

FINITE DIMENSIONS DEFINED BY MEANS OF m -COVERINGS

Vitaly V. Fedorchuk

Abstract. We introduce and investigate finite dimensions (m, n) -dim defined by means of m -coverings. These dimensions generalize the Lebesgue dimension: $\dim = (2, 1)$ -dim. If $n < m$ and (m, n) -dim $X < \infty$, then X is weakly infinite-dimensional in the sense of Smirnov.

Introduction

In [7] there were introduced classes of \mathcal{G} - C -spaces and m - \mathcal{G} - C -space, where \mathcal{G} is a class of simplicial complexes and $m \geq 2$ is an integer. Partial cases of these classes were considered in [8], where (m, n) - C -spaces were defined ($m \geq n \geq 1$). Let (m, n) - C be the class of all (m, n) - C -spaces. Then all classes (m, n) - C are intermediate between the class $\text{wid} = (2, 1)$ - $C = (n + 1, n)$ - C of all *weakly infinite-dimensional spaces* in the sense of Smirnov and the class C of all C -spaces in the sense of Haver [9], Addis and Gresham [1]. For example,

$$\text{wid} = (2, 1)\text{-}C \supset (3, 1)\text{-}C \supset \cdots \supset (m, 1)\text{-}C \supset \cdots \supset C.$$

Here we define new dimension functions: (m, n) -dim (Definition 2.8). From definitions it follows that

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X < \infty \Rightarrow X \in (m, n)\text{-}C. \quad (0.1)$$

For every normal space X we have

$$(2, 1)\text{-dim}X = \dim X \quad (0.2)$$

in view of the partition theorem.

For every metrizable space we have (Theorem 3.7)

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq \dim X \quad (0.3)$$

2010 AMS Subject Classification: 54F45

Keywords and phrases: Dimension; dimension (m, n) -dim; metrizable space; hereditarily normal space.

The author was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic research (Grant 09-01-00741) and the Program “Development of the Scientific Potential of Higher School” of the Ministry for Education of the Russian Federation (Grant 2.1.1.3704).

and (Theorem 3.9)

$$(m, 1)\text{-dim}X = \dim X. \quad (0.4)$$

One of the main results is

THEOREM 3.4. *If $n < m$, then for every space X we have*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq 0 \iff \dim X \leq n - 1.$$

This theorem gives us a lot of spaces X with $(m, n)\text{-dim}X < \dim X$.

In § 2 we study general properties of dimension $(m, n)\text{-dim}$. This dimension satisfies the addition property for hereditarily normal spaces (Theorem 2.17):

$$X = X_1 \cup X_2 \Rightarrow (m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq (m, n)\text{-dim}X_1 + (m, n)\text{-dim}X_2 + 1. \quad (0.5)$$

Theorem 2.21 states that if X is the limit of an inverse system $\{X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, A\}$ of compact spaces, then

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq \sup\{(m, n)\text{-dim}X_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}. \quad (0.6)$$

§ 1 has an auxiliary character. It contains necessary definitions and facts. One can find an additional information on dimension theory in [3] and [6].

§ 1. Preliminaries

All spaces are assumed to be normal T_1 . All mappings are continuous. The symbol $|A|$ stands for the cardinality of a set A . If A is a subset of a space X , then $\text{Cl}(A) = \text{Cl}_X(A)$ denotes the closure of A in X .

By a cover we mean an open cover of a space. By $\text{cov}(X)$ we denote the set of all covers of X . The set of all finite covers of X is denoted by $\text{cov}_\infty(X)$ and $\text{cov}_m(X)$ stands for the set of all covers of X consisting of $\leq m$ members.

Let u and v be families of subsets of a set X . They say that v *refines* u (v is a *refinement* of u) if each $V \in v$ is contained in some $U \in u$. A family v *combinatorially refines* u (v is a *combinatorially refinement* of u) if there exists an injection $i : v \rightarrow u$ such that $V \subset i(V)$ for each $V \in v$. If v refines u we write $u \prec v$.

For a simplicial complex K by $v(K)$ we denote the set of all its vertices. By $\text{Fin}S$ we denote the set of all non-empty finite subsets of S . Let u be a family of arbitrary sets and let $u_0 = \{U \in u : U \neq \emptyset\}$. The *nerve* $N(u)$ of the family u is a simplicial complex such that $v(N(u)) = \{a_U : U \in u_0\}$ and a set $\Delta \in \text{Fin}v(N(u))$ is a simplex of $N(u)$ if and only if $\bigcap\{U : a_U \in \Delta\} \neq \emptyset$.

By the *order* of a family u of sets we mean the largest n such that u contains n sets with a non-empty intersection. If no such integer exist, we say that u has order ∞ . The order of u is denoted by ordu . Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ordu} \leq & \iff \dim N(u) \leq n - 1; \\ \text{ordu} \leq 1 & \iff u \text{ is a disjoint family.} \end{aligned}$$

By \mathbb{N} we denote the set of all positive integers.

