

## PROPERTY $(gR)$ UNDER NILPOTENT COMMUTING PERTURBATION

O. García, C. Carpintero, E. Rosas and J. Sanabria

**Abstract.** The property  $(gR)$ , introduced in [Aiena, P., Guillen, J. and Peña, P., *Property  $(gR)$  and perturbations*, to appear in Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), 2012], is an extension to the context of B-Fredholm theory, of property  $(R)$ , introduced in [Aiena, P., Guillen, J. and Peña, P., *Property  $(R)$  for bounded linear operators*, Mediterr. J. Math. **8** (4), 491-508, 2011]. In this paper we continue the study of property  $(gR)$  and we consider its preservation under perturbations by finite rank and nilpotent operators. We also prove that if  $T$  is left polaroid (resp. right polaroid) and  $N$  is a nilpotent operator which commutes with  $T$  then  $T + N$  is also left polaroid (resp. right polaroid).

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $L(X)$  denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on an infinite-dimensional complex Banach space  $X$ . For  $T \in L(X)$ , we denote by  $N(T)$  the null space of  $T$  and by  $R(T) = T(X)$  the range of  $T$ . We denote by  $\alpha(T) := \dim N(T)$  the nullity of  $T$  and by  $\beta(T) := \operatorname{codim} R(T) = \dim X/R(T)$  the defect of  $T$ . Other two classical quantities in operator theory are the *ascent*  $p = p(T)$  of an operator  $T$ , defined as the smallest non-negative integer  $p$  such that  $N(T^p) = N(T^{p+1})$  (if such an integer does not exist, we put  $p(T) = \infty$ ), and the *descent*  $q = q(T)$ , defined as the smallest non-negative integer  $q$  such that  $R(T^q) = R(T^{q+1})$  (if such an integer does not exist, we put  $q(T) = \infty$ ). It is well known that if  $p(T)$  and  $q(T)$  are both finite then  $p(T) = q(T)$ . Furthermore,  $0 < p(\lambda I - T) = q(\lambda I - T) < \infty$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is a pole of the resolvent, see [14, Prop. 50.2]. An operator  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *Fredholm* (respectively, *upper semi-Fredholm*, *lower semi-Fredholm*), if  $\alpha(T)$ ,  $\beta(T)$  are both finite (respectively,  $R(T)$  closed and  $\alpha(T) < \infty$ ,  $\beta(T) < \infty$ ).  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *semi-Fredholm* if  $T$  is either an upper semi-Fredholm or a lower semi-Fredholm operator. If  $T$  is semi-Fredholm, the *index* of  $T$  is defined by  $\operatorname{ind} T := \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$ . Other two important classes of operators in Fredholm theory are the classes of semi-Browder operators. These classes are defined as follows.  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *Browder*

---

2010 Math. Subject Classification: 47A10, 47A11, 47A53, 47A55

Keywords and phrases: Property  $(gR)$ ; semi B-Fredholm operator; perturbation.

(resp. *upper semi-Browder*, *lower semi-Browder*) if  $T$  is a Fredholm (respectively, upper semi-Fredholm, lower semi-Fredholm) and both  $p(T)$ ,  $q(T)$  are finite (respectively,  $p(T) < \infty$ ,  $q(T) < \infty$ ). A bounded operator  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *upper semi-Weyl* (respectively, *lower semi-Weyl*) if  $T$  is upper Fredholm operator (respectively, lower semi-Fredholm) and index  $\text{ind } T \leq 0$  (respectively,  $\text{ind } T \geq 0$ ).  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *Weyl* if  $T$  is both upper and lower semi-Weyl, i.e.  $T$  is a Fredholm operator having index 0. The *Fredholm spectrum*, the *Browder spectrum* and the *Weyl spectrum* are defined, respectively, by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_f(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not Fredholm}\}, \\ \sigma_b(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not Browder}\}, \\ \sigma_w(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not Weyl}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Since every Browder operator is Weyl then  $\sigma_w(T) \subseteq \sigma_b(T)$ . Analogously, the *upper semi-Browder spectrum* and the *upper semi-Weyl spectrum* are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{ub}(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not upper semi-Browder}\}, \\ \sigma_{uw}(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not upper semi-Weyl}\}.\end{aligned}$$

