

## RESULTS ON AMALGAMATION ALONG A SEMIDUALIZING IDEAL

Mahnaz Salek, Elham Tavasoli, Abolfazl Tehranian and Maryam Salimi

**Abstract.** Let  $R$  be a commutative Noetherian ring and let  $I$  be a semidualizing ideal of  $R$ . In this paper, it is shown that the  $G_I$ -projective,  $G_I$ -injective, and  $G_I$ -flat dimensions agree with  $\text{Gpd}_{R \bowtie I}(-)$ ,  $\text{Gid}_{R \bowtie I}(-)$ , and  $\text{Gfd}_{R \bowtie I}(-)$ , respectively. Also, it is proved that for a non-negative integer  $n$  if  $\sup\{\mathcal{GP}_I\text{-pd}_R(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\} \leq n$  (or  $\sup\{\mathcal{GI}_I\text{-id}_R(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\} \leq n$ ), then for every projective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $P$  we have  $\text{id}_{R \bowtie I}(P) \leq n$ , and for every injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $E$  we have  $\text{pd}_{R \bowtie I}(E) \leq n$ .

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper  $R$  is a commutative Noetherian ring and all modules are unital. Recall that for an  $R$ -module  $M$  the idealization  $R \times M$  (also called trivial extension) introduced by Nagata in 1956 [13, Page 2], is a new ring where the module  $M$  can be viewed as an ideal such that its square is 0. In [4], D’Anna and Fontana considered a different type of construction obtained involving a ring  $R$  and an ideal  $I \subset R$  that is denoted by  $R \bowtie I$ , called amalgamated duplication, and it is defined  $R \bowtie I = \{(r, r + i) \mid r \in R, i \in I\}$ , as a subring of  $R \times R$ . The properties of the ring  $R \bowtie I$  were studied extensively in [1, 3–5, 14, 17]. Also, in [15], the authors focused on the properties of  $R \bowtie I$ , when  $I$  is a semidualizing ideal of  $R$ , i.e.,  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $I$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module. The notion of a “semidualizing module” was first introduced by Foxby [8], and then Vasconcelos [18] and Golod [9] rediscovered these modules using different terminology for different purposes.

In [11], the authors showed that how a semidualizing module  $C$  gives rise to three new relative homological dimensions which are called  $G_C$ -projective,  $G_C$ -injective, and  $G_C$ -flat dimension. Also, they investigated the properties of these dimensions and they suggested the view point that one should change ring from  $R$  to  $R \times C$  and they showed that the  $G_C$ -projective,  $G_C$ -injective, and  $G_C$ -flat dimensions always

---

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 13D05, 13H10.

*Keywords and phrases:* Amalgamated duplication; semidualizing;  $G_C$ -projective dimension;  $G_C$ -injective dimension;  $G_C$ -flat dimension.

agree with the ring changed Gorenstein dimensions  $\text{Gpd}_{R \times C}(-)$ ,  $\text{Gid}_{R \times C}(-)$ , and  $\text{Gfd}_{R \times C}(-)$ , respectively.

This paper builds on work of Holm and Jørgensen [11] for the ring  $R \bowtie I$ , where  $I$  is a semidualizing ideal, instead of idealization. In particular, it is shown that for a semidualizing ideal  $I$  the  $G_I$ -projective,  $G_I$ -injective, and  $G_I$ -flat dimensions agree with  $\text{Gpd}_{R \bowtie I}(-)$ ,  $\text{Gid}_{R \bowtie I}(-)$ , and  $\text{Gfd}_{R \bowtie I}(-)$ , respectively. Also, we give some homological properties of  $(R \bowtie I)$ -modules, where  $I$  is a semidualizing ideal of the ring  $R$ . In particular, it is proved that for a non-negative integer  $n$  if  $\sup\{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_I - \text{pd}_R(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\} \leq n$  (or  $\sup\{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}_I - \text{id}_R(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\} \leq n$ ), then for every projective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $P$  we have  $\text{id}_{R \bowtie I}(P) \leq n$ , and for every injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $E$  we have  $\text{pd}_{R \bowtie I}(E) \leq n$ .

## 2. Background material

Throughout this paper  $\mathcal{M}(R)$  denotes the category of  $R$ -modules. We use the term “subcategory” to mean a “full, additive subcategory  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{M}(R)$  such that, for all  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ , if  $M \cong N$  and  $M \in \mathcal{X}$ , then  $N \in \mathcal{X}$ ”. Write  $\mathcal{P}(R)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(R)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(R)$  for the subcategories of projective, flat and injective  $R$ -modules, respectively.