Let u be a family of subsets of a set X and let $M \subset X$. Then

$$u|M = \{U \cap M : U \in u\}.$$

1.1. OPEN SWELLING LEMMA. *If $\Phi = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ is a sequence of closed subsets of a space X , then there exists a family $v = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$ of open subsets of X such that*

$$\begin{aligned} F_j &\subset V_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \\ N(v) &= N(\Phi). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The Urysohn lemma and Lemma 1.1 yield

1.2. LEMMA. *Let $u = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$ be a sequence of open subsets of a space X and let $\Phi = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$ be a sequence of closed subsets of X such that*

$$F_j \subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Then there exists a sequence $v = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$ of open subsets of X such that

$$\begin{aligned} F_j &\subset V_j \subset \text{Cl}(V_j) \subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \\ N(v) &= N(\Phi). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

1.3. LEMMA [5]. *Let X be a hereditarily normal space and let $M \subset X$. Then for every sequence $v = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$ of open subsets of M there exists a sequence $w = (W_1, \dots, W_m)$ of open subsets of X such that $w|M = v$ and $N(w) = N(v)$. \blacksquare*

1.4. DEFINITION. Let $u = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$ be a cover of a space X . A sequence φ of functions $f_j : X \rightarrow [0; 1]$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, is said to be a *partition of unity subordinated to the cover u* if

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) + \dots + f_m(x) &= 1 \quad \text{for every } x \in X; \\ f_j^{-1}(0; 1] &\subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

1.5. CLOSED SHRINKING LEMMA. *Let $u = (U_1, \dots, U_m) \in \text{cov}_m(X)$. Then there exists a family $\Phi = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$ of closed subsets of X such that*

$$\begin{aligned} F_j &\subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \\ F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_m &= X. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The Urysohn lemma and Lemma 1.5 imply

1.6. PARTITION OF UNITY LEMMA. *For every finite cover u of a space X there exists a partition of unity subordinated to u . \blacksquare*

1.7. THEOREM ON PARTITIONS [10]. A space X satisfies the inequality $\dim X \leq n \geq 0$ if and only if for every sequence (A_i, B_i) , $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, of pairs of disjoint closed subsets of X there exist partitions P_1, \dots, P_{n+1} between A_i and B_i such that $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_{n+1} = \emptyset$. ■

1.8. DEFINITION. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow \Delta_n$ to the n -dimensional simplex Δ_n is said to be *inessential*, if the mapping $g = f|_{f^{-1}S^{n-1}} : f^{-1}S^{n-1} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$, where S^{n-1} is the combinatorial boundary of Δ_n , can be extended over X .

1.9. THEOREM [2]. A space X satisfies the inequality $\dim X \leq n \geq 0$ if and only if each mapping $f : X \rightarrow \Delta_{n+1}$ is *inessential*. ■

1.10. THEOREM [11]. Let X be a metrizable space with $\dim X \leq n \geq 0$. Then X can be represented as the union of $n+1$ its subspaces X_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, so that $\dim X_i \leq 0$. ■

1.11. BORSUK'S THEOREM ON EXTENSION OF HOMOTOPY [12, 13]. If F is a closed subspace of X , then each mapping $f : (X \times \{0\}) \cup (F \times I) \rightarrow R$ into ANR-compactum R extends over $X \times I$. ■

1.12. THEOREM [4]. Let $f : X \rightarrow K$ and $g : X \rightarrow K$ be mappings to a simplicial complex K satisfying the following condition:

$$\text{if } f(x) \in Oa_j, \text{ then } g(x) \in Oa_j,$$

where Oa_j is the star of a vertex $a_j \in K$ in K .

Then f and g are homotopically equivalent. ■

1.13. DEFINITION. Let $u = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$ be a finite sequence of sets and let $u \prec v$. An *integration* of the family v with respect to u is the following sequence

$$I(v, u) = (W_1, \dots, W_m) :$$

$$W_1 = \bigcup \{V \in v : V \subset U_1\}, \quad W_j = \bigcup \{V \in v : V \subset U_j; V \not\subset U_k, k < j\}.$$

1.14. PROPOSITION. 1) $\cup I(v, u) = \cup v$, 2) $u \prec I(v, u)$, 3) $\text{ord} I(v, u) \leq \text{ord} v$. ■

1.15. LEMMA. Let $\alpha = (A_1, \dots, A_m)$ and $\beta = (B_1, \dots, B_m)$ be sequences of sets and let $\alpha \vee \beta = (A_1 \cup B_1, \dots, A_m \cup B_m)$. Assume that

1) $\text{ord} \beta \leq 1$;

2) $B_j \cap A_k = \emptyset$ for all $k \neq j$.

Then $N(\alpha \vee \beta) = N(\alpha)$.

