

DING PROJECTIVE MODULES WITH RESPECT TO A SEMIDUALIZING MODULE

Chaoling Huang and Peihua Zhong

Abstract. In this paper, for a fixed semidualizing module C , we introduce the notion of D_C -projective modules which are the special setting of G_C -projective modules introduced by White [D. White, Gorenstein projective dimension with respect to a semidualizing module, *J. Commut. Algebra* 2(1) (2010) 111–137]. Then we investigate the properties of D_C -projective modules and dimensions, in particular, we give descriptions of the finite D_C -projective dimensions.

1. Introduction

Auslander and Bridger in [1], introduced the notion of so-called G -dimension for finitely generated modules over commutative Noetherian rings. Enochs and Jenda defined in [4] a homological dimension, namely the Gorenstein projective dimension, $Gpd_R(-)$, for any R -module as an extension of G -dimension. Let R be any associative ring. Recall that an R -module M is said to be Gorenstein projective (for short G -projective; see [4]) if there is an exact sequence

$$\mathbf{P} = \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

of projective modules with $M = \text{Ker}(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$ such that $\text{Hom}(P, Q)$ is exact for each projective R -module Q . Such exact sequence is called a complete projective resolution. We use $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ to denote the class of all G -projective R -modules. We say that M has Gorenstein projective dimension at most n , denoted $Gpd_R(M) \leq n$, if there is a Gorenstein projective resolution, i.e., there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where all G_i are G -projective R -modules, and say $Gpd_R(M) = n$ if there is not a shorter Gorenstein projective resolution.

In [3], an R -module M is called strongly Gorenstein flat if there is an exact sequence

$$\mathbf{P} = \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow P^0 \rightarrow P^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

of projective modules with $M = \text{Ker}(P^0 \rightarrow P^1)$ such that $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{P}, Q)$ is exact for each flat R -module Q . It is clear that strongly Gorenstein flat R -modules are

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13B02, 13D05.

Keywords and phrases: semidualizing; D_C -projective module; C -projective module.

Gorenstein projective. But no one knows whether there is a Gorenstein projective R -module which is not strongly Gorenstein flat. Following [8, 19], the strongly Gorenstein flat R -modules are called Ding projective, since strongly Gorenstein flat R -modules are not necessarily Gorenstein flat [3, Example 2.19] and strongly Gorenstein flat R -modules were first introduced by Ding and his coauthors. In [3], the authors gave a lot of wonderful results about Ding projective R -modules over coherent rings. Mahdou and Tamekkante in [14], generalized some of these results over arbitrary associative rings. In this paper, we use $\mathcal{DP}(R)$ to denote the class of all Ding projective R -modules.

In [7], the author initiated the study of semidualizing modules; see Definition 2.1. Over a noetherian ring R , Vasconcelos [17] studied them too. Golod [9] used these to define G_C -dimension for finitely generated modules, which is a refinement of projective dimension. Holm and Jørgensen [11] have extended this notion to arbitrary modules over a noetherian ring. Moreover, for semi-dualizing R -module C and the trivial extension of R by C $R \times C$; that is, the ring $R \oplus C$ equipped with the product: $(r, c)(r', c') = (rr', rc' + r'c)$, they considered the ring changed Gorenstein dimensions, $Gpd_{R \times C} M$ and proved that M is G_C -projective R -module if and only if M is G -projective $R \times C$ -module [11, Theorem 2.16]. In [18], White unified and generalized treatment of this concept over any commutative rings and showed many excellent G_C -projective properties shared by G -projectives. Recall that an R -module M is called G_C -projective if there exists a complete PC-resolution of M , which means that

$$\mathbf{P} = \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P^0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

is an exact complex such that $M \cong \text{Coker}(P_1 \rightarrow P_0)$ and each P_i and P^i is projective and such that the complex $\text{Hom}_R(P, C \otimes_R Q)$ is exact for every projective R -module Q . We use $\mathcal{G}_C\mathcal{P}(R)$ to denote the class of all G_C -projective R -modules. Motivated by the above, in this paper, we define the concept of Ding projective R -modules with respect to a fixed semidualizing module C , for short, D_C -projective and show properties of D_C -projective modules and dimensions. It is organized as follows:

Section 2 is devoted to the study of the D_C -projective modules and dimensions. White proved that every module that is either projective or C -projective is G_C -projective [18, Proposition 2.6]. Moreover, we show that they are also D_C -projective, see Proposition 2.7. Further, we give homological descriptions of the D_C -projective dimension, see Proposition 2.11. And then characterize modules with the finite D_C -projective dimension as follows,

THEOREM 1.1. *Let M be an R -module and n be a non-negative integer. Then the following are equivalent,*

- (1) $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq n$;
- (2) *For some integer k with $1 \leq k \leq n$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that P_i is D_C -projective if $0 \leq i < k$ and P_j is P_C -projective if $j \geq k$.*

(3) For any integer k with $1 \leq k \leq n$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that P_i is D_C -projective if $0 \leq i < k$ and P_j is \mathcal{P}_C -projective if $j \geq k$.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let M be an R -module and n be a non-negative integer. Then the following are equivalent,*

(1) $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq n$;

(2) For some integer k with $0 \leq k \leq n$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that A_k is D_C -projective and other A_i projective or \mathcal{P}_C -projective.

(3) For any integer k with $0 \leq k \leq n$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that A_k is D_C -projective and other A_i projective or \mathcal{P}_C -projective.

Although we do not know whether there is a G_C -projective R -module which is not D_C -projective, we think that this article gives new things. Proposition 2.7, Proposition 2.11, Proposition 2.20 and the above two theorems add a new message to G_C -projective R -modules if G_C -projective R -modules and D_C -projective R -modules happen to coincide.

SETUP AND NOTATION. Throughout this paper, R denotes a commutative ring. C is a fixed semidualizing R -module. ${}_R\mathcal{M}$ denotes the category of R -modules, and $\mathcal{P}(R)$ and $\mathcal{I}(R)$ denote the class of projective and injective modules, respectively.

2. Properties of D_C -projective modules

Now we begin with recall of the definition on semidualizing R -modules.

DEFINITION 2.1. An R -module C is semidualizing if

(a) C admits a degreewise finite projective resolution, that is, there is an exact complex $\cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ with all P_i finitely generated projective R -modules,

(b) the natural homothety map $\chi_C^R : R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism, where χ_C^R satisfies that $\chi_C^R(r)(c) = rc$ for each $r \in R$ and $c \in C$, and

(c) $\text{Ext}_R^{n \geq 1}(C, C) = 0$.

For any noetherian ring R , a finitely generated R -module C is semidualizing if and only if $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}_R(C, C) \cong R$ in $D(R)$, the derived category of the category of R -modules. Clearly, R is a semidualizing R -module.

DEFINITION 2.2. The class of C -projective is defined as

$$\mathcal{P}_C = \{C \otimes_R P \mid P \text{ is projective}\}$$

The \mathcal{P}_C -projective dimension of an R -module M is $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}(M) = \inf\{n \mid 0 \rightarrow X_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact with all } X_i \text{ } C\text{-projective}\}$. The class of C -flat

modules, denoted by \mathcal{F}_C and \mathcal{F}_C -flat dimension of M , denoted by $\mathcal{F}_C\text{-fd}(M)$ are defined similarly.

DEFINITION 2.3. An R -module M is called D_C -projective if there exists a complete PC-resolution of M , which means that

$$\mathbf{P} = \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P^0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

is an exact complex such that $M \cong \text{Coker}(P_1 \rightarrow P_0)$ and each P_i and P^i is projective and such that the complex $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{P}, C \otimes_R Q)$ is exact for every flat R -module Q . We use $\mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)$ to denote the class of all D_C -projective R -modules. For any R -module M , we say that M has D_C -projective dimension at most n , denoted $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq n$, if M has a D_C -projective resolution of length n , that is, there is an exact complex of the form $0 \rightarrow D_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow D_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where all D_i are D_C -projective R -modules, and say $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) = n$ if there is not a shorter D_C -projective resolution.

REMARK 2.4. It is clear that $\mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_C\mathcal{P}(R)$. When $C = R$, $\mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R) = \mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}(R)$.

From Definition 2.3 one can obtain the following characterization of D_C -projective R -modules.

PROPOSITION 2.5. M is D_C -projective if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^{n \geq 1}(M, C \otimes_R Q) = 0$ and there exists an exact sequence of the form:

$$\mathbf{X} = 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C \otimes_R P^0 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

such that $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{X}, C \otimes_R Q)$ is exact for any flat R -module Q .

