МАТЕМАТІČКІ VESNIK МАТЕМАТИЧКИ ВЕСНИК 70, 2 (2018), 120–133 June 2018

research paper оригинални научни рад

SOME CALCULUS OF THE COMPOSITION OF FUNCTIONS IN BESOV-TYPE SPACES

Madani Moussai and Mohamed Saadi

Abstract. In the Besov-type spaces $B_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we will prove that the composition operator $T_f: g \to f \circ g$ takes both $B_{\infty,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W_{\infty}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $B_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, under some restrictions on s, τ, p, q , and if the real function f vanishes at the origin and belongs locally to $B_{\infty,q}^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$.

1. Introduction and the main result

To a Borel measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we will associate the composition operator $T_f : g \to f \circ g$ and we will study its boundedness on Besov-type spaces $B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under some restrictions on the parameters s, τ, p and q.

The problem of composition in a real-valued function space E consists of the conditions satisfied by f such that $T_f(E) \subseteq E$ holds. The properties of the operator T_f strongly depend on the space E, see, e.g. [1, Section 4] and [3, Section 4]. The operator T_f is *nonlinear* unless f is a linear function. For instance, it has been proved that the inclusion $T_f(E) \subseteq E$ implies that f(t) = ct for some constant c, in the following cases:

- $E = W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Sobolev space, for $1 \le p < \infty$ and 1 + 1/p < m < n/p, see [5],

- $E = B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Besov space, for $1 \le p < \infty$ and 1 + 1/p < s < n/p, see e.g. [1, Theorem 3.3],
- $E = F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Triebel-Lizorkin space, for 1 ≤ p < ∞ and 1+1/p < s < n/p, see e.g. [1, Theorem 3.3],
- $E = B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $1 \le p < \infty$, q > 1 (or $E = F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $1 , <math>q \ge 1$) and 1 + 1/p = s < n/p, see e.g. [1, Theorem 3.3] or [13, Lemma 5.3.1/2, p. 308].

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 46E35

 $Keywords\ and\ phrases:$ Besov-type spaces; Littlewood-Paley decomposition; composition operator.

The acting of T_f on Besov spaces $B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R})$ in the *one*-dimensional case has been studied in several works, e.g. [4, 11]. However in the *n*-case (i.e. $B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) the composition problem is not trivial and we have some results which can be found in [9,10,13], where some of them are on the intersection spaces.

In the context of intersections, we want to extend the result given in [9] for $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, to the case of $B_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we will prove the following result.

THEOREM 1.1. Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$, $(n/p - n)_+ < s \neq 1$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq 1/p$. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel measurable function such that f(0) = 0 and $f \in B^{s+1}_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})_{loc}$.

- (i) If s < 1, then T_f takes $W^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (ii) If s > 1, then T_f takes $B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

REMARK 1.2. From the embedding $B^{s,\beta}_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})$ if $\beta \geq 0$ (see [16, p. 40]), Theorem 1.1 also holds if one replaces $B^{s+1}_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})_{loc}$ by $B^{s+1,\beta}_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})_{loc}$.

Besov-type spaces coincide with Besov spaces for some values of τ , s, p and q, e.g., we have $B_{p,q}^{s,0}(\mathbb{R}^n) = B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [16, Lemma 2.1, p. 22]), then Theorem 1.1 covers the case of $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, in particular the Hölder space $B_{\infty,\infty}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and yields the result in [9] which was given only in the case $p, q \geq 1$ and $0 < s \neq 1$. This presents our principal contribution, and we will also extend it to the case s = 1 (see Section 4 below).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based essentially on three aspects:

- the "paralinearization" method (see e.g. [2, p. 95] or [8]) which concerns the possibility to linearize T_f ,
- an almost orthogonality estimate (see Proposition 3.3 below),
- the boundedness of T_f on $B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, see [3, Theorem 4] and [9, Proposition 3.1], also, Proposition 3.1 below.

However in the case 0 < q < 1, the Fatou lemma and the precise estimate resulting from the acting of T_f on $B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (cf. (17)) are also main tools for the proof.

Notation

As usual, \mathbb{N} denotes the set of natural numbers including 0, \mathbb{Z} the integers, and \mathbb{R} the real numbers. All functions are assumed to be real valued, except in Subsections 2.1–2.2. For $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we put $a_+ := \max(0, a)$. The symbol \hookrightarrow indicates a continuous embedding. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Schwartz space and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its topological dual. For $0 we denote by <math>\|\cdot\|_p$ the quasi-norm (norm if $1 \leq p \leq \infty$) of $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $f \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we denoted by $\mathcal{F}f$ (or \widehat{f}) the Fourier transform and by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}f$ the inverse Fourier transform. They are extended to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the usual way. $W^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the usual Sobolev space of bounded and Lipschitz functions on \mathbb{R}^n . For a tempered function space E, the local associated space is denoted by E_{loc} and is the set of

 $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi f \in E$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For $\nu := (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote by

$$P_{k,\nu} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \nu_j \le 2^k x_j < \nu_j + 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \}$$
(1)

the dyadic cube. Finally, the constants c, c_1, \ldots are positive and depend only on the fixed parameters s, p, q, \ldots , and their values may change from line to line.