Proof. We have to show that for every family j_1, \dots, j_k ,

$$\bigcap \{A_{j_i} \cup B_{j_i} : i = 1, \dots, k\} = \emptyset \iff \bigcap \{A_{j_i} : i = 1, \dots, k\} = \emptyset.$$

Implication \Rightarrow is obvious. Now let $A_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{j_k} = \emptyset$. Then by virtue of Newton binom we have

$$(A_{j_1} \cup B_{j_1}) \cap (A_{j_2} \cup B_{j_2}) \cap \dots \cap (A_{j_k} \cup B_{j_k}) = \sum_{\mu \subset \{1, \dots, k\}} C_\mu$$

where $\nu = \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus \mu$ and $C_\mu = \left(\bigcap \{A_{j_i} : i \in \mu\} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap \{B_{j_i} : i \in \nu\} \right)$.

If $|\mu| = k$, then $C_\mu = A_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_k} = \emptyset$ according to our assumption. If $|\mu| = k - 1$, then $C_\mu = \emptyset$ in view of condition 2). At last, if $|\mu| \leq k - 2$, then $C_\mu = \emptyset$ by virtue of 1). ■

§ 2. Basic properties of finite (m, n) -dimensions

2.1. DEFINITION. Let $u = (U_1, \dots, U_m) \in \text{cov}_m(X)$ and let $\Phi = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$ be a family of closed subsets of X such that

$$F_j \subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m;$$

$$\text{ord}\Phi \leq 1.$$

Then (u, Φ) is said to be an m -pair in X . The set of all m -pairs in X is denoted by $m(X)$.

2.2. DEFINITION. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \leq m$, (u, Φ) be an m -pair in X and let $v = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$ be a family of open subsets of X such that

$$F_j \subset V_j \subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m;$$

$$\text{ord}v \leq n.$$

Then (u, v, Φ) is called an (m, n) -triple in X .

2.3. LEMMA. Let $n_1 \leq n_2$ and let (u, v, Φ) be an (m, n_1) -triple in X . Then (u, v, Φ) is an (m, n_2) -triple in X . ■

Lemma 1.2 yields

2.4. LEMMA. Every m -pair (u, Φ) in X can be included in $(m, 1)$ -triple (u, v, Φ) in X . ■

2.5. DEFINITION. Let $(u, \Phi) \in m(X)$. A closed set $P \subset X$ is said to be an n -partition of (u, Φ) (notation: $P \in \text{Part}(u, \Phi, n)$) if there exists an (m, n) -triple (u, v, Φ) in X such that $P = X \setminus \bigcup v$.

Lemma 2.4 yields

2.6. PROPOSITION. Every m -pair (u, Φ) in X has an n -partition P . ■

2.7. DEFINITION. Let $(u_i, \Phi_i) \in m(X)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$. The sequence $((u_1, \Phi_1), \dots, (u_r, \Phi_r))$ is called n -inessential in X if there exist partitions $P_i \in \text{Part}(u_i, \Phi_i, n)$ such that $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_r = \emptyset$.

2.8. DEFINITION. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \leq m$. To every space X one assigns the dimension $(m, n)\text{-dim}X$, which is an integer ≥ -1 or ∞ . The dimension function $(m, n)\text{-dim}$ is defined in the following way:

(1) $(m, n)\text{-dim}X = -1$ if and only if $X = \emptyset$;

- (2) $(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq k$, where $k = 0, 1, \dots$, if every sequence $((u_1, \Phi_1), \dots, (u_{k+1}, \Phi_{k+1}))$, $(u_i, \Phi_i) \in m(X)$, is n -inessential in X ;
- (3) $(m, n)\text{-dim}X = \infty$, if $(m, n)\text{-dim}X > k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

2.9. THEOREM. *For every space X we have*

$$(2, 1)\text{-dim}X = \dim X.$$

Proof. We start with inequality $(2, 1)\text{-dim}X \leq \dim X$. Let $\dim X = n$ and let $(u_i, \Phi_i) \in 2(X)$, $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$. Let $u_i = (U_1^i, U_2^i)$ and $\Phi_i = (F_1^i, F_2^i)$. Put

$$G_1^i = F_1^i \cup (X \setminus U_2^i), \quad G_2^i = F_2^i \cup (X \setminus U_1^i).$$

Then the family $\Gamma_i = (G_1^i, G_2^i)$ is disjoint, $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$. Since $\dim X \leq n$, from Theorem 1.7 it follows that there exist partitions P_i in X between G_1^i and G_2^i such that $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_{n+1} = \emptyset$. From definitions of the sets G_j^i we get $P_i \in \text{Part}(u_i, \Phi_i, 1)$. Hence the sequence $((u_1, \Phi_1), \dots, (u_{n+1}, \Phi_{n+1}))$ is 1-inessential in X and, consequently, $(2, 1)\text{-dim}X \leq n$.