DEFINITION 2.1. An  $R$ -complex is a sequence  $Y = \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}^Y} Y_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n^Y} Y_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}^Y} \cdots$  of  $R$ -modules and  $R$ -homomorphisms such that  $\partial_{n-1}^Y \partial_n^Y = 0$  for each integer  $n$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}(R)$ . The  $R$ -complex  $Y$  is  $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{X}, -)$ -exact if for each  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ , the complex  $\text{Hom}_R(X, Y)$  is exact, and similarly for  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{X})$ -exact.

The notion of semidualizing modules, defined next, goes back at least to Foxby [8], but was rediscovered by others.

DEFINITION 2.2. A finitely generated  $R$ -module  $C$  is called *semidualizing* if the natural homothety homomorphism  $\chi_C^R : R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$  is an isomorphism and  $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(C, C) = 0$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module. An  $R$ -module is  $C$ -projective (resp.  $C$ -flat or  $C$ -injective) if it is isomorphic to a module of the form  $P \otimes_R C$  for some projective  $R$ -module  $P$  (resp.  $F \otimes_R C$  for some flat  $R$ -module  $F$  or  $\text{Hom}_R(C, I)$  for some injective  $R$ -module  $I$ ). We let  $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_C(R)$  denote the categories of  $C$ -projective,  $C$ -flat and  $C$ -injective  $R$ -modules, respectively.

The next two classes were also introduced by Foxby [8].

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module. The *Auslander class* with respect to  $C$  is the class  $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$  of  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that:

- (i)  $\text{Tor}_i^R(C, M) = 0 = \text{Ext}_R^i(C, C \otimes_R M)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , and
- (ii) the natural map  $\gamma_C^M : M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C) \otimes_R M$  is an isomorphism.

The *Bass class* with respect to  $C$  is the class  $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$  of  $R$ -modules  $M$  such that:

- (i)  $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, M) = 0 = \text{Tor}_i^R(C, \text{Hom}_R(C, M))$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , and
- (ii) the natural evaluation map  $\xi_M^C : C \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(C, M) \rightarrow M$  is an isomorphism.

The notion of precovers and preenvelopes, defined next, are from [6].

DEFINITION 2.5. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a subcategory of  $\mathcal{M}(R)$ . An  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover of an  $R$ -module  $M$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism  $X \xrightarrow{\varphi} M$ , where  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ , and such that the map  $\text{Hom}_R(X', \varphi)$  is surjective for every  $X' \in \mathcal{X}$ . If every  $R$ -module admits  $\mathcal{X}$ -precover, then the class  $\mathcal{X}$  is *precovering*. The notions of  $\mathcal{X}$ -preenvelope and *preenveloping* are defined dually.

DEFINITION 2.6. Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module. In [12], it is shown that the class  $\mathcal{P}_C(R)$  is precovering. So, one can iteratively take precovers to construct an *augmented proper  $\mathcal{P}_C$ -projective resolution* for any  $R$ -module  $M$ , that is, a complex  $X^+ = \cdots \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_1 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$  which is  $\text{Hom}_R(\mathcal{P}_C(R), -)$ -exact. The truncated complex  $X = \cdots \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_1 \rightarrow C \otimes_R P_0 \rightarrow 0$  is a *proper  $\mathcal{P}_C$ -projective resolution* of  $M$ .

Dually, in [12] it is proved that the class  $\mathcal{I}_C(R)$  is enveloping. So, for an  $R$ -module  $N$  one can construct an *augmented proper  $\mathcal{I}_C$ -injective resolution*, that is, a complex  $Y^+ = 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I^0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, I^1) \rightarrow \cdots$  which is  $\text{Hom}_R(-, \mathcal{I}_C(R))$ -exact. Also, in [12] it is shown that the class  $\mathcal{F}_C(R)$  is covering. Similarly for an  $R$ -module  $M$  one can construct an *augmented proper  $\mathcal{F}_C$ -flat resolution*.

FACT 2.7. Note that  $X^+$  and  $Y^+$  need not be exact. In [16, Corollary 2.4], it is proved that if  $M$  is in  $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}_C(R)$ ), then every augmented proper  $\mathcal{P}_C$ -projective resolution (resp.  $\mathcal{I}_C$ -injective resolution) of  $M$  is exact.

DEFINITION 2.8. Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. The  $\mathcal{P}_C$ -projective dimension of  $M$  is  $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(M) = \inf\{\sup\{n \mid X_n \neq 0\} \mid X \text{ is a proper } \mathcal{P}_C\text{-projective resolution of } M\}$ . The  $\mathcal{F}_C$ -projective dimension, denoted  $\mathcal{F}_C\text{-pd}_R(-)$  is defined similarly and the  $\mathcal{I}_C$ -injective dimension, denoted  $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-id}_R(-)$  is defined dually.

