

SOME HOMOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF AMALGAMATION

Elham Tavasoli

Abstract. Let R and S be commutative rings, let J be an ideal of S and let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. In this paper, we investigate some homological properties of the amalgamation of R with S along J with respect to f (denoted by $R \bowtie^f J$), introduced by D’Anna and Fontana in 2007. In addition, we deal with the strongly cotorsion properties of local cohomology module of $R \bowtie^f J$, when $R \bowtie^f J$ is a local Noetherian ring.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings are considered commutative with identity element, and all ring homomorphisms are unital. In [7], D’Anna and Fontana considered a construction obtained involving a ring R and an ideal $I \subset R$ that was denoted by $R \bowtie I$, called amalgamated duplication, and it was defined as the following subring of $R \times R$:

$$R \bowtie I = \{(r, r + i) \mid r \in R, i \in I\}.$$

This construction was studied from different points of view in [1, 3, 7, 10, 11, 13]. In [4], a systematic study of a new ring construction is initiated, called the “amalgamation of R with S along J with respect to f ”, for a given homomorphism of rings $f: R \rightarrow S$ and ideal J of S . This construction finds its roots in a paper by J.L. Dorroh appeared in [8] and provides a general frame for studying the amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal. The amalgamation of R with S along J with respect to f is a subring of $R \times S$ which is defined as follows:

$$R \bowtie^f J = \{(r, f(r) + j) \mid r \in R, j \in J\}.$$

This construction is a generalization of the amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal and other classical constructions, such as the Nagata’s idealization are strictly related to it [4, Example 2.7 and Remark 2.8]. One of the key tools for studying $R \bowtie^f J$ is based on the fact that the amalgamation can be studied in the frame of pullback constructions [4]. This point of view allows to deepen the study initiated in [4] and continued in [5] and to provide an ample description of

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13H10

Keywords and phrases: amalgamation; strongly cotorsion; local cohomology.

various properties of $R \bowtie^f J$, in connection with the properties of R , J and f . In [4], necessary and sufficient conditions are provided for $R \bowtie^f J$ to inherit the properties of Noetherian ring, integral domain, and reduced ring and characterized pullbacks that can be expressed as amalgamations. In [5], they provided a complete description of the prime spectrum of $R \bowtie^f J$ and gave bounds for its dimension. In [6], the authors studied in details its prime spectrum and, when $R \bowtie^f J$ is a local Noetherian ring, some of its invariants (like the embedding dimension) and relevant properties (like Cohen-Macaulayness and Gorensteinness). Indeed, in [6, Proposition 5.7], they stated necessary and sufficient conditions for the self-injectivity of the ring $R \bowtie^f J$. As a nice generalization of injectivity for modules, Enochs in [9] introduced the notion of cotorsion modules and as an special case of cotorsion modules Xu in [12] introduced the terminology of strongly cotorsion modules. In Theorem 2.2, we investigate the strongly cotorsion properties of $H_{\mathfrak{m} \bowtie^f J}^{\dim R}(R \bowtie^f J)$ in connection with the strongly cotorsion properties of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim R}(R)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim R}(J)$, when $R \bowtie^f J$ is a local Noetherian ring. In addition, we investigate some homological properties of the amalgamation.

2. Main results

Let R and S be commutative rings with unity, let J be an ideal of S and let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. In the following theorem we summarize some properties of $R \bowtie^f J$ from [4] and [6].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let R and S be commutative rings, let J be an ideal of S and let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism. The following statements hold.*

- (i) *There exists the natural ring homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow R \bowtie^f J$ defined by $\varphi(r) := (r, f(r))$, for all $r \in R$. The map φ is an embedding, making $R \bowtie^f J$ a ring extension of R . Furthermore, R has $(R \bowtie^f J)$ -module structure by the natural projection $p_R: R \bowtie^f J \rightarrow R$.*
- (ii) *$R \bowtie^f J$ is isomorphic as an R -module to $R \oplus J$.*
- (iii) *$R \bowtie^f J$ is a local ring if and only if R is a local ring and $J \subseteq J(S)$, where $J(S)$ is the Jacobson radical of S . In particular, if \mathfrak{m} is the unique maximal ideal of R , then $\mathfrak{m} \bowtie^f J = \{(m, f(m) + j) \mid m \in \mathfrak{m}, j \in J\}$ is the unique maximal ideal of $R \bowtie^f J$.*
- (iv) *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let $J \subseteq J(S)$ be finitely generated as an R -module. Then $\dim R = \dim(R \bowtie^f J) = \dim_R(R \bowtie^f J)$.*
- (v) *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a local ring and let $J \subseteq J(S)$ be finitely generated as an R -module. Then $R \bowtie^f J$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring if and only if it is a Cohen-Macaulay R -module if and only if J is a maximal Cohen-Macaulay module.*
- (vi) *Let $R \bowtie^f J$ be a local ring, where R is a Cohen-Macaulay ring. Assume that $f(R) + J$ satisfies Serre's condition (S_1) such that $\dim(f(R) + J) = \dim R$, and suppose that $J \neq 0$ such that $f^{-1}(J)$ is a regular ideal of R . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*
 - (a) *$R \bowtie^f J$ is Gorenstein.*

- (b) $f(R) + J$ is a Cohen-Macaulay ring, J is a canonical module of $f(R) + J$ and $f^{-1}(J)$ is a canonical module of R .