Now let $(2, 1)\text{-dim}X \leq n$. Let $\Phi_i = (F_1^i, F_2^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$, be pairs of disjoint closed subsets of X . Put

$$U_1^i = X \setminus F_2^i, \quad U_2^i = X \setminus F_1^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n + 1.$$

Then

$$u_i = (U_1^i, U_2^i) \in \text{cov}_2(X), \quad i = 1, \dots, n + 1.$$

Moreover, $(u_i, \Phi_i) \in 2(X)$, $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$. Since $(2, 1)\text{-dim}X \leq n$, there exist partitions $P_i \in \text{Part}(u_i, \Phi_i, 1)$ such that $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_{n+1} = \emptyset$. Since $P_i \in \text{Part}(u_i, \Phi_i, 1)$, there exist pairs $v_i = (V_1^i, V_2^i)$ of disjoint open subsets of X such that

$$F_j^i \subset V_j^i \subset U_j^i, \quad j = 1, 2; \quad i = 1, \dots, n + 1;$$

$$P_i = X \setminus V_1^i \cup V_2^i.$$

Hence P_i are partitions of pairs Φ_i . By virtue of Theorem 1.7 we have $\dim X \leq n$. ■

2.10. PROPOSITION. *Let M be a closed subset of X . Then*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}M \leq (m, n)\text{-dim}X.$$

Proof. The theorem is obvious if $(m, n)\text{-dim}X = -1$ or $(m, n)\text{-dim}X = \infty$, so that we can assume that $(m, n)\text{-dim}X = k$, $0 \leq k < \infty$. Let

$$(u_i, \Phi_i) \in m(M), \quad i = 1, \dots, k + 1;$$

$$u_i = (U_1^i, \dots, U_m^i), \quad \Phi_i = (F_1^i, \dots, F_m^i).$$

Put $W_j^i = U_j^i \cup (X \setminus M)$ and $w_i = (W_1^i, \dots, W_m^i)$. Then $(w_i, \Phi_i) \in m(X)$. Since $(m, n)\text{-dim}X = k$, the sequence $(w_1, \Phi_1), \dots, (w_{k+1}, \Phi_{k+1})$ is n -inessential in X . Clearly, the sequence $(w_1|_M, \Phi_1), \dots, (w_{k+1}|_M, \Phi_{k+1})$ is n -inessential in M . But $w_i|_M = u_i$. ■

2.11. PROPOSITION. *If a space X can be represented as the union of a discrete family X_α , $\alpha \in A$, of closed subspaces such that (m, n) - $\dim X_\alpha \leq k$ for $\alpha \in A$, then (m, n) - $\dim X \leq k$. ■*

2.12. LEMMA. *Let X be a hereditarily normal space and let Y be its subspace. Let F, F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k be a disjoint family of closed subsets of X , V be an open subset of Y , OF be a neighbourhood of F in X such that*

$$Y \cap \text{Cl}(OF) \subset V; \quad (2.1)$$

$$(V \cup OF) \cap F_j = \emptyset, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.2)$$

Then $V \cup F$ is open in $Y_1 = Y \cup F \cup F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_k$.

PROOF. From (2.1) it follows that $(Y \setminus V) \cap \text{Cl}(OF) = \emptyset$ and, consequently, $\text{Cl}(Y \setminus V) \cap OF = \emptyset$. Hence

$$OF \subset X \setminus \text{Cl}(Y \setminus V) = W. \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand,

$$V \subset W. \quad (2.4)$$

In fact, since V is open in Y , we have

$$V \cap \text{Cl}(Y \setminus V) = V \cap \text{Cl}_Y(Y \setminus V) = \emptyset. \quad (2.5)$$

Then $y \in V \Rightarrow (2.5) \Rightarrow y \notin \text{Cl}(Y \setminus V) \Rightarrow y \in X \setminus \text{Cl}(Y \setminus V) = W$.

Conditions (2.3) and (2.4) yield $V \cup OF \subset W$. Consequently, $V \cup F \subset W$ and, in accordance with (2.2), we have

$$V \cup F \subset W \setminus \bigcup \{F_j : j = 1, \dots, m\}. \quad (2.6)$$

To prove our lemma it suffices to check that

$$Y \cap F = Y_1 \cap (W \setminus \bigcup \{F_j : j = 1, \dots, m\}).$$

By virtue of (2.6) it remains to show that

$$Y_1 \cap (W \setminus \bigcup \{F_j : j = 1, \dots, m\}) \subset V \cup F. \quad (2.7)$$

Since $Y_1 \setminus \bigcup \{F_j : j = 1, \dots, m\} = Y \cup F$, we have

$$Y_1 \cap (W \setminus \bigcup \{F_j : j = 1, \dots, m\}) = W \cap (Y \cup F).$$

Consequently, to prove (2.7), it suffices to check that $W \cap Y \subset V$. But $W \cap Y = Y \setminus \text{Cl}(Y \setminus V)$ according to (2.3). Let $y \in Y \setminus \text{Cl}(Y \setminus V)$. Then there exists a neighbourhood Oy such that $Oy \cap (Y \setminus V) = \emptyset$. Consequently, $Y \cap Oy \subset V$. ■