FACT 2.9 ([16, Theorem 2.11]). Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module. Then for every  $R$ -module  $M$ , we have the following statements.

- (i)  $\text{pd}_R(M) = \mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(C \otimes_R M)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_C\text{-pd}_R(M) = \text{pd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, M))$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{I}_C\text{-id}_R(M) = \text{id}_R(C \otimes_R M)$  and  $\text{id}_R(M) = \mathcal{I}_C\text{-id}_R(\text{Hom}_R(C, M))$ .

DEFINITION 2.10 ([11]). Let  $C$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module. A *complete  $\mathcal{I}_C\mathcal{I}$ -resolution* is a complex  $Y$  of  $R$ -modules satisfying the following:

- (i)  $Y$  is exact and  $\text{Hom}_R(I, Y)$  is exact for each  $I \in \mathcal{I}_C(R)$ , and
- (ii)  $Y_i \in \mathcal{I}_C(R)$  for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $Y_i$  is injective for all  $i < 0$ .

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $G_C$ -injective if there exists a complete  $\mathcal{I}_C\mathcal{I}$ -resolution  $Y$  such that  $M \cong \text{Coker}(\partial_1^Y)$ ; in this case  $Y$  is a *complete  $\mathcal{I}_C\mathcal{I}$ -resolution* of  $M$ . The class

of all  $G_C$ -injective  $R$ -modules is denoted by  $\mathcal{GI}_C(R)$ . In the case  $C = R$ , we use the more common terminology “complete injective resolution” and “Gorenstein injective module” and the notation  $\mathcal{GI}(R)$ .

A *complete  $\mathcal{PP}_C$ -resolution* is a complex  $X$  of  $R$ -modules such that:

- (i)  $X$  is exact and  $\text{Hom}_R(X, P)$  is exact for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ , and
- (ii)  $X_i$  is projective for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $X_i \in \mathcal{P}_C(R)$  for all  $i < 0$ .

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $G_C$ -projective if there exists a complete  $\mathcal{PP}_C$ -resolution  $X$  such that  $M \cong \text{Coker}(\partial_1^X)$ ; in this case  $X$  is a *complete  $\mathcal{PP}_C$ -resolution* of  $M$ . The class of all  $G_C$ -projective  $R$ -modules is denoted by  $\mathcal{GP}_C(R)$ . In the case  $C = R$ , we use the more common terminology “complete projective resolution” and “Gorenstein projective module” and the notation  $\mathcal{GP}(R)$ .

A *complete  $\mathcal{FF}_C$ -resolution* is a complex  $Z$  of  $R$ -modules such that:

- (i)  $Z$  is exact and  $Z \otimes_R I$  is exact for each  $I \in \mathcal{I}_C(R)$ , and
- (ii)  $Z_i$  is flat for all  $i \geq 0$  and  $Z_i \in \mathcal{F}_C(R)$  for all  $i < 0$ .

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is  $G_C$ -flat if there exists a complete  $\mathcal{FF}_C$ -resolution  $Z$  such that  $M \cong \text{Coker}(\partial_1^Z)$ ; in this case  $Z$  is a *complete  $\mathcal{FF}_C$ -resolution* of  $M$ . The class of all  $G_C$ -flat  $R$ -modules is denoted by  $\mathcal{GF}_C(R)$ . In the case  $C = R$ , we use the more common terminology “complete flat resolution” and “Gorenstein flat module” and the notation  $\mathcal{GF}(R)$ .

FACT 2.11 ([11]). *Let  $C$  be a semidualizing module of the ring  $R$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{P}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_C(R)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GP}_C(R)$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{I}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GI}_C(R)$  and  $\mathcal{I}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GI}_C(R)$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{F}(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_C(R) \subseteq \mathcal{GF}_C(R)$ .

DEFINITION 2.12. Let  $C$  be a semidualizing module of the ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. A  $\mathcal{GP}_C$ -resolution of  $M$  is a complex of  $R$ -modules in  $\mathcal{GP}_C(R)$  of the form  $X = \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2^X} X_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1^X} X_0 \longrightarrow 0$  such that  $H_0(X) \cong M$  and  $H_n(X) = 0$  for  $n \geq 1$ . The  $\mathcal{GP}_C$ -projective dimension of  $M$  is the quantity  $\mathcal{GP}_C - \text{pd}_R(M) = \inf\{\sup\{n \mid X_n \neq 0\} \mid X \text{ is an } \mathcal{GP}_C\text{-resolution of } M\}$ .

