question.

QUESTION 1.2. *Is an image (resp., a sequentially-quotient  $\pi$ -image, a sequence-covering  $\pi$ -image) of a separable metric space a compact image (resp., sequentially-quotient compact image, sequence-covering compact image) of a separable metric space?*

In this paper, we give affirmative answers to the Question 1.1, Question 1.2 and give some characterizations of images of separable metric spaces under certain  $\pi$ -maps.

---

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 54C10, 54D55, 54E40, 54E99.

Keywords and phrases:  $cs^*$ -network;  $cs^*$ -cover;  $cs$ -cover;  $sn$ -cover; separable metric space; Cauchy  $sn$ -symmetric;  $\sigma$ -strong network; compact map;  $\pi$ -map.

Throughout this paper, all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff, all maps are continuous and onto,  $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set of all natural numbers. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  be two families of subsets of  $X$ , we denote  $(\mathcal{P})_x = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P\}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \wedge \mathcal{Q} = \{P \cap Q : P \in \mathcal{P}, Q \in \mathcal{Q}\}$ . For a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converging to  $x$  and  $P \subset X$ , we say that  $\{x_n\}$  is *eventually* in  $P$  if  $\{x\} \cup \{x_n : n \geq m\} \subset P$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\{x_n\}$  is *frequently* in  $P$  if some subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  is eventually in  $P$ .

DEFINITION 1.3. [14] We say that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a *weak-open* map, if there exists a weak base  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{B}_y : y \in Y\}$  for  $Y$ , and for every  $y \in Y$ , there exists  $x \in f^{-1}(y)$  such that for each open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$ ,  $B \subset f(U)$  for some  $B \in \mathcal{B}_y$ .

DEFINITION 1.4. Let  $d$  be a  $d$ -function on a space  $X$ .

- (1) For each  $x \in X$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $S_n(x) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < 1/n\}$ .
- (2)  $X$  is *sn-symmetric* [5], if  $\{S_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an *sn-network* at  $x$  in  $X$  for each  $x \in X$ .
- (3)  $X$  is *Cauchy sn-symmetric*, if it is *sn-symmetric* and every convergent sequence in  $X$  is *d*-Cauchy.

DEFINITION 1.5. Let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -strong network for a space  $X$ .

- (1)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of covers (*cs\**-covers, *cs*-covers, *sn*-covers), if each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a cover (resp., *cs\**-cover, *cs*-cover, *sn*-cover).
- (2)  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite covers (*finite cs\**-covers, *finite cs*-covers, *finite sn*-covers), if each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a finite cover (resp., finite *cs\**-cover, finite *cs*-cover, finite *sn*-cover).

NOTATION 1.6. Let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -strong network for a space  $X$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{P_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda_n\}$  and endow  $\Lambda_n$  with the discrete topology. Then,

$$M = \left\{ \alpha = (\alpha_n) \in \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Lambda_n : \{P_{\alpha_n}\} \text{ forms a network at some point } x_\alpha \in X \right\}$$

is a metric space and the point  $x_\alpha$  is unique in  $X$  for every  $\alpha \in M$ . Define  $f : M \rightarrow X$  by  $f(\alpha) = x_\alpha$ . Let us call  $(f, M, X, \mathcal{P}_n)$  a *Ponomarev's system*, following [11].

For some undefined or related concepts, we refer the readers to [6] and [8].

## 2. Results

LEMMA 2.1 *For a space  $X$ , the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of *cs\**-covers, then  $X$  is *sn-symmetric*.*
- (2) *If  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of *cs*-covers, then  $X$  is *Cauchy sn-symmetric*.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -strong network for  $X$ . For each  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$ , let  $\delta(x, y) = \min\{n : y \notin \text{st}(x, \mathcal{P}_n)\}$ . Then, we define

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 1/\delta(x, y) & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

(1) If each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover, then  $d$  is a  $d$ -function on  $X$  and  $st(x, \mathcal{P}_n) = S_n(x)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of  $cs^*$ -covers,  $\{S_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an  $sn$ -network at  $x$  for every  $x \in X$ . Therefore,  $X$  is  $sn$ -symmetric.

(2) If each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a  $cs$ -cover, then  $X$  is  $sn$ -symmetric by (1). Now, we shall show that every convergent sequence in  $X$  is  $d$ -Cauchy. In fact, let  $\{x_i\}$  be a sequence converging to  $x \in X$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1/k < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}_k$  is a  $cs$ -cover, there exist  $P \in \mathcal{P}_k$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_i \in P$  for all  $i \geq m$ . This implies that  $d(x_i, x_j) < \varepsilon$  for all  $i, j \geq m$ . ■

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $X$  be an  $sn$ -symmetric space. Then,*

- (1) *If  $P$  is a sequential neighbourhood at  $x$ , then  $S_n(x) \subset P$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*
- (2) *If  $X$  has a countable  $cs^*$ -network, then  $X$  has a countable  $sn$ -network.*

*Proof.* (1) If not, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $x_n \in S_n(x) - P$ . Then,  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x$ . Hence, there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \in P$  for every  $n \geq m$ . This is a contradiction.