A bounded operator  $R \in L(X)$  is said to be *Riesz* if  $\lambda I - T$  is a Fredholm operator for all  $\lambda \neq 0$ , i.e.  $\sigma_f(T) \subseteq \{0\}$ . The classical Riesz-Schauder theory of compact operators shows that every compact operator is Riesz. Also quasi-nilpotent operators (in particular nilpotent operators) are Riesz, since  $\sigma_f(Q) \subseteq \sigma(Q) = \{0\}$  for any operator quasi-nilpotent  $Q \in L(X)$ . Browder spectra and Weyl spectra are invariant under commuting Riesz perturbations (see [15, 16]), i.e. if  $R$  is a Riesz operator such that  $TR = RT$ ,

$$\sigma_{ub}(T) = \sigma_{ub}(T + R) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{uw}(T) = \sigma_{uw}(T + R).$$

Recall that  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *bounded below* if  $T$  is injective and has closed range. Denote by  $\sigma_{ap}(T)$  the classical *approximate point spectrum* defined by

$$\sigma_{ap}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not bounded below}\}.$$

Note that if  $\sigma_s(T)$  denotes the *surjectivity spectrum*

$$\sigma_s(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not onto}\}.$$

Obviously,  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T) \cup \sigma_s(T)$ . Furthermore  $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_s(T^*)$  and  $\sigma_s(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T^*)$ , where  $T^*$  is the dual of  $T$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** [1] *If  $T \in L(X)$  and  $Q$  is a quasi-nilpotent operator commuting with  $T$  then*

- (i)  $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T + Q)$ ,
- (ii)  $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T + Q)$ ,
- (iii)  $\sigma_s(T) = \sigma_s(T + Q)$ .

## 2. Semi B-Browder spectra under nilpotent perturbations

Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $T_n$  the restriction of  $T \in L(X)$  on the subspace  $R(T^n) = T^n(X)$ . According to [10, 11],  $T$  is said to be semi *B-Fredholm* (respectively, *B-Fredholm*, *upper semi B-Fredholm*, *lower semi B-Fredholm*), if for some integer  $n \geq 0$  the range  $R(T^n)$  is closed and  $T_n$ , viewed as an operator from the space  $R(T^n)$  into itself, is a semi-Fredholm operator (respectively, Fredholm, upper semi-Fredholm, lower semi-Fredholm). Analogously,  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *B-Browder* (respectively, *upper semi B-Browder*, *lower semi B-Browder*), if for some integer  $n \geq 0$  the range  $R(T^n)$  is closed and  $T_n$  is a Browder operator (respectively, upper semi-Browder, lower semi-Browder). If  $T_n$  is a semi-Fredholm operator, it follows from [11, Proposition 2.1] that also  $T_m$  is semi-Fredholm for every  $m \geq n$ , and  $\text{ind } T_m = \text{ind } T_n$ . This enables us to define the *index* of a semi B-Fredholm operator  $T$  as the index of the semi-Fredholm operator  $T_n$ . Thus, a bounded operator  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be a *B-Weyl operator* if  $T$  is a B-Fredholm operator having index 0.  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be *upper semi B-Weyl* if  $T$  is upper semi B-Fredholm with  $\text{ind } T \leq 0$ , and  $T$  is said to be *lower semi B-Weyl* if  $T$  is lower semi B-Fredholm with  $\text{ind } T \geq 0$ . Note that if  $T$  is B-Fredholm then also  $T^*$  is B-Fredholm with  $\text{ind } T^* = -\text{ind } T$ .

The classes of operators defined above motivate the definitions of several spectra. The *upper semi B-Browder spectrum* is defined by

$$\sigma_{\text{ubb}}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not upper semi B-Browder}\}.$$

The *lower semi B-Browder spectrum* is defined by

$$\sigma_{\text{lbb}}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not lower semi B-Browder}\},$$

while the *B-Browder spectrum* is defined by

$$\sigma_{\text{bb}}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not B-Browder}\}.$$

Clearly,  $\sigma_{\text{bb}}(T) = \sigma_{\text{ubb}}(T) \cup \sigma_{\text{lbb}}(T)$ . The *B-Weyl spectrum* is defined by

$$\sigma_{\text{bw}}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not B-Weyl}\},$$

the *upper semi B-Weyl spectrum* and *lower semi B-Weyl spectrum* are defined, respectively, by

$$\sigma_{\text{ubw}}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not upper semi B-Weyl}\},$$

and

$$\sigma_{\text{lbw}}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not lower semi B-Weyl}\}.$$