2. Preliminaries

We start with the Littlewood-Paley decomposition. Let ρ be a C^{∞} positive and radial function, such that $\rho(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi| \geq 3/2$ and $\rho(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| \leq 1$, which is the so-called *cut-off* function. We put $\gamma(\xi) := \rho(\xi) - \rho(2\xi)$; then γ is supported by the compact annulus $1/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 3/2$. We assume that ρ and γ are fixed thorughout the paper. We obtain $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma(2^{-k}\xi) = 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\rho(\xi) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \gamma(2^{-k}\xi) = 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We define pseudodifferential operators $S_j := \rho(2^{-j}D)$ (j = 0, 1, ...) and $Q_k := \gamma(2^{-k}D)$ (k = 1, 2, ...). We put $Q_0 := S_0$. Using the Young inequality in $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the families of operators $(S_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(Q_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ constitute bounded subsets of the normed space $\mathcal{L}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for any $p \in [1, \infty]$. Also, it is not difficult to prove that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist c > 0 and $M \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\|Q_j f\|_p \le c 2^{-jN} \sup_{|\alpha| \le M} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^M |f^{(\alpha)}(x)|$$
(2)

holds, for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. These estimates easily yield that the series $f = S_j f + \sum_{k>j} Q_k f$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ converges in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

2.1 The Besov spaces

We first define the "ordinary" Besov spaces.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p, q \in]0, \infty]$. The Besov space $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\|f\|_{B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \|S_0f\|_p + \left(\sum_{j\geq 1} (2^{sj}\|Q_jf\|_p)^q\right)^{1/q} < \infty$.

The spaces $B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are quasi-Banach in this quasi-norm. For their properties we recall that, e.g.,

$$-B^{s_0}_{p,q_0}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B^{s_1}_{p,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ if } s_0 > s_1, \text{ and } B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ if } s > 0,$$

- if
$$f \in B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
 then $\partial_j f \in B^{s-1}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ $(j = 1, \dots, n)$.

We also recall that $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ have the Fatou property, see [6]. We do not go into details about Besov spaces but refer instead to e.g. [13,14].

2.2 The Besov-type spaces

Here we also begin by the definition of the Besov-type spaces.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $s, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p, q \in]0, \infty]$. The Besov-type space $B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\sum_{j \ge k_+} (2^{sj} \|Q_j f\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})})^q \Big)^{1/q} < \infty$$

where the dyadic cube $P_{k,\nu}$ is defined in (1).

 $B_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are quasi-Banach spaces in the above quasi-norm, where $B_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{0\}$ if $\tau < 0$. We refer to [16] for some properties of $B_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and recall the following remark.

REMARK 2.3. The space $B_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is independent of the choices of ρ , i.e. if we choose another cut-off function ρ_1 with the same properties as ρ , the space $B_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ remains unchanged and the resulting quasi-norm is equivalent to the one defined by ρ .

The following assertion is useful, which is an estimate of Nikol'skij-type and will play a major role in this paper.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let $p, q \in [0, \infty]$, $s > (n/p - n)_+$ and $\tau \ge 0$. Let b > 0. Let $(u_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\hat{u_j}$ is supported by the ball $|\xi| \le b2^j$ and $A := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \left(\sum_{j \ge k_+} (2^{sj} ||u_j||_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})})^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty$. Then the series $\sum_{j \ge 0} u_j$ converges in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to a limit u satisfying $||u||_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le cA$, where the constant c depends only on n, s, τ, p, q and b.

For the proof, we need to use the following three lemmas, where the proof of the first one is completely similar to [15, Lemma 3.8, p. 155], and the second one is a Marschall pointwise estimate proved in, e.g. [16, Lemma 6.1, p. 150]; however the third lemma is essentially given in [7, p. 782, (2.11)].

LEMMA 2.5. Let a > 1 and $0 < q \le \infty$. Then, there exists a constant c > 0, such that for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all sequences $(\varepsilon_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers satisfying $A := \left(\sum_{k\ge l_+} \varepsilon_k^q\right)^{1/q} < \infty$, it holds $\left(\sum_{j\ge l_+} \left(\sum_{k\ge j} a^{j-k}\varepsilon_k\right)^q\right)^{1/q} \le cA$.

LEMMA 2.6. Let C > 0, $R \ge 1$ and $t \in]0, 1]$. Let $h \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\theta \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that h and $\hat{\theta}$ are supported by the balls $|\xi| \le C$ and $|\xi| \le CR$, respectively. Then the inequality $|(\theta * \mathcal{F}^{-1}h)(x)| \le c(CR)^{n/t-n} ||h||_{\dot{B}^{n/t}_{1,t}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (M|\theta|^t(x))^{1/t}$ holds, where M and $\dot{B}^{n/t}_{1,t}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on \mathbb{R}^n and the homogeneous Besov space, respectively. The constant c is independent of θ, h, C, R and x.

LEMMA 2.7. Let 0 . Then there exists a constant <math>c > 0 such that the inequality $\sup_{x \in P_{j,\nu}} |\psi(x)| \leq c 2^{jn/p} \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} ||\psi||_{L_p(P_{j,\mu})}$ holds, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\widehat{\psi}$ is supported by the ball $|\xi| \leq 2^{j+1}$ $(j \in \mathbb{Z})$, all $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof (Proof of Proposition 2.4). Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a radial function in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ such that $\gamma \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$. We put $\tilde{Q}_j := \tilde{\gamma}(2^{-j}D)$. Also, for the time being and for brevity, we denote

by "g" the series $\sum_{k\geq 0} u_k$. Since $\widehat{u_k}$ is supported by the ball $|\xi| < b2^k$, there exists an integer m_0 (which will be used along this proof), which depends only on b, such that $Q_j u_k = 0$ if $k \leq j + m_0$ (m_0 is the nearest integer to the real number $-\log_2(2b)$), but if $k \geq 0$, then $S_0 g = \sum_{k\geq 0} S_0 u_k$ and $Q_j g = \sum_{k\geq (j+m_0)_+} Q_j u_k$ (j = 1, 2, ...).

Step 1: convergence in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We put $g_1 := \sum_{j \ge 1} Q_j g$ and $g_2 := S_0 g$. We will estimate $|\langle g_1, f \rangle|$ and $|\langle g_2, f \rangle|$ separately.