In particular,  $\mathcal{GP}_C - \text{pd}_R(0) = -\infty$ . The modules of  $\mathcal{GP}_C$ -projective dimension zero are the non-zero modules in  $\mathcal{GP}_C(R)$ . The  $\mathcal{GF}_C$ -resolution and  $\mathcal{GF}_C$ -projective dimension are defined similarly.

Dually, an  $\mathcal{GI}_C$ -coresolution of  $M$  is a complex of  $R$ -modules in  $\mathcal{GI}_C(R)$  of the form  $X = 0 \longrightarrow X_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0^X} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{-1}^X} \cdots$  such that  $H_0(X) \cong M$  and  $H_n(X) = 0$  for  $n \leq -1$ . The  $\mathcal{GI}_C$ -injective dimension of  $M$  is the quantity  $\mathcal{GI}_C - \text{id}_R(M) = \inf\{\sup\{n \mid X_n \neq 0\} \mid X \text{ is an } \mathcal{GI}_C\text{-coresolution of } M\}$ .

In particular,  $\mathcal{GI}_C - \text{id}_R(0) = -\infty$ . The modules of  $\mathcal{GI}_C$ -injective dimension zero are the non-zero modules in  $\mathcal{GI}_C(R)$ .

### 3. Amalgamation along a semidualizing ideal and relative Gorenstein homological dimensions

The first aim of this section is to show that for a semidualizing ideal  $I$  of the ring  $R$ , i.e.,  $I$  is an ideal of  $R$  and  $I$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module, the  $G_I$ -projective,  $G_I$ -injective, and  $G_I$ -flat dimensions agree with  $\text{Gpd}_{R \bowtie I}(-)$ ,  $\text{Gid}_{R \bowtie I}(-)$ , and  $\text{Gfd}_{R \bowtie I}(-)$ , respectively.

First, we deal with some applications of a general construction, introduced in [4], called amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal.

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unit element 1 and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Set  $R \bowtie I = \{(r, s) \mid r, s \in R, s - r \in I\}$ . It is easy to check that  $R \bowtie I$  is a subring, with unit element  $(1, 1)$ , of  $R \times R$  (with the usual componentwise operations) and that  $R \bowtie I = \{(r, r + i) \mid r \in R, i \in I\}$ . In the following, we recall some main properties of the ring  $R \bowtie I$  from [3] which will be important later on.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *By introducing a multiplicative structure in the  $R$ -module direct sum  $R \oplus I$  by setting  $(r, i)(s, j) = (rs, rj + si + ij)$ , the map  $f : R \oplus I \rightarrow R \bowtie I$  defined by  $f((r, i)) = (r, r + i)$  is a ring isomorphism and  $R$ -isomorphism too. Moreover, there is an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{\varphi} R \bowtie I \xrightarrow{\psi} I \rightarrow 0$  where  $\varphi(r) = (r, r)$  for all  $r \in R$ , and  $\psi((r, s)) = s - r$ , for all  $(r, s) \in R \bowtie I$ . Notice that this sequence splits; hence we also have the short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{\psi'} R \bowtie I \xrightarrow{\varphi'} R \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\psi'(i) = (0, i)$  and  $\varphi'((r, s)) = r$ , for every  $i \in I$  and  $(r, s) \in R \bowtie I$ .*

(ii)  *$R$  and  $R \bowtie I$  have the same Krull dimension. Also, if  $R$  is a Noetherian ring, then  $R \bowtie I$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module.*

In [1, 3–5, 14, 17], the properties of the ring  $R \bowtie I$  were studied extensively. In addition, in [15], the authors focused on the properties of  $R \bowtie I$ , where  $I$  is a semidualizing ideal. Some of these results are collected in the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.2** ([15, Lemmas 3.7 and 3.1(v)]). *Let  $I$  be an ideal of the ring  $R$ . Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *If  $E$  is a (faithfully) injective  $R$ -module, then  $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E)$  is a (faithfully) injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module.*

(ii) *Every injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module is a direct summand of the  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E)$ , where  $E$  is a injective  $R$ -module.*

(iii) *If  $I$  is a semidualizing ideal of the ring  $R$ , then for every injective  $R$ -module  $E$  we have the following equivalence of  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $\text{Hom}_{R \bowtie I}(\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E), -) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(I, E), -)$ .*