Recall that White in [18] proved that for any projective P , P and $C \otimes_R P$ are G_C -projective. Moreover, we can show that P and $C \otimes_R P$ are D_C -projective. First we give the following lemma,

LEMMA 2.6. Let P be a projective R -module and \mathbf{X} be a complex. For an R -module A , if the complex $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{X}, A)$ is exact, then the complex $\text{Hom}_R(P \otimes_R \mathbf{X}, A)$ is exact. Thus, if \mathbf{X} is a complete PC-resolution of an R -module M , then $P \otimes_R \mathbf{X}$ is a complete PC-resolution of an R -module $P \otimes_R M$. The converses hold in case P is faithfully projective.

Proof. Since $\text{Hom}_R(P, -)$ is an exact functor, by the isomorphism of complexes given by Hom-tensor adjointness

$$\text{Hom}_R(P \otimes_R \mathbf{X}, A) \cong \text{Hom}_R(P, \text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{X}, A)),$$

exactness of the complex $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{X}, A)$ implies that the complex $\text{Hom}_R(P \otimes_R \mathbf{X}, A)$ is exact. The remains are trivial. ■

PROPOSITION 2.7. (1) C and R are D_C -projective;
(2) For any projective P , P and $C \otimes_R P$ are D_C -projective.

Proof. (1) Since C is semidualizing, there is an exact sequence of the form: $\mathbf{X} = \cdots \rightarrow R^{n_1} \rightarrow R^{n_0} \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ with all n_i being positive integer numbers. By [18, Lemma 1.11 (b)], $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{X}, C \otimes_R Q)$ is exact for any flat R -module Q . On the other hand, there is an exact sequence of the form:

$$\mathbf{Y} = 0 \xrightarrow{0} C \xrightarrow{1} C \xrightarrow{0} C \xrightarrow{1} \cdots .$$

By tensor evaluation homomorphism; see [2, p. 11],

$$\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{Y}, C \otimes_R Q) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{Y}, C) \otimes_R Q \cong \mathbf{Q}$$

is exact, where \mathbf{Q} is the following exact sequence

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{0} Q \xrightarrow{1} Q \xrightarrow{0} Q \xrightarrow{0} 0.$$

Therefore, C is D_C -projective.

It is clear that the complex $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{X}, C) = 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow C^{n_0} \rightarrow C^{n_1} \rightarrow \cdots$ is exact. Since R and all C^{n_i} are finitely generated, for any flat R -module F ,

$$\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{X}, C), C \otimes_R F) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{X}, C), C) \otimes_R F \cong \mathbf{X} \otimes_R F$$

is exact. Thus R is D_C -projective.

(2) By Lemma 2.6 and (1), for any projective P , P and $C \otimes_R P$ are D_C -projective. ■

Using a standard argument, we can get the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.8. *If \mathbf{X} is a complete PC-resolution, and L is an R -module with $\mathcal{F}_C\text{-fd}(L) < \infty$, then the complex $\text{Hom}_R(\mathbf{X}, L)$ is exact. Thus if M is D_C -projective, then $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(M, L) = 0$.*

In [3, Lemma 2.4], the authors proved that for a D -projective R -module M , either M is projective or $\text{fd}_R(M) = \infty$. Now we generalize it as follows:

PROPOSITION 2.9. *If R -module M is D_C -projective, then either M is C -flat or $\mathcal{F}_C\text{-fd}_R(M) = \infty$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_C\text{-fd}_R(M) = n$ with $1 \leq n < \infty$. We show by induction on n that M is C -flat. First assume that $n = 1$, then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with X_0 and X_1 C -flat. Thus by Proposition 2.8, $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, X_1) = 0$. So the above short exact sequence is split, and M is a direct summand of X_0 . By [13, Proposition 5.5], M is C -flat. Then assume that $n \geq 2$. There is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow X \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with X C -flat and $\mathcal{F}_C\text{-fd}_R(K) \leq n - 1$. By induction, we conclude that K is C -flat. Thus $\mathcal{F}_C\text{-fd}_R(M) \leq 1$. By the above discussion, M is C -flat. ■

It is easy to prove the following two results using standard arguments. We leave the proofs to readers.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *The class of D_C -projective R -modules is projectively resolving and closed under direct summands.*

PROPOSITION 2.11. *Let M be an R -module with $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) < \infty$ and n be a positive integer. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq n$.
- (2) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, L) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all R -modules L with $\mathcal{F}_C\text{-fd}(L) < \infty$.
- (3) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C \otimes_R F) = 0$ for all $i > n$ and all flat R -modules F .
- (4) For any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with all G_i D_C -projective, K_n is D_C -projective.