Substep 1.1: estimate of $|\langle g_1, f \rangle|$. Let 0 < d < 1. By the assumption on $\tilde{\gamma}$, we have $\langle Q_j g, f \rangle = \langle Q_j g, \tilde{Q}_j f \rangle$, and then by Bernstein inequality we get

$$|\langle g_1, f \rangle| \le c \sum_{j \ge 1} 2^{-jn(1-1/d)} \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Q_j g(x) \widetilde{Q}_j f(x)|^d \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{1/d}.$$

Now, we decompose " $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \dots$ " with respect to $\bigcup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} P_{j,\nu}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle g_1, f \rangle| &\leq c \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{-jn(1-1/d)} \Big(\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{P_{j,\nu}} |Q_j g(x) \widetilde{Q}_j f(x)|^d \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{1/d} \\ &\leq c \sum_{j \geq 1} 2^{-jn(1-1/d)} \|\widetilde{Q}_j f\|_d \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{x \in P_{j,\nu}} |Q_j g(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

By using (2), let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (which will be chosen later on) be such that

$$|\langle g_1, f \rangle| \le c \sum_{j \ge 1} 2^{-j(N+n-n/d)} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{x \in P_{j,\nu}} |Q_j g(x)|.$$
(3)

So, the problem remains to estimate $\sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{x \in P_{j,\nu}} |Q_j g(x)|$. We apply Lemma 2.7 with $\psi := Q_j g$. It holds

$$\sup_{x \in P_{j,\nu}} |Q_j g(x)| \le c 2^{jn/p} \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|Q_j g\|_{L_p(P_{j,\mu})}.$$
 (4)

Applying now Lemma 2.6 with

$$\theta := u_k, \quad h := \gamma(2^{-j}(\cdot)), \quad C := 3 \cdot 2^{j-1} \quad \text{and} \quad R := b2^{k-j+1},$$
(5)

we have $b2^k \leq CR$ (supp $\hat{\theta} \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq CR\}$), also the condition $R \geq 1$ is guaranteed by the fact that $k \geq (j + m_0)_+$. Then we obtain, for some $t \in]0, 1]$,

$$|Q_j u_k(x)| \le c \, 2^{k(n/t-n)} \|\gamma(2^{-j}(\cdot))\|_{\dot{B}^{n/t}_{1,t}(\mathbb{R}^n)} (M|u_k|^t(x))^{1/t}.$$
(6)

Using the $\dot{B}_{1,t}^{n/t}(\mathbb{R}^n)$'s property, i.e. $\|\gamma(2^{-j}(\cdot))\|_{\dot{B}_{1,t}^{n/t}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \, 2^{j(n-n/t)} \|\gamma\|_{\dot{B}_{1,t}^{n/t}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$|Q_j g(x)| \le c \sum_{k \ge (j+m_0)_+} 2^{(k-j)(n/t-n)} \left(M |u_k|^t(x) \right)^{1/t}, \quad \forall \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ we take the $L_p(P_{l,\mu})$ of the last inequality and use the following elementary inequality

$$\left(\sum_{j\geq 0}\varepsilon_j\right)^{\alpha}\leq \sum_{j\geq 0}\varepsilon_j^{\alpha}\qquad (0<\alpha\leq 1,\,\varepsilon_j\geq 0,\,j=0,1,\ldots),\tag{7}$$

with $\alpha := t$, to obtain $\|Q_j g\|_{L_p(P_{l,\mu})} \le c \left\| \sum_{k \ge (j+m_0)_+} 2^{(k-j)(n-nt)} M |u_k|^t (\cdot) \right\|_{L_{p/t}(P_{l,\mu})}^{1/t}$.

We choose first $t < \min(1, p)$ (i.e. p/t > 1). Then the maximal function satisfies $\|Mf\|_{L_{p/t}(P_{l,\mu})} \le c \|f\|_{L_{p/t}(P_{l,\mu})}$ for all j and all μ ; indeed, let $1_{P_{l,\mu}}$ be the indicatrix function of $P_{l,\mu}$; then for any cube Q satisfying $Q \subset P_{l,\mu}$ it holds

$$\left(\int_{Q} 1_{P_{l,\mu}}(x) \mathrm{d}x\right) \left(\int_{Q} 1_{P_{l,\mu}}(x)^{1/(1-p)} \mathrm{d}x\right)^{p-1} \le c|Q|^{p}$$

and we have a weighted norm inequalities for M in $L_{p/t}(1_{P_{l,\mu}}; dx)$, [12, Theorem 9], but $L_{p/t}(1_{P_{l,\mu}}; dx) = L_{p/t}(P_{l,\mu})$; see also [2, Theorem 1.14, p. 13]. We apply the Minkowski inequality (i.e. $\ell_1(\mathbb{N}; L_{p/t}(P_{l,\mu})) \hookrightarrow L_{p/t}(P_{l,\mu}; \ell_1(\mathbb{N}))$), and we obtain

$$\|Q_{j}g\|_{L_{p}(P_{l,\mu})} \leq c \Big(\sum_{k\geq (j+m_{0})_{+}} 2^{(k-j)(n-nt)} \|M|u_{k}|^{t}\|_{L_{p/t}(P_{l,\mu})}\Big)^{1/t}$$

$$\leq c 2^{j(n-n/t)} \Big(\sum_{k\geq (j+m_{0})_{+}} 2^{k(n-st-nt)} (2^{ks} \|u_{k}\|_{L_{p}(P_{l,\mu})})^{t}\Big)^{1/t} \quad (\forall l \in \mathbb{Z}).$$
(8)

Secondly, we choose t such that n - st - nt < 0, which implies that

$$\sum_{k \ge (j+m_0)_+} 2^{k(n-st-nt)} \le \sum_{k \ge 0} 2^{k(n-st-nt)} \le c.$$
(9)

Then t will be chosen such that

k

$$\frac{n}{s+n} < t \le \min(1,p),\tag{10}$$

which is possible since $s > (n/p - n)_+$. On the other hand, we have

$$\sup_{\geq (j+m_0)_+} \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{ks} \| u_k \|_{L_p(P_{j,\mu})} \le c 2^{-n\tau j} A.$$
(11)