**DEFINITION 2.1.**  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be left (resp. right) Drazin invertible if  $p = p(T) < \infty$  (resp.  $q = q(T) < \infty$ ) and  $T^{p+1}(X)$  (resp.  $T^q(X)$ ) is closed.  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be Drazin invertible if  $p(T) = q(T) < \infty$ . If  $\lambda I - T$  is left (resp. right) Drazin invertible and  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ap}}(T)$  (resp.  $\lambda \in \sigma_s(T)$ ) then  $\lambda$  is said to be a left (resp. right) pole.

Clearly,  $T \in L(X)$  is both right and left Drazin invertible if and only if  $T$  is Drazin invertible. In fact, if  $0 < p = p(T) = q(T) < \infty$ , then  $T^p(X) = T^{p+1}(X)$  is

the kernel of the spectral projection associated with the spectral set  $\{0\}$  [14, Prop. 50.2]. The left Drazin spectrum is then defined as

$$\sigma_{ld}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not left Drazin invertible}\},$$

the right Drazin spectrum is defined as

$$\sigma_{rd}(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not right Drazin invertible}\}$$

and Drazin spectrum is defined as

$$\sigma_d(T) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is not Drazin invertible}\}.$$

Obviously,  $\sigma_d(T) = \sigma_{ld}(T) \cup \sigma_{rd}(T)$ . Furthermore  $\sigma_{ld}(T) = \sigma_{rd}(T^*)$  and  $\sigma_{rd}(T) = \sigma_{ld}(T^*)$ , where  $T^*$  is the dual of  $T$ , see Theorem 2.1 of [3].

**THEOREM 2.2.** [13] *If  $T \in L(X)$  then we have*

- (i)  *$T$  is right Drazin invertible if and only if there exists a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $T^k(X)$  is closed and  $T_k$  is onto. In this case  $T^j(X)$  is closed and  $T_j$  is onto for all naturals  $j \geq k$ .*
- (ii)  *$T$  is left Drazin invertible if and only if  $T$  is upper semi B-Browder.*
- (iii)  *$T$  is right Drazin invertible if and only if  $T$  is lower semi B-Browder.*
- (iv)  *$T$  is Drazin invertible if and only if  $T$  is B-Browder.*

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *If  $T \in L(X)$  then we have*

$$\sigma_{ubb}(T) = \sigma_{ld}(T), \quad \sigma_{lbb}(T) = \sigma_{rd}(T) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{bb}(T) = \sigma_d(T).$$

It has been observed in [9], that the B-Browder spectrum is invariant under commuting finite dimensional perturbation. In the next propositions we prove that all Drazin spectra are invariant under nilpotent commuting perturbations.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $T \in L(X)$  and  $N$  be a nilpotent operator which commutes with  $T$ . Then  $\sigma_{rd}(T + N) = \sigma_{lbb}(T + N) = \sigma_{lbb}(T) = \sigma_{rd}(T)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{lbb}(T)$ . By part (iii) of Theorem 2.2,  $\lambda I - T$  is right Drazin invertible and hence,  $q = q(\lambda I - T) < \infty$  and  $(\lambda I - T)^q(X)$  is closed. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $N^n = 0$  and set  $m_1 = \max\{q, n\}$ . We claim that

$$[(\lambda I - T) + N]^{2k}(X) \subseteq (\lambda I - T)^q(X) \quad \text{for all } k \geq m_1. \quad (1)$$

To show this, let  $y \in [(\lambda I - T) + N]^{2k}(X)$  be arbitrary, so that there exists  $x \in X$  for which  $[(\lambda I - T) + N]^{2k}(x) = y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \sum_{i=0}^{2k} \mu_{i,k} N^i ((\lambda I - T)^{2k-i}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \mu_{i,k} N^i ((\lambda I - T)^{2k-i}(x)) + \sum_{i=k+1}^{2k} \mu_{i,k} N^i ((\lambda I - T)^{2k-i}(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \mu_{i,k} N^i ((\lambda I - T)^{2k-i}(x)) \\ &= (\lambda I - T)^k \left[ \sum_{i=0}^k \mu_{i,k} N^i ((\lambda I - T)^{k-i}(x)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $y \in (\lambda I - T)^k(X)$ . Hence, since  $k \geq q$ ,

$$[(\lambda I - T) + N]^{2k}(X) \subseteq (\lambda I - T)^k(X) = (\lambda I - T)^q(X). \quad (2)$$