(2) Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a countable  $cs^*$ -network for  $X$ . We can assume that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a countable  $cs$ -network, and  $\mathcal{P}$  is closed under finite intersections. For each  $x \in X$ , put  $\mathcal{G}_x = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : S_n(x) \subset P \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and put  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{G}_x : x \in X\}$ . Then, each element of  $\mathcal{G}_x$  is a sequential neighbourhood at  $x$ , and for  $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{G}_x$ , there exists  $P \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $P \subset P_1 \cap P_2$ . Furthermore, by using the proof in [9, Lemma 7], we get  $\mathcal{G}_x$  is a network at  $x$ . Thus, (2) holds. ■

LEMMA 2.3. *For a Ponomarev's system  $(f, M, X, \mathcal{P}_n)$ , where each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is finite. Then, the following statements hold.*

- (1)  *$M$  is separable.*
- (2)  *$f$  is compact.*
- (3)  *$f$  is pseudo-sequence-covering, if each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover.*
- (4)  *$f$  is 1-sequence-covering compact-covering, if each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is an  $sn$ -cover.*

*Proof.* Since each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is finite, (1) holds. For (2), by [11, Lemma 13(1)]. And for (3), see the proof of (d)  $\implies$  (a) in [6, Theorem 4].

For (4), by using the proof of (e)  $\implies$  (f) in [6, Theorem 9], we get  $f$  is sequence-covering. By (1) and [1, Theorem 2.5],  $f$  is 1-sequence-covering. Furthermore, since  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $sn$ -covers,  $X$  has a countable  $cs$ -network. Thus, each compact subset of  $X$  is metrizable. Similar to the proof of [13, Lemma 3.10], each  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a  $cfp$ -cover. By [11, Lemma 13(2)],  $f$  is compact-covering. ■

THEOREM 2.4. *The following are equivalent for a space  $X$ .*

- (1)  *$X$  is an  $sn$ -symmetric with a countable  $cs^*$ -network;*
- (2)  *$X$  has a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $cs^*$ -covers;*
- (3)  *$X$  is a pseudo-sequence-covering compact image of a separable metric space;*
- (4)  *$X$  is a sequentially-quotient  $\pi$ -image of a separable metric space.*

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2). Let  $X$  be an  $sn$ -symmetric space with a countable  $cs^*$ -network. By Lemma 2.2(2),  $X$  has a countable  $sn$ -network  $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_x : x \in X\} = \{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . For each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $A_{m,n} = \{x \in X : S_n(x) \subset P_m\}$ ;  $B_{m,n} =$

$X - A_{m,n}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_{m,n} = \{P_m, B_{m,n}\}$ . Then, each  $\mathcal{F}_{m,n}$  is finite. Furthermore, we have

(i) *Each  $\mathcal{F}_{m,n}$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover.* Let  $L = \{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence converging to  $x \in X$ , then

*Case 1.* If  $x \in A_{m,n}$ , then  $S_n(x) \subset P_m$ . Thus,  $L$  is eventually in  $P_m \in \mathcal{F}_{m,n}$ .

*Case 2.* If  $x \notin A_{m,n}$  and  $L \cap B_{m,n}$  is infinite, then  $L$  is frequently in  $B_{m,n} \in \mathcal{F}_{m,n}$ .

*Case 3.* If  $x \notin A_{m,n}$  and  $L \cap B_{m,n}$  is finite, then there exists  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\{x_i : i \geq i_0\} \subset L \cap A_{m,n}$ . Since  $x_i \in A_{m,n}$ ,  $x_i \in S_n(x_i) \subset P_m$  for each  $i \geq i_0$ . On the other hand, since  $\{x_i\}$  converges to  $x$ ,  $\{x_i\}$  is eventually in  $S_n(x)$ . Thus, there exists  $k_0 \geq i_0$  such that  $d(x, x_i) < 1/n$  for all  $i \geq k_0$ . Then,  $\{x, x_i\} \subset S_n(x_i) \subset P_m$  for all  $i \geq k_0$ . This follows that  $L$  is eventually in  $P_m \in \mathcal{F}_{m,n}$ .

Therefore, each  $\mathcal{F}_{m,n}$  is a  $cs^*$ -cover for  $X$ .