2.13. DEFINITION. For a subspace M of a space X , the *relative (m, n) -dimension* of M is defined by the formula

$$r\text{-}(m, n)\text{-}d_X M = \sup \{ (m, n)\text{-}\dim F : F \subset M \text{ and } F \text{ is closed in } X \}.$$

Proposition 2.10 implies

2.14. PROPOSITION. *For every normal subspace M of a space X we have*

$$r\text{-}(m, n)\text{-}d_X M \leq (m, n)\text{-}\dim M. \quad \blacksquare$$

2.15. LEMMA. *Let $(u, \Phi) \in m(X)$, where $u = (U_1, \dots, U_m)$, $\Phi = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$. Then there exist a cover $u_1 = (U_1^1, \dots, U_m^1) \in \text{cov}_m(X)$ and neighbourhoods OF_j such that*

$$OF_j \subset \text{Cl}(OF_j) \subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \tag{2.8}$$

$$\text{ord}(\text{Cl}(OF_1), \dots, \text{Cl}(OF_m)) \leq 1; \tag{2.9}$$

$$\text{Cl}(OF_j) \subset U_j^1 \subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \tag{2.10}$$

$$j_1 \neq j_2 \Rightarrow \text{Cl}(OF_{j_1}) \cap U_{j_2}^1 = \emptyset. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. By virtue of Lemma 1.2 there exist neighbourhoods OF_j satisfying conditions (2.8) and (2.9). Put

$$U_j^1 = U_j \setminus \bigcup \{ \text{Cl}(OF_k) : k \neq j \}. \tag{2.12}$$

Then (2.9) and (2.12) yield (2.10) and (2.11). It remains to show that $u_1 = (U_1^1, \dots, U_m^1) \in \text{cov}(X)$.

Let $x \in U_j \setminus U_j^1$. Then $x \in \text{Cl}(OF_k)$ for some $k \neq j$. Consequently, from (2.10) it follows that $x \in U_k^1$. \blacksquare

2.16. PROPOSITION. *If a hereditarily normal space X can be represented as the union of two subspaces Y and Z such that*

$$(m, n)\text{-}\dim Y \leq k, \quad r\text{-}(m, n)\text{-}d_X Z \leq l,$$

then

$$(m, n)\text{-}\dim X \leq k + l + 1. \tag{2.13}$$

Proof. We can assume that $0 \leq k < \infty$, $0 \leq l < \infty$. To prove (2.13), we have to show that every sequence $(u_i, \Phi_i) \in m(X)$, $i = 1, \dots, k + l + 2$, is n -inessential in X (see Definition 2.8). Let

$$u_i = (U_1^i, \dots, U_m^i), \quad \Phi_i = (F_1^i, \dots, F_m^i), \quad i = 1, \dots, k + l + 2.$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.15 we may assume that there exist neighbourhoods OF_j^i such that

$$F_j^i \subset OF_j^i \subset \text{Cl}(OF_j^i) \subset U_j^i; \tag{2.14}$$

$$l \neq j \implies U_l^i \cap \text{Cl}(OF_j^i) = \emptyset, \quad i = 1, \dots, k + 1. \tag{2.15}$$

From (2.14) and (2.15) it follows that

$$(u_i, \Omega_i) \in m(X), \quad \text{where } \Omega_i = (\text{Cl}(OF_1^i), \dots, \text{Cl}(OF_{k+1}^i)).$$

Since $(m, n)\text{-dim}Y \leq k$, the sequence $(u_i|Y, \Omega_i|Y)$, $i = 1, \dots, k+1$, is n -inessential in Y . Hence there exist sequences $v_i = (V_1^i, \dots, V_m^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k+1$, of open subsets of Y such that

$$\begin{aligned} Y \cap \text{Cl}(OF_j^i) &\subset V_j^i \subset U_j^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k+1; \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \\ \text{ord}v_i &\leq n, \quad i = 1, \dots, k+1; \\ v_1 \cup \dots \cup v_{k+1} &\in \text{cov}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Put $Y_1^i = Y \cup F_1^i \cup \dots \cup F_m^i$ and $\varphi_i = (V_1^i \cup F_1^i, \dots, V_m^i \cup F_m^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, k+1$. By virtue of (2.15) and Lemma 1.15 we have

$$\text{ord}\varphi_i = \text{ord}v_i \leq n. \quad (2.16)$$

The pair (V_j^i, F_j^i) satisfies conditions of Lemma 2.12. Hence members of φ_i are open in Y_1^i . Since X is hereditarily normal, according to Lemma 1.3 there exist families

$$w_i = (W_1^i, \dots, W_m^i), \quad i = 1, \dots, k+1,$$

of open subsets of X such that

$$V_j^i \cup F_j^i \subset W_j^i \subset U_j^i, \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \quad (2.17)$$

$$\text{ord}w_i \leq n. \quad (2.18)$$

Put $W_i = W_1^i \cup \dots \cup W_m^i$ and $W = W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_{k+1}$. By definition we have