To prove the opposite inclusion, observe, by using (2), that it also follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - T)^q(X) &= (\lambda I - T)^{4k}(X) = [(\lambda I - T) + N - N]^{4k}(X) \\ &\subseteq [(\lambda I - T) + N]^{2k}(X), \end{aligned}$$

from which the equality (1) follows. Consequently,  $[(\lambda I - T)]^{2k}(X)$  is closed for all  $k$  sufficiently large. Now, from part (i) of Theorem 2.2, we can choose  $k$  such that the restriction  $(\lambda I - T)_{2k}$  of  $(\lambda I - T)$  to  $M = (\lambda I - T)^{2k}(X) = [(\lambda I - T) + N]^{2k}(X)$  is onto. If  $N_{2k}$  denotes the restriction of  $N$  to  $M$ , then  $(\lambda I - T)_{2k} + N_{2k} = [(\lambda I - T) + N]_{2k}$  is onto, so, by Theorem 2.2, part (i),  $(\lambda I - T) + N$  is right Drazin invertible, or equivalently, lower semi B-Browder. This shows that  $\sigma_{lbb}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{lbb}(T + N)$  and by symmetry the opposite inclusion holds, so the equality  $\sigma_{lbb}(T + N) = \sigma_{lbb}(T)$ . ■

By duality we have

**COROLLARY 2.5.** *Let  $T \in L(X)$  and  $N$  be a nilpotent operator which commutes with  $T$ . Then  $\sigma_{ld}(T + N) = \sigma_{ubb}(T + N) = \sigma_{ubb}(T) = \sigma_{ld}(T)$  and  $\sigma_d(T + N) = \sigma_{bb}(T + N) = \sigma_{bb}(T) = \sigma_d(T)$ .*

**REMARK 2.6.** Theorem 2.4 and Corollary 2.5 answer positively to a question from [6], in particular it improves Theorem 4.3, where the invariance of the spectrum  $\sigma_{lbb}(T)$ , under commuting nilpotent perturbations, was proved assuming that  $T$  has SVEP, while the invariance of  $\sigma_{ubb}(T)$  was proved assuming that  $T^*$  has SVEP.

### 3. Property (gR) under nilpotent perturbations

For an operator  $T \in L(X)$  define

$$\begin{aligned} E(T) &= \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T) : 0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T)\}, \\ E^a(T) &= \{\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma_{ap}(T) : 0 < \alpha(\lambda I - T)\}, \\ \Pi_{00}(T) &= \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{bb}(T), \\ \Pi_{00}^a(T) &= \sigma_{ap}(T) \setminus \sigma_{ubb}(T). \end{aligned}$$

**DEFINITION 3.1.** A bounded  $T \in L(X)$  is said to satisfy:

- (i) property (gR) if  $\sigma_{ap}(T) \setminus \sigma_{ubb}(T) = E(T)$ ;
- (ii) property (gR<sup>a</sup>) if  $\sigma_{ap}(T) \setminus \sigma_{ubb}(T) = E^a(T)$ ;
- (iii) property (gw) if  $\sigma(T)_{ap} \setminus \sigma_{ubw}(T) = E(T)$ ;
- (iv) generalized a-Weyl's theorem if  $\sigma_{ap}(T) \setminus \sigma_{ubw}(T) = E^a(T)$ .

Also a-Browder's theorem admits a generalized version, the generalized a-Browder's theorem, which means that  $T$  satisfies  $\sigma_{ubw}(T) = \sigma_{ubb}(T)$ . However, a-Browder's theorem and generalized a-Browder's theorem are equivalent, for a proof see [4].