Using the same method of the proof of Proposition 3.2, we obtain the following dual.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let  $I$  be an ideal of the ring  $R$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If  $P$  is a projective  $R$ -module, then  $(R \bowtie I) \otimes_R P$  is a projective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module.*
- (ii) *Every projective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module is a direct summand of the  $R$ -module  $(R \bowtie I) \otimes_R P$ , where  $P$  is a projective  $R$ -module.*
- (iii) *If  $I$  is a semidualizing ideal of the ring  $R$ , then for every projective  $R$ -module  $Q$  we have the following equivalence of  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $\text{Hom}_{R \bowtie I}(-, (R \bowtie I) \otimes_R Q) \cong \text{Hom}_R(-, I \otimes_R Q)$ .*

COROLLARY 3.4. *Let  $I$  be a semidualizing ideal of the ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the following statements hold for any integer  $n$ .*

- (i)  *$\text{Ext}_R^n(\text{Hom}_R(I, J), M) = 0$  for any injective  $R$ -module  $J$  if and only if for any injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $U$  we have  $\text{Ext}_{R \bowtie I}^n(U, M) = 0$ .*
- (ii)  *$\text{Ext}_R^n(M, I \otimes_R P) = 0$  for any projective  $R$ -module  $P$  if and only if for any projective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $S$  we have  $\text{Ext}_{R \bowtie I}^n(M, S) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The item (i) follows from Proposition 3.2 while the item (ii) is a consequence of Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let  $I$  be an ideal of the ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $E$  is a faithfully injective  $R$ -module, then  $\text{Gid}_{R \bowtie I}(\text{Hom}_R(M, E)) = \text{Gfd}_{R \bowtie I}(M)$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.2 (i),  $L = \text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E)$  is a faithfully injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module. Therefore, [2, Theorem 6.4.2] implies that  $\text{Gid}_{R \bowtie I}(\text{Hom}_{R \bowtie I}(M, L)) = \text{Gfd}_{R \bowtie I}(M)$ . In the following sequence, the first isomorphism follows from adjointness and the second one follows from tensor cancellation.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{R \bowtie I}(M, L) &= \text{Hom}_{R \bowtie I}(M, \text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R((R \bowtie I) \otimes_{R \bowtie I} M, E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, E). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 3.6 ([7, Proposition 2.2]). *Let  $I$  be a semidualizing ideal of the ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module which is Gorenstein injective over  $R \bowtie I$ . Then there exists a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I, E) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $E$  is an injective  $R$ -module and  $M'$  is Gorenstein injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module, which stays exact under applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(I, J), -)$ , for any injective  $R$ -module  $J$ .*

The dual proof of Proposition 3.6 (this time using Proposition 3.3), is as follows.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Let  $I$  be a semidualizing ideal of the ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module which is Gorenstein projective as  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module. Then there exists a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I \otimes_R P \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$ , where  $P$  is a projective  $R$ -module and  $M'$  is Gorenstein projective as  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module. Furthermore, the sequence stays exact applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, I \otimes_R Q)$  for any projective  $R$ -module  $Q$ .*

LEMMA 3.8. *Let  $I$  be a semidualizing ideal of the ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be a  $G_I$ -injective  $R$ -module. Then there exists the short exact sequence of  $(R \bowtie I)$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow$*

$M' \rightarrow U \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\text{id}_{R \bowtie I}(U) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}_I - \text{id}_R(M') = 0$ . Furthermore, the sequence stays exact over applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{R \bowtie I}(V, -)$  for any injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $V$ .

*Proof.* By definition there exists a short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I, E) \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ , where  $E$  is injective and  $N$  is  $G_I$ -injective, and stays exact by applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(I, J), -)$  for every injective  $R$ -module  $J$ . By Proposition 3.1 (i), we have the following short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $(*) : 0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \bowtie I \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$ . By applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(-, E)$  to the sequence  $(*)$ , we get the exact sequence of  $(R \bowtie I)$ -modules  $(**): 0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I, E) \rightarrow 0$ . Now we have the following commutative diagram of  $(R \bowtie I)$ -modules with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E) & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(I, E) & \longrightarrow & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.2 (i),  $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E)$  is an injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module. Also using Snake lemma on the diagram embeds the vertical arrows into exact sequences, which implies the short exact sequence of  $R$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow M' \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore  $M' \cong E \oplus N$  as  $R$ -modules. But  $N$  is  $G_I$ -injective and  $E$  is by Fact 2.11 (ii). So  $M'$  is also  $G_I$ -injective. Furthermore the lower row in the diagram stays exact under  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(I, J), -)$  for every injective  $R$ -module  $J$ . Also, the sequence  $(**)$  splits as  $R$ -modules, so the surjection  $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I, E)$  splits, which implies that the upper row in the diagram also stays exact under  $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(I, J), -)$ . Now using Proposition 3.2 (iii) we see that the upper row in the diagram stays exact under  $\text{Hom}_{R \bowtie I}(\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, J), -)$  for every injective  $R$ -module  $J$ . This proves that the sequence stays exact under  $\text{Hom}_{R \bowtie I}(V, -)$ , for every injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $V$ .  $\square$

By a similar argument, the following result obtained.