$$w_1 \cup \dots \cup w_{k+1} \in \text{cov}(W). \quad (2.19)$$

Let $F = X \setminus W$. By virtue of (2.17) we have $F \subset Z$. Since $r\text{-}(m, n)\text{-}d_X Z \leq l$, we have $(m, n)\text{-dim}F \leq l$. Hence the sequence $(u_i|F, \Phi_i|F)$, $i = k+2, \dots, k+l+2$, is n -inessential in F . Following to the first part of the proof we can find families

$$w_i = (W_1^i, \dots, W_m^i), \quad i = k+2, \dots, k+l+2,$$

of open subsets of X such that $\text{ord}w_i \leq n$,

$$F_j^i \subset W_j^i \subset U_j^i, \quad i = k+2, \dots, k+l+2; \quad j = 1, \dots, m;$$

and

$$F \subset \bigcup \{W_j^i : i = k+2, \dots, k+l+2; \quad j = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Thus the sequence w_1, \dots, w_{k+l+2} realizes the conditions of an n -inessentiality of the sequence (u_i, Φ_i) , $i = 1, \dots, k+l+2$. ■

Proposition 2.16 implies

2.17. THE ADDITION THEOREM FOR $(m, n)\text{-dim}$. *If a hereditarily normal space X is represented as the union of two subspaces X_1 and X_2 , then*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq (m, n)\text{-dim}X_1 + (m, n)\text{-dim}X_2 + 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem 2.17 yields

2.18. COROLLARY. *If a hereditarily normal space X can be represented as the union of $k + 1$ subspaces X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k such that $(m, n)\text{-dim}X_i \leq 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, then $(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq k$. ■*

2.19. PROPOSITION. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and let a sequence $(u_i, \Phi_i) \in m(Y)$ be n -inessential in Y . Then the sequence $(f^{-1}u_i, f^{-1}\Phi_i)$ is n -inessential in X . ■*

2.20. PROPOSITION. *Let $(u_i^l, \Phi_i^l) \in m(X)$, $u_i^l = ({}^lU_1^i, \dots, {}^lU_m^i)$, $\Phi_i^l = ({}^lF_1^i, \dots, {}^lF_m^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$; $l = 1, 2$. Assume that*

$${}^1F_j^i \subset {}^2F_j^i \subset {}^2U_j^i \subset {}^1U_j^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, r; \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Let the sequence (u_i^2, Φ_i^2) , $i = 1, \dots, r$, be n -inessential in X . Then the sequence (u_i^1, Φ_i^1) , $i = 1, \dots, r$, is n -inessential in X . ■

2.21. THEOREM. *Let $S = \{X_\alpha, \pi_\beta^\alpha, A\}$ be an inverse system of compact spaces X_α with $(m, n)\text{-dim}X_\alpha \leq k$, and let $X = \lim S$. Then $(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq k$.*

PROOF. We have to verify that an arbitrary sequence $(u_i, \Phi_i) \in m(X)$, $i = 1, \dots, k + 1$, is n -inessential in X . Let $u_i = (U_1^i, \dots, U_m^i)$, $\Phi_i = (F_1^i, \dots, F_m^i)$. Since X is a compact space, by definition of the inverse limit topology, for each $i = 1, \dots, k + 1$ there exists $\alpha_i \in A$ and

$$u_i^{\alpha_i} = ({}^iU_1^i, \dots, {}^iU_m^i) \in \text{cov}_m(X_{\alpha_i}) \tag{2.20}$$

such that

$$\pi_{\alpha_i}^{-1}({}^iU_j^i) \subset U_j^i, \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \tag{2.21}$$

$$\text{ord}(\pi_{\alpha_i}(\Phi_i)) \leq 1, \tag{2.22}$$

where $\pi_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ are the limit projections of the system S and $\pi_\alpha(\Phi_i) = (\pi_\alpha(F_1^i), \dots, \pi_\alpha(F_m^i))$. Since A is a directed set, there exists $\alpha_0 \in A$ such that

$$\alpha_i \leq \alpha_0, \quad i = 1, \dots, k + 1.$$

Put

$${}^0U_j^i = (\pi_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_0})^{-1}({}^iU_j^i), \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \tag{2.23}$$

$${}^0F_j^i = (\pi_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_0})^{-1}(\pi_{\alpha_i}(F_j^i)), \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \tag{2.24}$$

$$u_i^0 = ({}^0U_1^i, \dots, {}^0U_m^i), \quad i = 1, \dots, k + 1; \tag{2.25}$$

$$\Phi_i^0 = ({}^0F_1^i, \dots, {}^0F_m^i), \quad i = 1, \dots, k + 1. \tag{2.26}$$