We give the following lemma which plays a crucial role in this paper.

LEMMA 2.12. *Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with G_0 and G_1 D_C -projective. Then there are two exact sequences $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \otimes_R P \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with P projective and G D_C -projective and $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow H \rightarrow Q \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with Q projective and H D_C -projective.*

Proof. Set $K = \text{Im}(G_1 \rightarrow G_0)$. Since G_1 is D_C -projective, there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P \rightarrow G'_1 \rightarrow 0$ with P projective and G'_1 D_C -projective. Consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & G_1 & \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & C \otimes_R P & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & & & G'_1 & = & G'_1 & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0 &
 \end{array}$$

Then consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow & G_0 & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & M & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & & G'_1 & = & G'_1 & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & &
 \end{array}$$

By Proposition 2.10, G is D_C -projective, since G_0 and G'_1 are D_C -projective. Therefore, we can obtain exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow C \otimes_R P \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Similarly, we use pullbacks and can obtain the other exact sequence. ■

THEOREM 2.13. *Let M be an R -module and n be a non-negative integer. Then the following are equivalent,*

- (1) $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq n$;
- (2) For some integer k with $1 \leq k \leq n$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that P_i is D_C -projective if $0 \leq i < k$ and P_j is C -projective if $j \geq k$.
- (3) For any integer k with $1 \leq k \leq n$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that P_i is D_C -projective if $0 \leq i < k$ and P_j is C -projective if $j \geq k$.

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Rightarrow (1): It is clear.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Let $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with all G_i D_C -projective. We prove (3) by induction on n . Let $n = 1$. Since G_1 is D_C -projective, there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with P_1 C -projective and N D_C -projective. Consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G_1 & \longrightarrow & G_0 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P_1 & \longrightarrow & D_0 & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & N & \xlongequal{\quad} & N & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

By Proposition 2.10, D_0 is D_C -projective, since G_0 and N are D_C -projective. Now assume that $n > 1$. Set $A = \text{Ker}(G_0 \rightarrow M)$, then $D_C\text{-pd}_R(A) \leq n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, for any integer k with $2 \leq k \leq n$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ such that P_i is D_C -projective if $1 \leq i < k$ and P_j is C -projective if $j \geq k$. Therefore, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Set $B = \text{Ker}(P_1 \rightarrow G_0)$. For the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, by Lemma 2.16, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow P'_1 \rightarrow G'_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with P'_1 C -projective and G'_0 D_C -projective. Therefore, we get the wanted exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P'_1 \rightarrow G'_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. ■

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of R -modules. A morphism $\varphi: F \rightarrow M$ of \mathcal{A} is called an \mathcal{F} -precover of M if $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\text{Hom}(F', F) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F', M) \rightarrow 0$ is exact for all $F' \in \mathcal{F}$. φ is called an epic \mathcal{F} -precover of M if it is an \mathcal{F} -precover and is an epimorphism. If every R -module admits an (epic) \mathcal{F} -precover, then we say \mathcal{F} is an

(epic) precovering class. M is said to have a special \mathcal{F} -precover if there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

with $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\text{Ext}^1(\mathcal{F}, C) = 0$. It is clear that M has an epic \mathcal{F} -precover if it has a special \mathcal{F} -precover. For more details about precovers, readers can refer to [5, 6, 16].