**LEMMA 3.9.** *Let  $I$  be a semidualizing ideal of the ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be a  $G_I$ -projective  $R$ -module. Then there exists the short exact sequence of  $(R \bowtie I)$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\text{pd}_{R \bowtie I}(P) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_I - \text{pd}_R(M') = 0$ . Furthermore, the sequence stays exact over applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_{R \bowtie I}(-, S)$  for any projective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $S$ .*

In [11], Holm and Jørgensen investigated the properties of relative Gorenstein homological dimensions,  $G_C$ -projective,  $G_C$ -injective, and  $G_C$ -flat dimensions, where  $C$  is a semidualizing  $R$ -module and they showed that the  $G_C$ -projective,  $G_C$ -injective, and  $G_C$ -flat dimensions always agree with the ring changed Gorenstein dimensions  $\text{Gpd}_{R \times C}(-)$ ,  $\text{Gid}_{R \times C}(-)$ , and  $\text{Gfd}_{R \times C}(-)$ , respectively. In the following, we study these result for amalgamation instead of idealization.

**PROPOSITION 3.10.** *Let  $I$  be a semidualizing ideal of the ring  $R$ . Then for every  $R$ -module  $M$  the following statements holds.*

(i)  *$M$  is a  $G_I$ -injective  $R$ -module if and only if  $M$  is a Gorenstein injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module.*

(ii)  $M$  is a  $G_I$ -projective  $R$ -module if and only if  $M$  is a Gorenstein projective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module.

(iii)  $M$  is a  $G_I$ -flat  $R$ -module if and only if  $M$  is a Gorenstein flat  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module.

*Proof.* (i) Assume that  $M$  is  $G_I$ -injective  $R$ -module. Then Lemma 3.8 implies that  $M$  is Gorenstein injective as  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module. Conversely, if  $M$  is Gorenstein injective over  $R \bowtie I$ , then Proposition 3.6 and Corollary 3.4 (i) gives the existence of a complete  $\mathcal{L}_C\mathcal{I}$ -resolution.

(ii) Similar, with using Proposition 3.7 and Lemma 3.9 and Corollary 3.4 (ii).

(iii) By item (i) and Propositions 3.5, we only need to show that for every faithfully injective  $R$ -module  $E$  we have  $M$  is  $G_I$ -flat if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$  is  $G_I$ -injective, which is proved in the proof of [11, Proposition 2.15].  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.11.** *Let  $I$  be a semidualizing  $R$ -module of the ring  $R$  and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then the following equalities hold.*

(i)  $\mathcal{GL}_I - \text{id}_R(M) = \text{Gid}_{R \bowtie I}(M)$ ,

(ii)  $\mathcal{GP}_I - \text{pd}_R(M) = \text{Gpd}_{R \bowtie I}(M)$ ,

(iii)  $\mathcal{GF}_I - \text{fd}_R(M) = \text{Gfd}_{R \bowtie I}(M)$ .

*Proof.* We only prove the first equality. The proofs of other items are similar. By Proposition 3.10 (i) we have  $\mathcal{GL}_I - \text{id}_R(M) \geq \text{Gid}_{R \bowtie I}(M)$ . For the opposite, assume that  $\text{Gid}_{R \bowtie I}(M) = n$ . Pick an injective resolution  $\mathbf{E}$  of  $M$  as  $R$ -module,  $\mathbf{E} : 0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_{-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_{1-n} \rightarrow K_{-n} \rightarrow 0$ . By [15, Theorem 3.8] the modules  $E_i$  are Gorenstein injective as  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module, and therefore [10, Theorem (2.22)] implies that the  $R$ -module  $K_{-n}$  is Gorenstein injective as  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module. Now Proposition 3.10 implies that  $K_{-n}$  is a  $G_I$ -injective  $R$ -module. On the other hand, Fact 2.11(ii) implies that the modules  $E_i$  are  $G_I$ -injective  $R$ -modules, which shows that  $\mathcal{GL}_I - \text{id}_R(M) \leq n$ .  $\square$

Here, we investigate some homological properties on amalgamation along a semidualizing ideal  $I$ .