By virtue of (2.20)–(2.22) we have

$$(u_i^0, \Phi_i^0) \in m(X_{\alpha_0}), \quad i = 1, \dots, k + 1. \tag{2.27}$$

Since $(m, n)\text{-dim}X_{\alpha_0} \leq k$, the sequence (2.27) is n -inessential in X_{α_0} . Then the sequence

$$\left(\pi_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(u_i^0), \pi_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(\Phi_i^0)\right), \quad i = 1, \dots, k+1,$$

is n -inessential in X according to Proposition 2.19. On the other hand, from (2.21), (2.23)–(2.25) it follows that

$$\Phi_i \text{ refines } \pi_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(\Phi_i^0) \text{ and } \pi_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(u_i^0) \text{ refines } u_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, k+1.$$

Consequently, Proposition 2.20 implies that the sequence (u_i, Φ_i) , $i = 1, \dots, k+1$, is n -inessential in X . ■

§ 3. Comparison of dimensions

3.1. PROPOSITION. *If $n \geq m$, then $(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq 0$ for every space X .* ■

The condition

$$n_1 \leq n_2 \Rightarrow \text{Part}(u, \Phi, n_2) \subset \text{Part}(u, \Phi, n_1) \quad (3.1)$$

implies

3.2. PROPOSITION. *If $n_1 \leq n_2$, then*

$$(m, n_1)\text{-dim}X \geq (m, n_2)\text{-dim}X$$

for every space X . ■

The condition

$$m_1 \leq m_2 \Rightarrow \text{cov}_{m_1}(X) \subset \text{cov}_{m_2}(X) \quad (3.2)$$

yields

3.3. PROPOSITION. *If $m_1 \leq m_2$, then*

$$(m_1, n)\text{-dim}X \leq (m_2, n)\text{-dim}X$$

for every space X . ■

3.4. THEOREM. *If $n < m$, then for every space X we have*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq 0 \iff \dim X \leq n - 1.$$

Proof. Let $(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq 0$. We have to show that

$$\dim X \leq n - 1. \quad (3.3)$$

According to Theorem 1.9 condition (3.3) is equivalent to the condition

$$\text{every mapping } f : X \rightarrow \Delta_n \text{ is inessential.} \quad (3.4)$$

Let a_j , $j = 1, \dots, n+1$, be the vertices of the simplex Δ_n and let O_j be the stars of Δ_n with respect to a_j . Put

$$U_j = f^{-1}O_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n+1. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $n < m$, we have $u = (U_1, \dots, U_{n+1}) \in \text{cov}_m(X)$. Consider a pair (u, Φ) , where $\Phi = (F_1, \dots, F_{n+1})$ and $F_j = \emptyset, \quad j = 1, \dots, n + 1$. Then $(u, \Phi) \in m(X)$. In view of $(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq 0$ there exists a cover $v = (V_1, \dots, V_{n+1})$ of X such that

$$V_j \subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n + 1; \tag{3.6}$$

$$\text{ord}v \leq n. \tag{3.7}$$

Consider a partition of unity $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n+1})$ subordinated to the cover v . Let

$$\varphi = \varphi_1 \Delta \dots \Delta \varphi_{n+1} \rightarrow \Delta_n$$

be the barycentric mapping defined by $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n+1})$, that is

$$\varphi(x) = (\varphi_1(x), \dots, \varphi_{n+1}(x)),$$

where $\varphi_j(x)$ is the barycentric coordinate of the point $\varphi(x)$ corresponding to the vertex $a_j \in \Delta_n$. We have

$$\varphi^{-1}O_j = \{x \in X : \varphi_j(x) > 0\} \subset V_j \subset U_j. \tag{3.8}$$

From (3.7) it follows that

$$\varphi(X) \subset \Delta_n^{n-1} = S^{n-1}, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\Delta_n^{n-1} = S^{n-1}$ is the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional skeleton of the simplex Δ_n . Let $F = f^{-1}S^{n-1}$. Conditions (3.5) and (3.8) imply that

$$\varphi(x) \in O_j \Rightarrow f(x) \in O_j.$$

Hence the mappings $\varphi : F \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ and $f_0 = f|_F : F \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ are homotopically equivalent by Theorem 1.12. Consequently, from (3.9) it follows that the mapping f_0 is extended over X by virtue of Theorem 1.11. Thus f is inessential. Inequality (3.3) is proved.

Now let $\text{dim}X \leq n - 1$. We have to check that

$$(m, n)\text{-dim}X \leq 0. \tag{3.10}$$

If $m = n$, then (3.10) is a corollary of Proposition 3.1, so that we assume that $m - n \geq 1$. Let $(u, \Phi), \quad u = (U_1, \dots, U_m), \quad \Phi = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$, be an m -pair in X . To prove (3.10), we have to find a cover $v = (V_1, \dots, V_m) \in \text{cov}_m(X)$ such that

$$F_j \subset V_j \subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \tag{3.11}$$

$$\text{ord}v \leq n. \tag{3.12}$$

Let us take a cover $u_1 = (U_1^1, \dots, U_m^1)$ and neighbourhoods OF_j from Lemma 2.15. Since $\text{dim}X \leq n - 1$, there exist a cover $w_1 \in \text{cov}(X)$ such that w_1 refines u_1 and $\text{ord}w_1 \leq n$. Let $w = (W_1, \dots, W_m)$ be an integration of w_1 with respect to u_1 . In accordance with Definition 1.13 and Proposition 1.14 w is a cover of order $\leq n$ such that

$$W_j \subset U_j^1, \quad j = 1, \dots, m. \tag{3.13}$$

Put $V_j = W_j \cup OF_j$ and $v = (V_1, \dots, V_m)$. From Lemma 1.15 (for $A_j = W_j$ and $B_j = OF_j$), (2.10), and (3.13) it follows that v is a cover satisfying conditions (2.11) and (2.12). ■