The authors in [14, Theorem 2.2] proved the following result: If M is an R -module with $D\text{-pd}_R(M) < \infty$, then M admits a special D -projective precover $\varphi: G \twoheadrightarrow M$ where $\text{pd}_R(\text{Ker}\varphi) = n - 1$ if $n > 0$ and $\text{Ker}\varphi = 0$ if $n = 0$. We can use the above theorem to generalize it to the below form,

COROLLARY 2.14. *If M is an R -module with $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) = n < \infty$, then M admits a special D_C -projective precover $\varphi: G \twoheadrightarrow M$ where $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(\text{Ker}\varphi) \leq n - 1$ if $n > 0$ and $\text{Ker}\varphi = 0$ if $n = 0$.*

Proof. If $n = 0$, it is trivial. Now assume that $n > 0$. By Theorem 2.13, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that G is D_C -projective and any P_j is \mathcal{P}_C -projective. Then the remainder is trivial. ■

REMARK 2.15. In [18, Definition 3.1], the author called a bounded G_C -projective resolution of R -module M a strict G_C -projective resolution if there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with all G_i C -projective for $i \geq 1$ and G_0 G_C -projective. And it is proved that every R -module M of finite G_C -projective dimension always admits a strict G_C -projective resolution [18, Theorem 3.6]. Using the different method (Theorem 2.13), we can prove that the R -module M of finite D_C -projective dimension has the similar property.

COROLLARY 2.16. (1) *Let $0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence with G_1 and G D_C -projective and $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, F) = 0$ for any C -flat R -module F . Then M is D_C -projective.*

(2) *If M is an R -module with $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) = n$, then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ with $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(H) \leq n$ and N D_C -projective.*

Proof. (1) Since $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq 1$, by Corollary 2.14, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ where G is D_C -projective and K is C -projective. By the hypothesis $\text{Ext}_R^1(M, K) = 0$, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is split and by Proposition 2.10, M is D_C -projective.

(2) If $n = 0$, by the definition of D_C -projective R -modules, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C \otimes_R P \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ where P is projective and K is D_C -projective. If $n \geq 1$, by Corollary 2.14, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(K) \leq n - 1$. Since G is D_C -projective, there is $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow C \otimes_R Q \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ where Q is projective and N is D_C -projective. Consider the following pushout diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & & 0 & & 0 & \\
& & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & K & \longrightarrow & C \otimes_R Q & \longrightarrow & H \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & & N & \xlongequal{\quad} & N & \\
& & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
& & & & 0 & & 0 &
\end{array}$$

Then $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(H) \leq n$. ■

THEOREM 2.17. *Let M be an R -module and n be a non-negative integer. Then the following are equivalent,*

(1) $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq n$;

(2) *For some integer k with $0 \leq k \leq n$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that A_k is D_C -projective and other A_i projective or C -projective.*

(3) *For any integer k with $0 \leq k \leq n$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that A_k is D_C -projective and other A_i projective or C -projective.*

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (2) and (2) \Rightarrow (1): It is clear.

(1) \Rightarrow (3): Let $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with all G_i D_C -projective. We prove (3) by induction on n . If $n = 1$, by Lemma 2.12, the assertion is true. Now we assume that $n \geq 2$. Set $K = \text{Ker}(G_1 \rightarrow G_0)$. For the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, by Lemma 2.12, we get two exact sequences $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G'_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with G'_1 D_C -projective and P_0 projective and $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G'_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Set $N = \text{Ker}(P_0 \rightarrow M)$, then $D_C\text{-pd}_R(N) \leq n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, for any integer k with $1 \leq k \leq n$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ such that A_k is D_C -projective and other A_i are projective or C -projective. Therefore, we get the wanted exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Now we prove the case $k = 0$. Set $A = \text{Ker}(G_0 \rightarrow M)$, then $D_C\text{-pd}_R(A) \leq n - 1$. By the induction hypothesis, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ such that B_1 is D_C -projective and other B_i projective or C -projective. So we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$. Set $B = \text{Ker}(B_1 \rightarrow G_0)$. For the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, by Lemma 2.12, we get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow P'' \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with G D_C -projective and P'' C -projective. Hence the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B_2 \rightarrow P'' \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is wanted. ■

Let \mathcal{F} be a class of R -modules. \mathcal{F}^\perp will denote the right orthogonal class of \mathcal{F} , that is, $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \{M \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0, \forall F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Analogously, ${}^\perp\mathcal{F} = \{M \mid \text{Ext}_R^1(M, F) = 0, \forall F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. A cotorsion theory is a pair of classes $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ of