(ii)  $\{st(x, \mathcal{F}_{m,n}) : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a network at  $x$ . Let  $x \in U$  with  $U$  open in  $X$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}_x$  is an  $sn$ -network at  $x$ , there exists  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P_{m_0} \in \mathcal{P}_x$  and  $P_{m_0} \subset U$ . By Lemma 2.2(1), there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S_{n_0}(x) \subset P_{m_0}$ . This implies that  $x \in A_{m_0, n_0}$ . Hence,  $st(x, \mathcal{F}_{m_0, n_0}) = P_{m_0} \subset U$ .

Next, we write  $\{\mathcal{F}_{m,n} : m, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{\mathcal{H}_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $\mathcal{G}_i = \bigwedge \{\mathcal{H}_j : j \leq i\}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{G}_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $cs^*$ -covers for  $X$ . Thus, (2) holds.

(2)  $\implies$  (3). Let  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $cs^*$ -covers for  $X$ . Consider the Ponomarev's system  $(f, M, X, \mathcal{P}_n)$ . By Lemma 2.3, (3) holds.

(3)  $\implies$  (4). It is obvious.

(4)  $\implies$  (1). Assume that (4) holds. Since  $M$  is separable, there exists a countable dense subset  $D$  of  $M$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{f(S_n(x)) : x \in D\}$ . Since  $f$  is a sequentially-quotient  $\pi$ -map,  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of countable  $cs^*$ -covers for  $X$ . Thus,  $X$  has a countable  $cs^*$ -network. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.1(1),  $X$  is  $sn$ -symmetric. Hence, (1) holds.  $\blacksquare$

The following corollary holds by Theorem 2.4.

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *The following are equivalent for a space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $X$  is a symmetric with a countable  $cs^*$ -network;
- (2)  $X$  is a sequential space with a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $cs^*$ -covers;
- (3)  $X$  is a pseudo-sequence-covering quotient compact image of a separable metric space;
- (4)  $X$  is a quotient  $\pi$ -image of a separable metric space.

**REMARK 2.6.** By Corollary 2.5, we get an affirmative answer to the Question 1.1.

**THEOREM 2.7.** *The following are equivalent for a space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $X$  is a Cauchy  $sn$ -symmetric with a countable  $cs^*$ -network;
- (2)  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $cs$ -covers;
- (3)  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $sn$ -covers;

- (4)  $X$  is a 1-sequence-covering compact-covering compact image of a separable metric space;
- (5)  $X$  is a sequence-covering  $\pi$ -image of a separable metric space.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2). Let (1) holds. Since every Cauchy  $sn$ -symmetric is  $sn$ -symmetric, by using again notations and arguments as in the proof (1)  $\implies$  (2) of Theorem 2.4, it suffices to prove that each  $\mathcal{F}_{m,n}$  is a  $cs$ -cover for  $X$ . Let  $x \in X$  and  $L = \{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence converging to  $x$ ; then

*Case 1.* If  $x \in A_{m,n}$ , then  $S_n(x) \subset P_m$ . Hence,  $L$  is eventually in  $P_m \in \mathcal{F}_{m,n}$ .

*Case 2.* If  $x \notin A_{m,n}$  and  $L \cap A_{m,n}$  is finite, then  $L$  is eventually in  $B_{m,n} \in \mathcal{F}_{m,n}$ .

*Case 3.* If  $x \notin A_{m,n}$  and  $L \cap A_{m,n}$  is infinite, then we can assume that  $L \cap A_{m,n} = \{x_{i_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Since  $X$  is Cauchy  $sn$ -symmetric and  $L$  converges to  $x$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_i, x_j) < 1/n$  and  $d(x, x_i) < 1/n$  for all  $i, j \geq n_0$ . Now, we pick  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $i_{k_0} \geq n_0$ . Since  $d(x_{i_{k_0}}, x) < 1/n$  and  $d(x_{i_{k_0}}, x_i) < 1/n$  for every  $i \geq n_0$ ,  $L$  is eventually in  $S_n(x_{i_{k_0}})$ . Furthermore, since  $x_{i_{k_0}} \in A_{m,n}$ ,  $S_n(x_{i_{k_0}}) \subset P_m$ . Hence,  $L$  is eventually in  $P_m \in \mathcal{F}_{m,n}$ .

Therefore, each  $\mathcal{F}_{m,n}$  is a  $cs$ -cover for  $X$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (3). Let  $\bigcup\{\mathcal{F}_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $cs$ -covers for  $X$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $\mathcal{P}_i = \{P \in \mathcal{F}_i : \text{there exist } x \in X, k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } S_k(x) \subset P\}$ . Then, each  $\mathcal{P}_i$  is finite and each  $P \in \mathcal{P}_i$  is a sequential neighbourhood of some  $x \in X$ . Furthermore, by using the proof in [9, Lemma 7], for each  $x \in X$ , there exist  $P \in \mathcal{P}_i$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S_k(x) \subset P$ . Thus, for each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $P \in \mathcal{P}_i$  such that  $P$  is a sequential neighbourhood at  $x$ . Then,  $\bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $sn$ -covers for  $X$ .