**LEMMA 3.12.** *Let  $I$  be a semidualizing ideal of the ring  $R$ ,  $P$  be a projective  $R$ -module, and let  $E$  be an injective  $R$ -module. Then the following statements hold.*

(i)  $\text{id}_{R \bowtie I}((R \bowtie I) \otimes_R P) \leq \text{id}_R(I \otimes_R P)$ .

(ii)  $\text{pd}_{R \bowtie I}(\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E)) \leq \text{pd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(I, E))$ .

*Proof.* (i) Consider the following injective resolution of the  $R$ -module  $I \otimes_R P$ ,

$$\mathbf{E} : 0 \rightarrow I \otimes_R P \rightarrow E^0 \rightarrow E^1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

By [12, Corollary 6.1],  $I \otimes_R P \in \mathcal{B}_I(R)$ . Therefore, using Proposition 3.1 (i), we have  $\text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(R \bowtie I, I \otimes_R P) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i \geq 1}(R \oplus I, I \otimes_R P) = 0$ . So, the sequence  $\mathbf{E}$  stays exact by applying the functor  $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, -)$ . On the other hand, Proposition 3.2 (i) implies that  $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E^i)$  is an injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module for every  $i \geq 0$ , which shows that  $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, \mathbf{E})$  is an injective resolution of the  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module



$\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, I \otimes_R P)$ . But,  $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, I \otimes_R P) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, I) \otimes_R P$ , by [6, Theorem 3.2.14], and  $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, I) \otimes_R P \cong (R \bowtie I) \otimes_R P$  as  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module by [5, Theorem 4.1].

(ii) Consider the projective resolution of the  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(I, E)$  as follows,  $\mathbf{P} : \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I, E) \rightarrow 0$ . By [12, Corollary 6.1],  $\text{Hom}_R(I, E) \in \mathcal{A}_C(R)$ . Therefore using Proposition 3.1 (i), we have  $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^R(R \bowtie I, \text{Hom}_R(I, E)) \cong \text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^R(R \oplus I, \text{Hom}_R(I, E)) = 0$ . So, the sequence  $\mathbf{P}$  stays exact by applying the functor  $(R \bowtie I) \otimes_R -$ . Also, Proposition 3.3 (i) implies that  $(R \bowtie I) \otimes_R P_i$  is a projective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module for every  $i \geq 0$ , which shows that  $(R \bowtie I) \otimes_R \mathbf{P}$  is a projective resolution of the  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $(R \bowtie I) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(I, E)$ . On the other hand, we have:

$$(R \bowtie I) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(I, E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, I), E) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E).$$

Note that in the above sequence the first isomorphism follows from [6, Theorem 3.2.11], since  $R \bowtie I$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module by Proposition 3.1 (ii), and the second one follows from [5, Theorem 4.1].  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.13.** *Let  $I$  be a semidualizing ideal of the ring  $R$ . Assume that  $\sup\{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_I - \text{pd}_R(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\} \leq n$ , (or  $\sup\{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}_I - \text{id}_R(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\} \leq n$ ), where  $n$  is a non-negative integer. Then for every projective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $P$  and every injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module  $E$  the following statements hold.*

- (i)  $\text{id}_{R \bowtie I}(P) \leq n$ .
- (ii)  $\text{pd}_{R \bowtie I}(E) \leq n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a projective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module and let  $E$  be an injective  $(R \bowtie I)$ -module. By Proposition 3.2 (ii) and Proposition 3.3 (ii),  $E$  is a direct summand of the  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E')$  for some injective  $R$ -module  $E'$  and  $P$  is a direct summand of the  $R$ -module  $(R \bowtie I) \otimes_R Q$  for some projective  $R$ -module  $Q$ . Now we show that  $\text{id}_{R \bowtie I}((R \bowtie I) \otimes_R Q) \leq n$  and  $\text{pd}_{R \bowtie I}(\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E')) \leq n$ .

First assume that  $\sup\{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_I - \text{pd}_R(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\} \leq n$ .

(i) Let  $Q$  be a projective  $R$ -module and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then by [19, Proposition 2.12],  $\text{Ext}_R^{i > n}(M, I \otimes_R Q) = 0$ , which implies that  $\text{id}_R(I \otimes_R Q) \leq n$ . Now, Lemma 3.12(i) implies that  $\text{id}_{R \bowtie I}((R \bowtie I) \otimes_R Q) \leq n$ .