R -modules such that $\mathcal{F}^\perp = \mathcal{C}$ and ${}^\perp\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}$. A cotorsion theory $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ is called complete if every R -module has a special \mathcal{F} -precover and a special \mathcal{C} -preenvelope. It is called hereditary if for any exact sequence $0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ with $F, F'' \in \mathcal{F}$ implies that $F' \in \mathcal{F}$. For more details about cotorsion theory, readers can refer to [5, 6, 16]. Let $glG_Cpd(R) = \sup\{G_Cpd_R(M) \mid \forall M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}\}$. We in [12, Theorem 5.1] proved that $(\mathcal{G}_C\mathcal{P}(R), \mathcal{G}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp)$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion theory if $glG_Cpd(R) < \infty$ and [12, Corollary 5.2] $(\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(R), \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp)$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion theory if $glGpd(R) < \infty$. Similarly, we prove that $(\mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R), \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp)$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion theory if $glD_Cpd(R) < \infty$, where $glD_Cpd(R) = \sup\{D_Cpd_R(M) \mid \forall M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}\}$.

THEOREM 2.18. *Assume that $glD_Cpd(R) < \infty$. Then $(\mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R), \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp)$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion theory.*

Proof. We begin with proving that ${}^\perp(\mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp) = \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)$. It is clear that ${}^\perp(\mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp) \supseteq \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)$ because $Ext_R^1(A, B) = 0$ for any $A \in \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)$ and $B \in \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}^\perp$ by definition. By Corollary 2.14, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that G is D_C -projective and $\mathcal{P}_Cpd(K) < \infty$. By Proposition 2.8, $K \in \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp$. So $Ext_R^1(M, K) = 0$, and then $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is split, i.e., M is a direct summand of G . By Proposition 2.10, M is D_C -projective.

By Proposition 2.10, $\mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)$ is projectively resolving, $\mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp$ is injectively resolving, so $(\mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R), \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp)$ is hereditary. By Corollary 2.14, $(\mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R), \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp)$ is complete. ■

COROLLARY 2.19. *If $glDpd(R) = \sup\{Dpd_R(M) \mid \forall M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}\} < \infty$, $(\mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}(R), \mathcal{D}\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp)$ is a complete hereditary cotorsion theory.*

PROPOSITION 2.20. (1) $Ext_R^n(G, M) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$, $G \in \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)$ and $M \in \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp$.

(2) $\mathcal{P}_C = \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R) \cap \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp$.

(3) If M be an R -module with $\mathcal{P}_Cpd_R(M) < \infty$, then $\mathcal{P}_Cpd_R(M) = D_Cpd_R(M)$.

(4) If M be an R -module with $D_Cpd_R(M) < \infty$, then $G_Cpd_R(M) = D_Cpd_R(M)$.

(5) If M be an R -module with $pd_R(M) < \infty$, then $pd_R(M) = D_Cpd_R(M)$.

Proof. (1) For any D_C -projective R -module G , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G' \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

where all P_i are projective and G' is D_C -projective. So for any $M \in \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp$, $Ext_R^n(G, M) = Ext_R^1(G', M) = 0$.

(2) By Propositions 2.7 and 2.8, $\mathcal{P}_C \subseteq \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R) \cap \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R)^\perp$. Let $M \in \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}(R) \cap \mathcal{D}_C\mathcal{P}^\perp$. There is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C \otimes_R P \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$ where P is projective and M' is D_C -projective. So $Ext_R^1(M', M) = 0$ and

$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C \otimes_R P \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$ is split. Therefore $M \in \mathcal{P}_C$ and $\mathcal{P}_C \supseteq \mathcal{D}_C \mathcal{P}(R) \cap \mathcal{D}_C \mathcal{P}(R)^\perp$.

(3) It is clear that $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \geq D_C\text{-pd}_R(M)$, since every C -projective R -module is D_C -projective. Now we prove that $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq D_C\text{-pd}_R(M)$. For doing this we assume that $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) = n < \infty$. Since \mathcal{P}_C is precovering [13, Proposition 5.10] and projectively resolving [13, Corollary 6.8], there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_1 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

with K D_C -projective. Since M be an R -module with $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(M) < \infty$, $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(K) < \infty$. By (2), K is C -projective.