Note that Theorem 2.1(vi) provides the necessary and sufficient conditions of self-injectivity of the ring $R \bowtie^f J$. As a nice generalization of injectivity for modules, Enochs in [9] introduced the notion of cotorsion modules. An R -module M is called a cotorsion module if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$ for all flat R -modules F . Furthermore, as an special case of cotorsion modules Xu in [12] introduced the terminology of strongly cotorsion modules. An R -module M is called a strongly cotorsion module if $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, M) = 0$ for all R -modules F with finite flat dimension. One can easily show that if M is a strongly cotorsion R -module, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(F, M) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all R -modules F with finite flat dimension. In the following theorem we investigate the strongly cotorsion properties of $H_{\mathfrak{m} \bowtie^f J}^{\dim R}(R \bowtie^f J)$ in connection with the strongly cotorsion properties of $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim R}(R)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim R}(J)$, when $R \bowtie^f J$ is a local Noetherian ring.

THEOREM 2.2. *We preserve the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, and moreover we assume that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Noetherian local ring with dimension d and $0 \neq J \subseteq J(S)$ is an ideal such that J is a finitely generated R -module. Then $H_{\mathfrak{m} \bowtie^f J}^d(R \bowtie^f J)$ is a strongly cotorsion R -module if and only if $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(J)$ are strongly cotorsion R -modules.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.1(iv), R and $R \bowtie^f J$ have the same dimension d and $R \bowtie^f J$ is a local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_0 = \mathfrak{m} \bowtie^f J$. Then we have the following R -isomorphisms:

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}_0}^d(R \bowtie^f J) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R \bowtie^f J) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R \oplus J) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) \oplus H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(J).$$

The first isomorphism follows from [2, Theorem 4.2.1] and the second one follows from Theorem 2.1(ii). Now assume that $H_{\mathfrak{m}_0}^d(R \bowtie^f J)$ is a strongly cotorsion R -module. Therefore, for any R -module F with finite flat dimension we have

$$0 = \text{Ext}_R^1(F, H_{\mathfrak{m}_0}^d(R \bowtie^f J)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^1(F, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)) \oplus \text{Ext}_R^1(F, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(J)).$$

Hence, $\text{Ext}_R^1(F, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(J)) = \text{Ext}_R^1(F, H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)) = 0$ for any R -module F with finite flat dimension and this implies that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(J)$ are strongly cotorsion R -modules. The converse can be proven in a similar way. ■

Let R be a ring and let I be an ideal of R . The amalgamated duplication of R along I , denoted by $R \bowtie I$, is the special case of $R \bowtie^f I$ where $f: R \rightarrow R$ is an identity homomorphism, see [7]. Note that if (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a Noetherian local ring of dimension d , then $R \bowtie I$ is a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \bowtie I = \{(m, m + i) \mid m \in \mathfrak{m}, i \in I\}$ of dimension d , see [7, Corollary 3.3 and Theorem 3.5]. Therefore we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring of dimension d and let $0 \neq I$ be an ideal of R . Then $H_{\mathfrak{m} \bowtie I}^d(R \bowtie I)$ is a strongly cotorsion R -module if and only if $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R)$ and $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(I)$ are strongly cotorsion R -modules.*

In the sequel we investigate some homological properties of the amalgamation.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism and let J be a non-zero ideal of S which is a flat R -module. Then the following statements hold for any R -module M .*

- (i) $\text{fd}_R(M) = \text{fd}_{R \bowtie^f J}(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J))$.
- (ii) $\text{pd}_R(M) = \text{pd}_{R \bowtie^f J}(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J))$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.1(ii), the R -module $R \bowtie^f J$ is faithfully flat since J is flat as an R -module. First, suppose that $\text{fd}_R(M) \leq n$ (resp. $\text{pd}_R(M) \leq n$) and pick an n -step flat (resp. projective) resolution of M over R as follows:

$$(*) : 0 \longrightarrow F_n \longrightarrow F_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying the functor $- \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J)$ to $(*)$, we obtain the exact sequence of $(R \bowtie^f J)$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow F_n \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J) \rightarrow M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, $\text{fd}_{R \bowtie^f J}(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J)) \leq n$ (resp. $\text{pd}_{R \bowtie^f J}(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J)) \leq n$). Conversely, suppose that $\text{fd}_{R \bowtie^f J}(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J)) \leq n$ (resp. $\text{pd}_{R \bowtie^f J}(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J)) \leq n$). Since $R \bowtie^f J$ is a flat R -module, we conclude that for any R -module N and each $i \geq 1$ we have:

- (1) : $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J)) \cong \text{Tor}_i^{R \bowtie^f J}(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J), N \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J))$
- (2) : $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J)) \cong \text{Ext}_{R \bowtie^f J}^i(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J), N \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J))$

Furthermore, $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ are direct summands of $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J))$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J))$ respectively. Then, we conclude that $\text{fd}_R(M) \leq n$ (resp. $\text{pd}_R(M) \leq n$). ■

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism and let J be a non-zero ideal of S which is a flat R -module. Then the following statements hold for every R -module M .*

- (i) $\text{id}_R(M) = \text{id}_R(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J))$
- (ii) $\text{fd}_R(M) = \text{fd}_R(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J))$

Proof. Note that $R \bowtie^f J$ is a faithfully flat R -module. (i) follows from [13, Corollary 2.9] and (ii) follows from [13, Corollary 2.11]. ■

COROLLARY 2.6. *We preserve the assumptions of Proposition 2.5. For every R -module M , we have*

$$\text{fd}_R(M) = \text{fd}_{R \bowtie^f J}(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J)) = \text{fd}_R(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J)).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, we have $\text{fd}_R(M) = \text{fd}_{R \bowtie^f J}(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J))$, and by Proposition 2.5, $\text{fd}_R(M) = \text{fd}_R(M \otimes_R (R \bowtie^f J))$. ■

PROPOSITION 2.7. *Let $f: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring homomorphism and let J be a non-zero ideal of S . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) If M is a (faithfully) injective R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie^f J, M)$ is a (faithfully) injective $(R \bowtie^f J)$ -module.
- (ii) Every injective $(R \bowtie^f J)$ -module is a direct summand of the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie^f J, M)$, where M is an injective R -module.

Proof. (i) The following sequence of $(R \bowtie^f J)$ -isomorphisms makes clear that if M is a (faithfully) injective R -module, then $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie^f J, M)$ is a (faithfully) injective $(R \bowtie^f J)$ -module.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{R \bowtie^f J}(-, \text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie^f J, M)) &\cong \text{Hom}_R((R \bowtie^f J) \otimes_{R \bowtie^f J} -, M) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(-, M). \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above sequence, the first isomorphism follows from Hom-tensor adjointness, and the second isomorphism is induced by tensor cancellation.

(ii) Let E be an injective $(R \bowtie^f J)$ -module. It is enough to show that E is embedded into an R -module of the form $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie^f J, M)$ where M is an injective R -module. Consider E as an R -module and embed it into an injective R -module M . Then use isomorphisms in part (i), to convert the monomorphism of R -modules $E \hookrightarrow M$ to a monomorphism of $(R \bowtie^f J)$ -modules $E \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie^f J, M)$. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bagheri, M. Salimi, E. Tavasoli, S. Yassemi, *A construction of quasi-Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra Appl. **11**, 1 (2012), 1–9.
- [2] M. P. Broadmann, R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: An algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [3] M. D’Anna, *A construction of Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra **306** (2006), 507–519.
- [4] M. D’Anna, C.A. Finocchiaro, M. Fontana, *Amalgamated algebras along an ideal*, in “Commutative Algebra and Applications”, Proceedings of the Fifth International Fez Conference on Commutative Algebra and Applications, Fez, Morocco, 2008, W. de Gruyter Publisher, Berlin, 2009.
- [5] M. D’Anna, C.A. Finocchiaro, M. Fontana, *Properties of chains in an amalgamated algebra along an ideal*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), 1633–1641.
- [6] M. D’Anna, C.A. Finocchiaro, M. Fontana, *New algebraic properties of an amalgamated algebra along an ideal*, Comm. Algebra, **44**, 5, (2016), 1836–1851.
- [7] M. D’Anna, M. Fontana, *An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal*, J. Algebra Appl. **6**, 3 (2007), 443–459.
- [8] J. L. Dorroh, *Concerning adjunctions to algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **38** (1932), 85–88.
- [9] E. Enochs, *Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **92** (1984), 179–184.
- [10] M. Salimi, E. Tavasoli, S. Yassemi, *The amalgamated duplication of a ring along a semidualizing ideal*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **129** (2013), 115–127.
- [11] J. Shapiro, *On a construction of Gorenstein rings proposed by M. D’Anna*, J. Algebra **323** (2010), 1155–1158.
- [12] J. Xu, *Flat Covers of Modules*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1634, Springer, New York, 1996.
- [13] H. R. Maimani, S. Yassemi, *Zero-divisor graphs of amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **212** (2008), 168–174.

(received 20.01.2016; in revised form 25.05.2016; available online 27.06.2016)

Department of Mathematics, East Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran
E-mail: elhamtavasoli@ipm.ir