Indeed, if $m_0 \geq 0$, which implies $(j + m_0)_+ = j + m_0 \geq j$, then we use the fact that $\sup_{k\geq (j+m_0)_+} \ldots \leq \sup_{k\geq j} \ldots$; if $m_0 < 0$, we have $P_{j,\mu} \subset P_{j+m_0,2^{m_0}\mu}$ with $2^{m_0}\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and use the inequality $||u_k||_{L_p(P_{j,\mu})} \leq ||u_k||_{L_p(P_{j+m_0,2^{m_0}\mu})} \leq \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} ||u_k||_{L_p(P_{j+m_0,\nu})}$. Then choosing l = j in (8), and inserting, both (9) and (11) in (8), we get

$$\|Q_jg\|_{L_p(P_{j,\mu})} \le c2^{j(n-n\tau-n/t)}A \qquad (\forall j \in \mathbb{N}, \,\forall \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n).$$
(12)

Now we turn to (3). By inserting, both (4) and (12) in (3), and by choosing the natural number N such that $N + n\tau - n/p - n/d + n/t > 0$, we derive that $|\langle g_1, f \rangle|$ is bounded by $c_1 A \sum_{j \ge 1} 2^{-j(N+n\tau-n/p-n/d+n/t)}$ which gives the bound $c_2 A$.

Substep 1.2: estimate of $|\langle g_2, f \rangle|$. This estimate is similar to that of the above substep, but only a few changes are needed. Indeed, we begin with

$$|\langle g_2, f \rangle| \le \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \int_{P_{0,\nu}} |S_0 g(x)| |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x \le \|f\|_1 \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sup_{x \in P_{0,\nu}} |S_0 g(x)|.$$
(13)

To estimate the last term of (13) we consider the following two cases:

• The case 1: $b \ge 3/2$. We will apply Lemma 2.6 as in (6), and we find, for some $t \in [0,1], |S_0u_k(x)| \le c 2^{k(n/t-n)} (M|u_k|^t(x))^{1/t}$, where we have used

$$\theta := u_k, \quad h := \rho, \quad C := 3/2 \quad \text{and} \quad R := b2^{k+1}/3,$$
 (14)

with $R \ge 1$ for all $k \ge 0$ by the assumption on b (recall that $\hat{\theta}$ is supported by the ball $|\xi| \le CR = b2^k$). Then we continue by choosing t such that $t < \min(1, p)$

and obtain, as in (8) (with $\mu = \nu$ and l = 0),

$$\|S_0g\|_{L_p(P_{0,\nu})} \le c \Big(\sum_{k\ge 0} 2^{k(n-st-nt)} (2^{ks} \|u_k\|_{L_p(P_{0,\nu})})^t \Big)^{1/t}.$$
 (15)

Now we write $2^{ks} \|u_k\|_{L_p(P_{0,\nu})} \leq 2^{n\tau 0} \Big(\sum_{l\geq 0} (2^{ls} \|u_l\|_{L_p(P_{0,\nu})})^q \Big)^{1/q}$. Since $2^{n\tau 0} = 1$, then $2^{ks} \|u_k\|_{L_p(P_{0,\nu})} \leq \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{n\tau j} \Big(\sum_{l\geq j} (2^{ls} \|u_l\|_{L_p(P_{j,\nu})})^q \Big)^{1/q} \leq cA$ holds. From (15), by choosing also t such that n - st - nt < 0 (cf. (10)), we get that $\|S_0g\|_{L_p(P_{0,\nu})} \leq cA$ $(\forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n)$. (16)

Now, by applying again Lemma 2.7 with $\psi := S_0 g$, $(\widehat{\psi} \text{ is supported in } |\xi| \leq 3/2)$, we get $\sup_{x \in P_{0,\nu}} |S_0 g(x)| \leq c \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} ||S_0 g||_{L_p(P_{0,\mu})} \quad (\forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n)$. Finally, by inserting this last inequality in (13) and taking (16) into account, we obtain $|\langle g_2, f \rangle| \leq c ||f||_1 A$ which yields the desired result.

Now the function g exists and belongs to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We put u := g.

- The case 2: b < 3/2. We first replace ρ by another function with the same properties. Let r > 0. Let ρ_r be a cut-off function such that $\rho_r(\xi) = 0$ if $|\xi| \ge r$ and $\rho_r(\xi) = 1$ if $|\xi| \le 3r/2$. We put $\gamma_r(\xi) := \rho_r(\xi) \rho_r(2\xi)$ which is supported by the compact annulus $r/2 \le |\xi| \le 3r/2$, and associate the operators $S_{r,k} := \rho_r(2^{-k}D)$ (k = 0, 1, ...) and $Q_{r,j} := \gamma_r(2^{-j}D)$ (j = 1, 2, ...). Again, we write $g := g_1 + g_2$ where $g_1 := \sum_{j\ge 1} Q_{r,j}g$ and $g_2 := S_{r,0}g$, and we estimate $|\langle g_1, f \rangle|$ and $|\langle g_2, f \rangle|$ similarly as in Substeps 1.1 and 1.2/Case 1, respectively. Indeed, we only note the following three situations:
 - m_0 is the nearest integer to the real number $\log_2(r/(2b))$, where $Q_{r,j}u_k = 0$ if $k \leq j + m_0$,
 - as in (5), the constants C and R become $C := 3r2^{j-1}$ and $R := b2^{k-j+1}/r$ with $R \ge 1$, the estimate of $|\langle g_1, f \rangle|$ follows,
 - by choosing r such that 0 < r < 2b/3 we obtain as in (14), C := 3r/2and $R := b2^{k+1}/(3r)$ with $R \ge 1$ for all $k \ge 0$ and the estimate of $|\langle g_2, f \rangle|$ follows too.

Again, the function g now exists and belongs to $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we also put u := g.