THEOREM 3.2. [7] *If  $T \in L(X)$ , then we have*

- (i)  *$T$  satisfies property (gw) if and only if  $a$ -Browder's theorem and property (gR) holds for  $T$ ;*
- (ii)  *$T$  satisfies generalized  $a$ -Weyl's theorem if and only if  $a$ -Browder's theorem and property (gR<sup>a</sup>) holds for  $T$ .*

THEOREM 3.3. *Let  $T \in L(X)$  and  $N$  be a nilpotent operator which commutes with  $T$ . Then  $E(T) = E(T + N)$  and  $E^a(T) = E^a(T + N)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $N^n = 0$ . It is easily seen that

$$N(\lambda I - T) \subseteq N(\lambda I - T + N)^n. \quad (3)$$

Indeed, if  $x \in N(\lambda I - T)$  then for some suitable binomial coefficients  $\mu_{n,j}$ , we have

$$(\lambda I - T + N)^n x = \sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{n,j} (\lambda I - T)^j N^{n-j} x = 0,$$

hence  $x \in N(\lambda I - T + N)^n$ .

Now, let  $\lambda \in E(T)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T) = \text{iso } \sigma(T + N)$  and  $\alpha(\lambda I - T) > 0$ . Suppose that  $\alpha(\lambda I - T + N) = 0$ . Then  $\alpha(\lambda I - T + N)^k = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . From the inclusion (3), we have  $\alpha(\lambda I - T) = 0$  and this is impossible. Therefore  $\alpha(\lambda I - T + N) > 0$ . Consequently,  $E(T) \subseteq E(T + N)$  and, again by symmetry, the opposite inclusion holds. Therefore,  $E(T) = E(T + N)$ . Similarly we can prove that  $E^a(T) = E^a(T + N)$ . ■

THEOREM 3.4. *Let  $T \in L(X)$  and  $N$  be a nilpotent operator which commutes with  $T$ . Then  $T$  satisfies the property (gR) if only if  $T + N$  satisfies the property (gR).*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 2.4, it follows that

$$E(T + N) = E(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T) \setminus \sigma_{ubb}(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T + N) \setminus \sigma_{ubb}(T + N),$$

hence  $T + N$  satisfies property (gR). By symmetry the reciprocal holds. ■

THEOREM 3.5. *Let  $T \in L(X)$  and  $N$  be a nilpotent operator which commutes with  $T$ . Then  $T$  satisfies the property (gR<sup>a</sup>) if only if  $T + N$  satisfies the property (gR<sup>a</sup>).*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 2.4, it follows that

$$E^a(T + N) = E^a(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T) \setminus \sigma_{ubb}(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T + N) \setminus \sigma_{ubb}(T + N),$$

hence  $T + N$  satisfies property (gR<sup>a</sup>). By symmetry the reciprocal holds. ■

DEFINITION 3.6.  $T \in L(X)$  is said to be left (resp. right) polaroid if  $\sigma_{ap}(T)$  is empty or every isolated point of  $\sigma_{ap}(T)$  is a left pole (resp.  $\sigma_s(T)$  is empty or every isolated point of  $\sigma_s(T)$  is a right pole).

THEOREM 3.7. *If  $T \in L(X)$  is a left polaroid and  $N$  is a nilpotent operator commuting with  $T$ , then  $T$  is a left polaroid if only if  $T + N$  is a left polaroid.*

*Proof.* Obviously, by Corollary 2.3, we have  $\text{iso } \sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_{ap}(T) \setminus \sigma_{ubb}(T)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{iso } \sigma_{ap}(T + N) &= \text{iso } \sigma_{ap}(T) \\ &= \sigma_{ap}(T) \setminus \sigma_{ubb}(T) \\ &= \sigma_{ap}(T + N) \setminus \sigma_{ubb}(T + N). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T + N$  is left polaroid. By symmetry the reciprocal holds. ■

REMARK 3.8. The result of Theorem 3.9 improves Corollary 2.12 of [2], where it was proved that  $T + N$  is a left polaroid assuming that  $T$  is a left polaroid and  $T^*$  has SVEP at the points  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{uw}(T)$ .

THEOREM 3.9. *If  $T \in L(X)$  is a right polaroid and  $N$  is a nilpotent operator commuting with  $T$ , then  $T$  is a right polaroid if and only if  $T + N$  is a right polaroid.*

*Proof.* Obviously, by Corollary 2.3, we have  $\text{iso } \sigma_s(T) = \sigma_s(T) \setminus \sigma_{lbb}(T)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{iso } \sigma_s(T + N) &= \text{iso } \sigma_s(T) \\ &= \sigma_s(T) \setminus \sigma_{lbb}(T) \\ &= \sigma_s(T + N) \setminus \sigma_{lbb}(T + N). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $T + N$  is a right polaroid. By symmetry the reciprocal holds. ■

REMARK 3.10. The result of Theorem 3.9 improves Corollary 2.12 of [2], where it was proved that  $T + N$  is a right polaroid assuming that  $T$  is a right polaroid and  $T$  has SVEP at the points  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{uw}(T)$ .