(ii) By [20, Lemma 3.4(1)],  $\mathcal{P}_I - \text{pd}_R(E) = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{P}_I - \text{pd}_R(E)$  for any injective  $R$ -module  $E$ . Therefore Fact 2.9 (i) implies that  $\text{pd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(I, E)) = \mathcal{P}_I - \text{pd}_R(E) \leq n$ . Now Lemma 3.12 (ii) implies that  $\text{pd}_{R \bowtie I}(\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E)) \leq n$ .

Now suppose that  $\sup\{\mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}_I - \text{id}_R(M) \mid M \in \mathcal{M}(R)\} \leq n$ .

(i) By [20, Lemma 3.4(2)],  $\mathcal{I}_I - \text{id}_R(Q) = \mathcal{G}\mathcal{I}_I - \text{id}_R(Q)$  for any projective  $R$ -module  $Q$ . So, Fact 2.9 (ii) implies that  $\text{id}_R(I \otimes_R Q) \leq n$ . Hence,  $\text{id}_{R \bowtie I}((R \bowtie I) \otimes_R Q) \leq n$  by Lemma 3.12 (i).

(ii) Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then  $\text{Ext}_R^{i > n}(\text{Hom}_R(I, E), M) = 0$  for any injective  $R$ -module  $E$ , by the dual of [19, Proposition 2.12]. So,  $\text{pd}_R(\text{Hom}_R(I, E)) \leq n$ . Now, Lemma 3.12 (ii) implies that  $\text{pd}_{R \bowtie I}(\text{Hom}_R(R \bowtie I, E)) \leq n$ .  $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] A. Bagheri, M. Salimi, E. Tavasoli, S. Yassemi, *A construction of quasi-gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra Appl. **11(1)** (2012), 1250013 (9 pages).

- [2] L. W. Christensen, *Gorenstein dimensions*, Lecture Notes in Math, Vol. 1747, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [3] M. D'Anna, *A construction of Gorenstein rings*, J. Algebra, **306** (2006), 507–519.
- [4] M. D'Anna, M. Fontana, *An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal*, J. Algebra Appl. **6(3)** (2007), 443–459.
- [5] M. D'Anna, M. Fontana, *The amalgamated duplication of a ring along a multiplicative- canonical ideal*, Ark. Mat., **45** (2007), 241–252.
- [6] E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 30, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2000.
- [7] A. Esmaelnezhad, *Cohen-Macaulay homological dimensions with respect to amalgamated duplication*, J. Algebraic Syst., **2(2)** (2014), 125–135.
- [8] H. B. Foxby, *Gorenstein modules and related modules*, Math. Scand., **31**, (1972), 267–284.
- [9] E. S. Golod, *G-dimension and generalized perfect ideals*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov., **165** (1984), 62–66.
- [10] H. Holm, *Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **189** (2004), 167–193.
- [11] H. Holm, P. Jørgensen, *Semi-dualizing modules and related Gorenstein homological dimensions*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **205(2)** (2006), 423–445.
- [12] H. Holm, D. White, *Foxby equivalence over associative rings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., **47(4)** (2007), 781–808.
- [13] M. Nagata, *Local Rings*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [14] J. Shapiro, *On a construction of Gorenstein rings proposed by M. D'Anna*, J. Algebra, **323** (2010), 1155–1158.
- [15] M. Salimi, E. Tavasoli, S. Yassemi, *The amalgamated duplication of a ring along a semidualizing ideal*, Rend. Sem. Univ. Padova, **129** (2013).
- [16] R. Takahashi, D. White, *Homological aspects of semidualizing modules*, Math. Scand., **106(1)** (2010), 5–22.
- [17] E. Tavasoli, M. Salimi, A. Tehranian, *Amalgamated duplication of some special rings*, Bulletin of Korean Math. Soc., **49(5)** (2012), 989–996.
- [18] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Divisor theory in module categories*, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 14, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1974.
- [19] D. White, *Gorenstein projective dimension with respect to a semidualizing module*, J. Commut. Algebra, **2(1)** (2010), 111–137.
- [20] Z. Zhang, J. Wei, *Gorenstein homological dimensions with respect to a semidualizing module*, Int. Electron. J. Algebra, **23** (2018), 131–142.

(received 18.09.2019; in revised form 02.05.2020; available online 03.04.2021)

Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

*E-mail:* mahnaz.salek@srbiau.ac.ir

Department of Mathematics, East Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

*E-mail:* elhamtavasoli@ipm.ir

Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

*E-mail:* tehranian@srbiau.ac.ir

Department of Mathematics, East Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

*E-mail:* maryamsalimi@ipm.ir