Step 2: proof of $||u||_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq cA$. Consider a number r such that r > 2b. Based on Remark 2.3, we will use the sequences $(S_{r,k})_{k\geq 0}$ and $(Q_{r,j})_{j\geq 1}$ defined above in Substep 1.2/Case 2. The condition r > 2b implies $m_0 \geq 0$. Now, we first write the inequality (8) and recall that it holds for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$; we put $\sigma := n - st - nt$ for brevity, and get

$$\|Q_{r,j}u\|_{L_p(P_{l,\nu})} \le 2^{j(n-n/t)} \Big(\sum_{k\ge (j+m_0)_+} 2^{k\sigma} (2^{ks} \|u_k\|_{L_p(P_{l,\nu})})^t \Big)^{1/t}$$

which is bounded by $2^{j(n-n/t)} \left(\sum_{k \ge j+m_0} 2^{k\sigma} (2^{ks} ||u_k||_{L_p(P_{l,\nu})})^t \right)^{1/t}$ where t is given in (10). We continue by summation with respect to j and take into account that in the right-hand side it holds that $\sum_{k > j+m_0} \ldots \le \sum_{k > j} \ldots$ Then

$$\left(\sum_{j\geq l_{+}} (2^{js} \|Q_{r,j}u\|_{L_{p}(P_{l,\nu})})^{q}\right)^{1/q} \leq c_{1} \left(\sum_{j\geq l_{+}} \left(\sum_{k\geq j} 2^{(k-j)\sigma} (2^{ks} \|u_{k}\|_{L_{p}(P_{l,\nu})})^{t}\right)^{q/t}\right)^{1/q}$$

Applying Lemma 2.5, since $\sigma < 0$, the right-hand side of the last inequality is bounded by $c2^{-n\tau l}A$, and the desired result follows.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As mentioned in the Introduction, the main tools of the proof are the following statements, where we need a cut-off function: we fix φ a C^{∞} -function on \mathbb{R} , such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ if $x \in [-1, 1]$ and $\varphi(x) = 0$ if $x \notin [-2, 2]$. We put $\varphi_t := \varphi(t^{-1}(\cdot))$ for all t > 0, which will be used in what follows as in the following equality $f \circ g = f\varphi_t \circ g$ if $g \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t \ge \max(1, \|g\|_{\infty})$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. [3, 9] Let $0 < s \neq 1$ and $0 < q \leq \infty$. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel measurable function in $B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})_{loc}$.

- (i) If s > 1, then T_f takes $B^s_{\infty,a}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself.
- (ii) If s < 1, then T_f takes $W^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, there exists a continuous increasing function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ depending only on n, q and s, such that, for all such functions f, and all g in various function spaces in (i) and (ii), it holds

$$\|T_f(g)\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|f\varphi_t\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})}\phi(\mathcal{N}(g)),\tag{17}$$

where $t \geq \max(1, \|g\|_{\infty}), \mathcal{N}(g) := \|g\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ in the case (i) and $\mathcal{N}(g) := \|g\|_{W^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ in the case (ii).

REMARK 3.2. Concerning Proposition 3.1, the cases s > 1 and 0 < s < 1 are proved in [3, Theorem 4] and [9, Proposition 3.1], respectively. These two references provide the proofs for $q \ge 1$, however the extension to 0 < q < 1 is easy. Also, the precise estimate (17) occurs in both [3] and the proof given in [9].

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let $0 < p, q \le \infty$, $s > (n/p-n)_+$ and $0 \le \tau \le 1/p$. Let b > 0. Let $(\chi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions in $B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $(f_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\widehat{f_j}$ is supported by the ball $|\xi| \le b2^j$ and

$$A := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\sum_{j \ge k_+} (2^{sj} \| f_j \|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})})^q \Big)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Then it holds $\left\|\sum_{j\geq 0}\chi_j f_j\right\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq cA\sup_{j\geq 0} \|\chi_j\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where the constant c depends only on n, p, q, s, τ and b.

Proof. For all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\chi_j = S_j \chi_j + \sum_{m \ge j+1} Q_m \chi_j$, then we put $\sum_{j\ge 0} \chi_j f_j = g_1 + g_2$, where $g_1 := \sum_{j\ge 0} f_j S_j \chi_j$ and $g_2 := \sum_{m\ge 1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_j Q_m \chi_j$.

Step 1: estimate of g_1 . The function $f_j S_j \chi_j$ is supported by the ball $|\xi| \leq (b + 3/2)2^j$, hence from Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\|g_1\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\sum_{j \ge k_+} 2^{sjq} \|f_j S_j \chi_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}^q \Big)^{1/q}$$

Using the inequality $\|S_j\chi_j\|_{\infty} \leq c \|\chi_j\|_{\infty}$ $(\forall j \geq 0)$, and the embedding $B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (s > 0), we get $\|f_jS_j\chi_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})} \leq c \|f_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})} \|\chi_j\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $\|g_1\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is bounded by $cA \sup_{j\geq 0} \|\chi_j\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Step 2: estimate of g_2 . The function $\mathcal{F}(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_j Q_m \chi_j)$ is supported by the ball $|\xi| \leq (b/2 + 3/2)2^m$ where $m \geq 1$. Then Proposition 2.4 gives us

$$\|g_2\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\sum_{m \ge 1+k_+} 2^{smq} \Big\| \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_j Q_m \chi_j \Big\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}^q \Big)^{1/q}.$$
(18)

We continue the proof by the following substeps with respect to p and q.