As in the above theorems, for the  $(gw)$  property introduced in [8], we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.11. *Let  $T \in L(X)$  and  $N$  be a nilpotent operator which commutes with  $T$ . Then  $T$  satisfies the property  $(gw)$  if and only if  $T + N$  satisfies the property  $(gw)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T$  satisfies property  $(gw)$ . Then  $T$  satisfies generalized a-Browder's theorem, or equivalently a-Browder's theorem, i.e.  $\sigma_{ub}(T) = \sigma_{uw}(T)$ . Since these spectra are invariant under  $N$ , we have that  $T + N$  satisfies a-Browder's theorem. Then, from Theorems 3.4 and 3.2, it follows that  $T + N$  satisfies property  $(gw)$ . By symmetry the reciprocal holds. ■

As in the above theorems, for the generalized  $a$ -Weyl theorem introduced in [12], we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.12. *Let  $T \in L(X)$  and  $N$  be a nilpotent operator which commutes with  $T$ . Then  $T$  satisfies the generalized  $a$ -Weyl Theorem if and only if  $T + N$  satisfies the generalized  $a$ -Weyl Theorem.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T$  satisfies generalized  $a$ -Weyl's theorem. Then since a-Browder's theorem and property  $(gR)$  are invariant under  $N$ , it follows from Theorem 3.2, that  $T + N$  satisfies the generalized  $a$ -Weyl's theorem. By symmetry the reciprocal holds. ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] Aiena, P., *Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory with Application to Multipliers*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., 2004.
- [2] Aiena, P. and Aponte, E., *Polaroid type operators under perturbations*, preprint.
- [3] Aiena, P. Aponte, E. and Balzan, E., *Weyl type theorems for left and right polaroid operators*, Integral Eq. Operator Theory **66** (1) (2010), 1–20.
- [4] Aiena, P. Biondi, M. T. C. and Carpintero, C., *On Drazin invertibility*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008), 2839–2848.
- [5] Aiena, P. Guillen, J. and Peña, P., *Property ( $R$ ) for bounded linear operators*, Mediterr. J. Math. **8** (4) (2011), 491–508.
- [6] Aiena, P. Guillen, J. and Peña, P., *Property ( $gR$ ) and perturbations*, to appear in Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) (2012).
- [7] Aiena, P. and Miller, T.L., *On generalized  $a$ -Browder's theorem*, Studia Math. **180** (3) (2007), 285–300.
- [8] Amouch, M. and Berkani, M., *On the property ( $gw$ )*, Mediterr. J. Math. **5** (2008), 371–378.
- [9] Berkani, M.,  *$B$ -Weyl spectrum and poles of the resolvent*, Math. Anal. Appl. **272** (1) (2002), 596–603.
- [10] Berkani, M., *Restriction of an operator to the range of its powers*, Studia Math. **140** (2) (2000), 163–175.
- [11] Berkani, M. and Sarih, M., *On semi  $B$ -Fredholm operators*, Glasgow Math. J. **43** (2001), 457–465.
- [12] Berkani, M. and Koliha, J., *Weyl type theorems for bounded linear operators*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **69** (2003), 359–376.
- [13] Carpintero, C. García, O. Rosas, E. and Sanabria, J.,  *$B$ -Browder spectra an localized SVEP*, Rend. Circolo Mat. Palermo, **57** (2) (2008), 241–255.
- [14] Heuser, H., *Functional Analysis*, Marcel Dekker, 1982.
- [15] Rakočević, V., *Semi-Browder operators and perturbations*, Studia Math. **122** (2) (1997), 131–137.
- [16] Schechter, H. and Whitley, R., *Best Fredholm perturbation theorem*, Studia Math. **90** (1980), 175–190.

(received 03.07.2012; in revised form 03.10.2012; available online 01.02.2013)

Departamento de Matemáticas, Escuela de Ciencias, Universidad UDO, Cumaná (Venezuela)

*E-mail*: ogarciam554@gmail.com, carpintero.carlos@gmail.com, ennisrafael@gmail.com, jesanabri@gmail.com