Theorem 3.4 implies

3.5. THEOREM. *Let $m \geq n + 2$. Then $\dim X \leq n$ if and only if for every cover $u = (U_1, \dots, U_m) \in \text{cov}_m(X)$ and for every disjoint family $\Phi = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$ of closed subsets of X such that $F_j \subset U_j$ there exists a cover $v = (V_1, \dots, V_m) \in \text{cov}_m(X)$ such that*

$$F_j \subset V_j \subset U_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m;$$

$$\text{ord } v \leq n + 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Another corollary of Theorem 3.4 is

3.6. THEOREM. *For every space X we have*

$$\dim X \leq 0 \Rightarrow (m, n)\text{-dim } X \leq 0.$$

Proof. Theorem 3.4 implies that $(m, 1)\text{-dim } X \leq 0$. Applying Proposition 3.2 we get the required property. ■

3.7. THEOREM. *For every metrizable space X we have*

$$(m, n)\text{-dim } X \leq \dim X. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. The assertion is obvious if $\dim X = -1$ or $\dim X = \infty$. Assume that $\dim X = k$, $0 \leq k < \infty$. By virtue of Katetov theorem (Theorem 1.10) there exist subspaces $X_i \subset X$, $0 \leq i \leq k$, such that $\dim X_i \leq 0$ and $X = X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_k$. According to Theorem 3.6 we have $(m, n)\text{-dim } X \leq 0$. It remains to apply Corollary 2.18. ■

3.8. QUESTION. Does equality (3.14) hold for an arbitrary space X ?

3.9. THEOREM. *If $m \geq 2$, then*

$$(m, 1)\text{-dim } X = \dim X \quad (3.15)$$

for every metrizable space X .

Proof. By virtue of Theorem 2.9

$$(2, 1)\text{-dim } X = \dim X. \quad (3.16)$$

From (3.16) and Proposition 3.3 it follows that $(m, 1)\text{-dim } X \leq \dim X$. At last, Theorem 3.7 yields

$$(m, 1)\text{-dim } X \geq \dim X. \quad \blacksquare$$

3.10. QUESTION. Does equality (3.15) hold for an arbitrary space X ?

REFERENCES

- [1] D.F. Addis, J.H. Gresham, *A class of infinite-dimensional spaces I. Dimension theory and Alexandroff's problem*, Fund. Math. **101** (1978), 195–205.
- [2] P.S. Alexandroff, *On the dimension of normal spaces*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, A **189** (1947), 11–39.
- [3] P.S. Alexandroff, B.A. Pasynkov, *Introduction to Dimension Theory*, Moscow, Nayka, 1972 (in Russian).
- [4] R. Cauty, *Sur le prolongement des fonctions continues á valeurs dans les CW-complexes*, C.R. Acad.Sci. **274** (1972), A35–A37.
- [5] E. Čech, *Théorie général de l'homologie dans un espace quelconque*, Fund. Math. **19** (1932), 149–183.
- [6] R. Engelking, *Theory of Dimensions. Finite and Infinite*, Sigma Ser. Pure Math. **10**, Heldermann, Lemgo, 1995.
- [7] V.V. Fedorchuk, *C-spaces and simplicial complexes*, Sib. Matem. J. **50** (2009), 933–941 (in Russian).
- [8] V.V. Fedorchuk, *C-spaces and matrix of infinite-dimensionality*, Topology Appl. **157** (2010), 2622–2634.
- [9] W.E. Haver, *A covering property for metric spaces*, Lecture Notes Math. **375** (1974), 108–113.
- [10] E. Hemmingsen, *Some theorems on dimension theory for normal Hausdorff spaces*, Duke Math. J. **13** (1946), 495–504.
- [11] M. Katětov, *On the dimension of metric spaces*, Dokl. AN USSR **79** (1951), 189–191 (In Russian).
- [12] K. Morita, *On generalizations of Borsuk's homotopy extension theorem*, Fund. Math. **88** (1975), 1–6.
- [13] M. Starbird, *The Borsuk homotopy extension without binormality condition*, Fund. Math. **87** (1975), 207–211.

(received 27.12.2011; in revised form 06.02.2012; available online 15.03.2012)

Mech.-Math. Faculty, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

E-mail: vvfedorchuk@gmail.com