Substep 2.1: the case $p \ge 1$ and $q \ge 1$. By Minkowski inequality with respect to $\ell_q(\mathbb{N})$, we get

$$\|g_2\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c_1 \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \sum_{j \ge 0} \Big(\sum_{m \ge j+1} 2^{qsm} \|f_j Q_m \chi_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}^q \Big)^{1/q}.$$

Now we have easily

$$\left(\sum_{m\geq j+1} 2^{qsm} \|f_j Q_m \chi_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}^q\right)^{1/q} \le \left(\sum_{m\geq 0} 2^{qsm} \|Q_m \chi_j\|_{\infty}^q\right)^{1/q} \|f_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})},$$

and then we obtain that $\|g_2\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is bounded by $c_2 \sup_{j\geq 0} \|\chi_j\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}(A_1+A_2)$

where
$$A_1 := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \sum_{j \ge 1+k_+} \|f_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}$$
 and $A_2 := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \sum_{j=0}^{k_+} \|f_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}.$

Then, by Hölder inequality it holds

$$A_{1} \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{n\tau k} \sum_{j \geq k_{+}} 2^{-sj} (2^{sj} \| f_{j} \|_{L_{p}(P_{k,\nu})}) \leq cA.$$
(19)

Now we prove that $A_2 \leq cA$. By the inequality $||f_j||_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})} \leq 2^{-kn/p} ||f_j||_{\infty}$ we get $A_2 \leq \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{kn(\tau-1/p)} \sum_{j=0}^{k_+} ||f_j||_{\infty}$. For the estimate of $||f_j||_{\infty}$, we use the same calculus given in the proof of [16, Proposition 2.6, p. 46]. We obtain $||f_j||_{\infty} \leq c2^{j(n/p-n\tau-s)}A$ for all $j \geq 0$, and by assumptions $0 \leq \tau \leq 1/p$ and s > 0 we get that

$$A_{2} \leq c_{1}A \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{kn(\tau-1/p)} \sum_{j=0}^{k_{+}} 2^{-jn(\tau-1/p)} 2^{-sj}$$
$$\leq c_{1}A \left(1 + \sup_{k \geq 1} 2^{kn(\tau-1/p)} \sum_{j=0}^{k} 2^{-jn(\tau-1/p)} 2^{-sj}\right) \leq c_{2}A.$$
(20)

Now, by (19) and (20) it follows that $\|g_2\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is bounded by $cA \sup_{j\geq 0} \|\chi_j\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Substep 2.2: the case $p \ge 1$ and 0 < q < 1. In (18) using (7) with $\alpha := q$, we have

$$\|g_2\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\sum_{m \ge 1+k_+} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} 2^{smq} \|f_j Q_m \chi_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}^q \Big)^{1/q}$$

Using the following estimate

$$\|f_{j}Q_{m}\chi_{j}\|_{L_{p}(P_{k,\nu})} \leq \|Q_{m}\chi_{j}\|_{\infty}\|f_{j}\|_{L_{p}(P_{k,\nu})},$$

$$\|g_{2}\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq c \sup_{j\geq 0} \|\chi_{j}\|_{B^{s}_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}(A_{3}+A_{4}),$$
(21)
(21)

we obtain

where $A_3 := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \left(\sum_{j \ge 1+k_+} \|f_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}^q \right)^{1/q}$, $A_4 := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k_+} \|f_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}^q \right)^{1/q}$. The estimates of A_3 and A_4 are completely similar to that of A_1 and A_2 , respectively.

Substep 2.3: the case $0 < q \le p < 1$. From (18), and using twice (7) with respect to $\ell_p(\{0,...,m-1\})$ and with respect to $\ell_{q/p}(\{k_++1,k_++2,...\})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_2\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq c \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\sum_{m \geq 1+k_+} \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} 2^{psm} |f_j Q_m \chi_j(x)|^p \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{q/p} \Big)^{1/q} \\ &\leq c \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\sum_{m \geq 1+k_+} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} 2^{smq} \|f_j Q_m \chi_j\|_{L^p(P_{k,\nu})}^q \Big)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, again we proceed as in (21) and (22).

Substep 2.4: the case 0 , <math>p < q and $0 < q \le \infty$. Here also from (18) and using (7) with respect to $\ell_p(\{0,\ldots,m-1\})$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_2\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq c \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\sum_{m \geq 1+k_+} \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \int_{P_{k,\nu}} 2^{smp} |f_j Q_m \chi_j(x)|^p \mathrm{d}x \Big)^{q/p} \Big)^{1/q} \\ &\leq c \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\Big\{ \sum_{m \geq k_+} \Big(\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{smp} \|f_j Q_m \chi_j\|_{L^p(P_{k,\nu})}^p \Big)^{q/p} \Big\}^{p/q} \Big)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Minkowski inequality with respect to $\ell_{q/p}(\mathbb{N})$, it holds

$$\|g_2\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big\{ \sum_{j \ge 0} \Big(\sum_{m \ge k_+} 2^{smq} \|f_j Q_m \chi_j\|_{L^p(P_{k,\nu})}^q \Big)^{p/q} \Big\}^{1/p}$$

and by (21) we obtain the bound $c \sup_{j\geq 0} \|\chi_j\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}(A_5+A_6)$ where

$$A_5 := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\sum_{j \ge 1+k_+} \|f_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}^p \Big)^{1/p}, \ A_6 := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\sum_{j=0}^{k_+} \|f_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}^p \Big)^{1/p},$$

and the estimates of A_5 and A_6 are similar to that of A_1 and A_2 , respectively, however some technical changes are needed. Indeed, by Hölder inequality with exponents q/p

(22)

and q/(q-p), it holds

$$\sum_{j\geq 1+k_+} \|f_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})}^p = \sum_{j\geq 1+k_+} 2^{-sjp} (2^{sj} \|f_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})})^p \le c \Big(\sum_{j\geq k_+} (2^{sj} \|f_j\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})})^q \Big)^{p/q}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which yields $A_5 \leq cA$. For A_6 , by using the estimate $||f_j||_{L_p(P_{j,\nu})} \leq c2^{-j(n\tau+s)}A$ ($\forall j \geq 0, \forall \nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$), then as in (20) we get

$$A_6 \le c_1 A \left(1 + \sup_{k \ge 1} 2^{kn(\tau p-1)} \sum_{j=0}^k 2^{-jn(\tau p-1)} 2^{-sjp} \right)^{1/p} \le c_2 A.$$

The proof is complete.