(3)  $\implies$  (4). Let  $\bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $sn$ -covers for  $X$ . Consider the Ponomarev's system  $(f, M, X, \mathcal{P}_n)$ . By Lemma 2.3, (4) holds.

(4)  $\implies$  (5). It is obvious.

(5)  $\implies$  (1). Assume that (5) holds. Then,  $X$  has a countable  $cs^*$ -network. Furthermore, by [6, Proposition 16(3b)],  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of  $cs$ -covers. It follows from Lemma 2.1(2) that  $X$  is Cauchy  $sn$ -symmetric. ■

The following corollary holds by [1, Corollary 2.8] and Theorem 2.7.

**COROLLARY 2.8** *The following are equivalent for a space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $X$  is a Cauchy symmetric space with a countable  $cs^*$ -network;
- (2)  $X$  is a sequential space with a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $cs$ -covers;
- (3)  $X$  is a sequential space with a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite  $sn$ -covers;
- (4)  $X$  is a weak-open compact-covering compact image of a separable metric space;
- (5)  $X$  is a weak-open  $\pi$ -image of a separable metric space.

**REMARK 2.9.** Using [4, Example 3.1], it is easy to see that  $X$  is Hausdorff, non-regular and  $X$  has a countable base, but it is not a sequentially-quotient  $\pi$ -image of a metric space. This shows that “ $sn$ -symmetric” (resp., “Cauchy  $sn$ -symmetric”) cannot be omitted in Theorem 2.4 (resp., Theorem 2.7).

**THEOREM 2.10.** *The following are equivalent for a space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $X$  has a countable network;

- (2)  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite covers;
- (3)  $X$  is a compact image of a separable metric space;
- (4)  $X$  is a  $\pi$ -image of a separable metric space;
- (5)  $X$  is an image of a separable metric space.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2). Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a countable network for  $X$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $\mathcal{P}_n = \{P_n, X - P_n\}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_n = \bigwedge \{P_i : i \leq n\}$ . Then,  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{G}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite covers.

(2)  $\implies$  (3). Let  $\bigcup \{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -strong network consisting of finite covers for  $X$ . Consider the Ponomarev's system  $(f, M, X, \mathcal{P}_n)$ . Then, (3) holds by Lemma 2.3.

(3)  $\implies$  (4)  $\implies$  (5)  $\implies$  (1). It is obvious. ■

REMARK 2.11. By Theorem 2.4, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem 2.10, we get an affirmative answer to the Question 1.2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T.V. An, L.Q. Tuyen, *Further properties of 1-sequence-covering maps*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **49** (2008), 477–484.
- [2] J.R. Boone, F. Siwiec, *Sequentially quotient mappings*, Czech. Math. J. **26** (1976), 174–182.
- [3] Y. Ge, *Spaces with countable sn-networks*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **45** (2004), 169–176.
- [4] Y. Ge, J.S. Gu, *On  $\pi$ -images of separable metric spaces*, Math. Sci. **10** (2004), 65–71.
- [5] Y. Ge, S. Lin,  *$g$ -metrizable spaces and the images of semi-metric spaces*, Czech. Math. J. **57** (132) (2007), 1141–1149.
- [6] Y. Ikeda, C. Liu, Y. Tanaka, *Quotient compact images of metric spaces, and related matters*, Topology Appl. **122** (2002), 237–252.
- [7] S. Lin, *Generalized Metric Spaces and Mappings*, Chinese Science Press, Beijing, 1995.
- [8] S. Lin, *Point-Countable Covers and Sequence-Covering Mappings*, Chinese Science Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [9] S. Lin, Y. Tanaka, *Point-countable  $k$ -networks, closed maps, and related results*, Topology Appl. **59** (1994), 79–86.
- [10] S. Lin, P. Yan, *Sequence-covering maps of metric spaces*, Topology Appl. **109** (2001), 301–314.
- [11] S. Lin, P. Yan, *Notes on cfp-covers*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **44** (2003), 295–306.
- [12] Y. Tanaka, *Symmetric spaces,  $g$ -developable spaces and  $g$ -metrizable spaces*, Math. Japon. **36** (1991), 71–84.
- [13] Y. Tanaka, Y. Ge, *Around quotient compact images of metric spaces, and symmetric spaces*, Houston J. Math. **32** (2006), 99–117.
- [14] S. Xia, *Characterizations of certain  $g$ -first countable spaces*, Adv. Math. **29** (2000), 61–64.

(received 15.02.2011; in revised form 12.04.2011; available online 01.07.2011)

Department of Mathematics, Vinh University, Viet Nam

*E-mail:* andhv@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Da Nang University, Viet Nam

*E-mail:* luongtuyench12@yahoo.com