(4) It is clear that $G_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq D_C\text{-pd}_R(M)$, since every D_C -projective R -module is G_C -projective. Now we assume that $D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) = n < \infty$. By [18, Proposition 2.12], it is sufficient to find a projective R -module P such that $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, C \otimes_R P) \neq 0$. By Proposition 2.11, there is a flat R -module F such that $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, C \otimes_R F) \neq 0$. Since \mathcal{P}_C is precovering [13, Proposition 5.10] and \mathcal{F}_C is projectively resolving [13, Corollary 6.8], there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow C \otimes_R P \rightarrow C \otimes_R F \rightarrow 0$ where K is C -flat. By [15, Theorem 7.3], there is a long exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^n(M, C \otimes_R P) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^n(M, C \otimes_R F) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, K) \rightarrow \cdots$, where $\text{Ext}_R^{n+1}(M, K) = 0$. So $\text{Ext}_R^n(M, C \otimes_R P) \neq 0$.

(5) It is clear that $G_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq D_C\text{-pd}_R(M) \leq \text{pd}_R(M)$. It is well-known that $\text{pd}_R(M) = G_C\text{-pd}_R(M)$ if $\text{pd}_R(M) < \infty$. So $\text{pd}_R(M) = D_C\text{-pd}_R(M)$. ■

We round off this paper with the following questions:

(1) Recall that the author in [14, Theorem 3.1] proved that for any ring R , $r.glGdim(R) = r.glDdim(R)$. So we conjecture that $glG_Cpd(R) = glD_Cpd(R)$, is it true?

(2) Whether is there a G_C -projective R -module which is not D_C -projective?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors wish to express their gratitude to the referee for his/her careful reading and comments which improve the presentation of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Auslander and M. Bridger, *Stable module theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **94**, Providence, RI, 1969.
- [2] L. W. Christensen, *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1747**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [3] N. Ding, Y. Li and L. Mao, *Strogly Gorenstein flat modules*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **86** (2009) 323–338.
- [4] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Gorenstein injective and projective modules*, Math. Z. **220**(4) (1995) 611–633.
- [5] E. E. Enochs and O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, **30**, Walter de Gruyter, 2000.
- [6] E. Enochs and L. Oyonarte, *Cover, Envelopes and Cotorsion Theories*, Nova Science Publisher, Inc, New York, 2001.

- [7] H.-B. Foxby, *Gorenstein modules and related modules*, Math. Scand. **31** (1972) 267–284.
- [8] J. Gillespie, *Model structures on modules over Ding-Chen rings*, Homology, Homotopy Appl. **12**(1) (2010) 61–73.
- [9] E. S. Golod, *G-dimension and generalized perfect ideals*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklor. **165** (1984).
- [10] H. Holm, *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **189** (2004) 167–193.
- [11] H. Holm and P. Jørgensen, *Semi-dualizing modules and related gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **205**(2) (2006) 423–445.
- [12] C. Huang, *G_C -projective, injective and flat modules under change of rings*, J. Algebra Appl. **11**(2) (2012).
- [13] H. Holm and D. White, *Foxby equivalence over associative rings*, J. Math. Kyoto. Univ. **47**(4) (2007) 781–808.
- [14] N. Mahdou and M. Tamekkante, *Strongly Gorenstein flat modules and dimensions*, Chin. Ann. Math. **32B**(4)(2011) 533–548.
- [15] J. J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, New York-San Francisco-London, 1978.
- [16] J. Trlifaj, *Covers, envelopes and cotorsion theories*, Lecture notes for the workshop, Homological methods in module theory, (Cortona, September 10–16 (2000)).
- [17] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Divisor Theory in Module Categories*, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, No. 14, Notas de Matemática No. 53. [Notes on Mathematics, No. 53], 1974.
- [18] D. White, *Gorenstein projective dimension with respect to a semidualizing module*, J. Comput. Algebra **2**(1) (2010) 111–137.
- [19] G. Yang, *Homological properties of modules over Ding-Chen rings*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **49** (2012) 31–47.

(received 11.09.2013; in revised form 03.12.2013; available online 20.01.2014)

Chaoling Huang^{a, b}, Peihua Zhong^b

^aCollege of Mathematics and Statistics, Hubei Normal University, China

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Jiangxi Agricultural University, Nanchang 330045, China

E-mail: clhuang1978@live.cn, phzhong@163.com