Proof (Proof of Theorem 1.1). Let g be a function in $W^1_{\mathbb{D}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, s < 1, (in the case s > 1 the function g is taken in $B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). We easily get, both $\lim_{j\to\infty} f \circ S_j g = f \circ g$ in $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the following linearization:

$$f \circ g = f \circ S_0 g + \sum_{j \ge 0} (f \circ S_{j+1}g - f \circ S_j g),$$

$$(23)$$

(for more details, see [8,9]). Now, we introduce a sequence of operators $(R_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ defined by $R_0(f,g) := \int_0^1 f \circ (zS_0g) dz$, $R_j(f,g) := \int_0^1 f \circ (S_{j-1}g + zQ_jg) dz$ (j = 1, 2, ...). From (23) we have

$$f \circ g = \sum_{j \ge 0} R_j(f', g) Q_j g.$$
⁽²⁴⁾

On the other hand, there exist two positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

 $||S_0g||_{\infty} \le c_1 ||g||_{\infty}$ and $||S_{j-1}g + zQ_jg||_{\infty} \le c_2 ||g||_{\infty} \ (\forall z \in [0,1], j = 1, 2, ...).$ By taking $t \ge \max(1, c_1 ||g||_{\infty}, c_2 ||g||_{\infty})$ we arrive at

$$R_j(f',g) = R_j(\varphi_t f',g), \tag{25}$$

where the cut-off function φ is defined in the beginning of this section. The function $f'\varphi_t$ belongs to $B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})$. Indeed, we may write $f'\varphi_t = (f\varphi_t)' - f\varphi'_t$, then both $(f\varphi_t)' \in B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})$ and $f\varphi'_t \in B^{s+1}_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})$ yield the desired assertion. Now we establish the following *claim*: the sequence $(R_j(f',g))_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In the case $q \ge 1$, the equality (25) and Proposition 3.1 give the claim. However, this argument does not work in the case 0 < q < 1 since it is not possible to apply the Minkowski inequality. Then the integral (in R_j) can be interpreted as the limit of Riemann sums, i.e. we first prove

$$R_0(f',g) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f'\left(\frac{k}{m} S_0 g\right) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$
(26)

We set $U_{m,(0)} := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f'(\frac{k}{m} S_0 g)$. Indeed, using Proposition 3.1 (see also (17)), there exits a continuous increasing function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ depending only on n, q and s, such that

$$\left\| f'\left(\frac{k}{m}S_0g\right) \right\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \| f'\varphi_t\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})}\phi(\mathcal{N}(S_0g)) \qquad (k=0,\dots,m-1).$$
(27)

Here we have used $\phi(\mathcal{N}(\frac{k}{m}S_0g)) \leq \phi(\mathcal{N}(S_0g))$, where

$$t \ge \max(1, c \|g\|_{\infty}) \ge \max(1, \|S_0g\|_{\infty}) \ge \max\left(1, \left\|\frac{k}{m}S_0g\right\|_{\infty}\right),$$

and $\mathcal{N}(\cdot)$ is defined in Proposition 3.1, i.e., $\mathcal{N}(S_0g) \leq c \|g\|_{W^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ if s < 1, or $\mathcal{N}(S_0g) \leq c \|g\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ if s > 1, (they follow from $\|S_0g\|_{\infty} \leq c \|g\|_{\infty}$), so we conclude that $\mathcal{N}(S_0g) \leq c \mathcal{N}(g)$ in each case, and consequently

$$\|U_{m,(0)}\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|f'\varphi_t\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})}\phi(c\mathcal{N}(g)) \quad (\forall m \ge 1).$$

$$(28)$$

Now, by the embedding $B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the estimate (27) yields

$$\|U_{m,(0)}\|_{\infty} \le \|f'\varphi_t\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})}\phi(c\mathcal{N}(g)) \qquad (\forall m \ge 1),$$
(29)

where $t \ge \max(1, c \|g\|_{\infty})$ and the right-hand side of (29) is independent of m. Let now $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We apply Dominated Convergence Theorem, and we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \langle U_{m,(0)}, \psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lim_{m \to \infty} U_{m,(0)}(x)\psi(x) \,\mathrm{d}x = \langle R_0(f',g), \psi \rangle,$$

and (26) is proved. Now we put $U_{m,(j)} := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f' \left(S_{j-1}g + \frac{k}{m}Q_jg \right), (j = 1, 2, \ldots),$ and the same proof yields the following:

$$\|U_{m,(j)}\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|f'\varphi_t\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})}\phi(c\mathcal{N}(g)) \qquad (\forall j \ge 1, \,\forall m \ge 1), \tag{30}$$

$$\|U_{m,(j)}\|_{\infty} \le \|f\varphi_t\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})}\phi(c\mathcal{N}(g)) \quad (\forall j, m \ge 1),$$
(31)

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} U_{m,(j)} = R_j(f',g) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$
(32)

Applying the Fatou property to the sequence $(U_{m,(j)})_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$, by (26)–(32), we get

$$R_j(f',g)\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c_1 \|f'\varphi_t\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})} \phi(c_2\mathcal{N}(g)) \qquad (\forall j \ge 0),$$

where $t \ge \max(1, \|g\|_{\infty})$, and the claim is proved. Finally, by applying Proposition 3.3 to the series (24) (with $\chi_j := R_j(f', g)$ and $f_j := Q_j g$), we obtain

$$\|T_{f}(g)\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq c_{1}\|f\varphi_{t}\|_{B^{s+1}_{\infty,d}(\mathbb{R})}\phi(c_{2}\mathcal{N}(g))\|g\|_{B^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})},$$
(33)

where t and $\mathcal{N}(g)$ are defined above. Here we have also used $\|f'\varphi_t\|_{B^s_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})} \leq c\|f\varphi_t\|_{B^{s+1}_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})}$ for all t > 0. Now concerning the assumption f(0) = 0, by testing the zero function in (33), we obtain this condition, and the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.

4. Some extensions and remarks

Now, we deal with the case s = 1, where we need the following notation: we denote by $\dot{W}^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (m = 1, 2, ...) the homogeneous Sobolev space of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f^{(\alpha)} \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $|\alpha| = m$, and endowed with the semi-norm $||f||_{\dot{W}^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} :=$ $\sum_{|\alpha|=m} ||f^{(\alpha)}||_{\infty}$. We have $||f + \mathcal{P}||_{\dot{W}^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = ||f||_{\dot{W}^m_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for all polynomials \mathcal{P} of degree less than m. So, we formulate the following statement.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let $0 < q \leq \infty$. If a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $(\dot{W}^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cap$

 $B^1_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}))_{loc}$, then T_f takes $\dot{W}^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^1_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $B^1_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, there exists a constant c = c(n,q) > 0 such that

 $\|T_{f}(g)\|_{B^{1}_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq c\|f\varphi_{t}\|_{\dot{W}^{1}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R})\cap B^{1}_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})} \left(1 + \|g\|_{\dot{W}^{1}_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})\cap B^{1}_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}\right)$

holds, for all such functions f and all $g \in \dot{W}^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^1_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $t \ge \max(1, \|g\|_{\infty})$. The function φ_t was defined in the beginning of Section 3.

Proof. In the case $0 < q \le 1$, we have $B^1_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{W}^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) = B^1_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})$ and $B^1_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \dot{W}^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = B^1_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the assertion is proved in [3, Theorem 5] with q = 1. The proof given in [3, Theorem 5] can be easily extended to any q > 0 under assumptions on f and g, since we only replace, in this proof, the $L_1(]0, \infty[; dt/t)$ by $L_q(]0, \infty[; dt/t)$.

Based on this proposition, we obtain a result for the composition operator T_f on the space $B_{p,q}^{1,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which has a proof completely similar to that of Theorem 1.1.

THEOREM 4.2. Let $0 < p, q \leq \infty$, $(n/p-n)_+ < s = 1$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq 1/p$. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel measurable function such that f(0) = 0 and $f \in (\dot{W}^2_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cap B^2_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}))_{loc}$. Then T_f takes $\dot{W}^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^1_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^{1,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $B^{1,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $c = c(n, p, q, \tau) > 0$ such that

 $\|T_f(g)\|_{B^{1,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le c \|f\varphi_t\|_{\dot{W}^2_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \cap B^2_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R})} (1 + \|g\|_{\dot{W}^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^1_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}) \|g\|_{B^{1,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$

holds, for all such functions f and all $g \in \dot{W}^1_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^1_{\infty,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap B^{1,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and where $t \geq \max(1, \|g\|_{\infty})$.

REMARK 4.3. It would be interesting to extend the result in Theorem 1.1 to:

(i) The Triebel-Lizorkin-type spaces $F_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $(p \in]0, \infty[, q \in]0, \infty]$, $s, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$), the set of $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{F_{p,q}^{s,\tau}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{kn\tau} \left\| \left(\sum_{j \ge k_+} (2^{sj} |Q_j f|)^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})} < \infty.$$

(ii) The homogeneous Besov-type spaces $\dot{B}^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $(p,q \in]0,\infty]$, $s,\tau \in \mathbb{R}$), the set of the tempered distributions modulo polynomials f such that

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}^n} 2^{n\tau k} \Big(\sum_{j \ge k} (2^{sj} \|Q_j f\|_{L_p(P_{k,\nu})})^q \Big)^{1/q} < \infty.$$
(34)

Here $Q_j := \gamma(2^{-j}D)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that $||f||_{\dot{B}^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = ||f + \mathcal{P}||_{\dot{B}^{s,\tau}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ for all polynomials \mathcal{P} on \mathbb{R}^n .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We would like to thank the referee for his/her remarks.

References

- S.E. Allaoui, Remarques sur le calcul symbolique dans certains espaces de Besov à valeurs vectorielles, Annales mathématiques Blaise Pascal 16(2) (2009), 399–429.
- [2] H. Bahouri, J.Y. Chemin, R. Danchin, Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 343, Springer, 2011.

- [3] G. Bourdaud, M. Lanza de Cristoforis, Functional calculus in Hölder-Zygmund spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), 4109–4129.
- [4] G. Bourdaud, M. Moussai, W. Sickel, Composition operators acting on Besov spaces on the real line, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 193 (2014), 1519–1554.
- [5] B.E.J. Dahlberg, A note on Sobolev spaces, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 35(1) (1979), 183–185.
- [6] J. Franke, On the spaces F^s_{pq} of Triebel-Lizorkin type: Pointwise multipliers and spaces on domains, Math. Nachr. 125 (1986), 29–68.
- [7] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth, Decomposition of Besov spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985), 777–799.
- [8] Y. Meyer, Remarque sur un théorème de J. M. Bony, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Serie II 1 (1981), 1–20.
- [9] M. Moussai, The composition in Lizorkin-Triebel spaces via para-differential operators, Math. Rep. 13(63)2 (2011), 151–170.
- [10] M. Moussai, The composition in multidimensional Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, Math. Nachr. 284(2-3) (2011), 317-331.
- [11] M. Moussai, Composition operators on Besov algebras, Rev. Mat. Iberoamer. 28(1) (2012), 239–272.
- [12] B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 165 (1972), 207–226.
- [13] T. Runst, W. Sickel, Sobolev Spaces of Fractional Order, Nemytskij Operators, and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.
- [14] H. Triebel, Theory of Function Spaces, Monogr. Math. 78, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.
- [15] M. Yamazaki, A quasi-homogeneous version of paradifferential operators, I: Boundedness on spaces of Besov type, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 33 (1986), 131–174.
- [16] W. Yuan, W. Sickel, D. Yang, Morrey and Campanato Meet Besov, Lizorkin and Triebel, Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 2005, Springer, Berlin, 2010.

(received 03.04.2017; in revised form 20.11.2017; available online 02.02.2018)

Laboratory of Functional Analysis and Geometry Spaces, Mohamed Boudiaf University of M'Sila, 28000 M'Sila, Algeria

E-mail: mmoussai@yahoo.fr

Laboratory of Functional Analysis and Geometry Spaces, Mohamed Boudiaf University of M'Sila, 28000 M'Sila, Algeria

E-mail: smrabta@yahoo.fr