CONVERGENCE OF FINITE-DIFFERENCE SCHEMES FOR POISSON'S EQUATION WITH BOUNDARY CONDITION OF THE THIRD KIND

Branislav Popović

Abstract. In this paper we study the convergence of finite-difference schemes to generalized solutions of the third boundary-value problem for Poisson's equation on the unit square. Using the generalized Bramble-Hilbert lemma, we obtain error estimates in discrete H^1 Sobolev norm compatible, in some cases, with the smoothness of the data.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In section 1 notational conventions are presented. The stability theorem is proved in section 2. In section 3 we prove estimates of the energy of the operator Δ_h . Finally, in section 4, we derive our main results.

1. Preliminaries and notation

Consider the third boundary-value problem for Poisson's equation on the unit square $\Omega = (0, 1)^2$:

$$\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \sigma u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$
 (1)

where $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \cos(x, n) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \cos(y, n)$, *n* the unit outward normal to $\partial \Omega$, and $\sigma(x, y)$ is continuous function on $\partial \Omega$ such that $\sigma(x, y) \ge \sigma_0 > 0$, $\sigma_0 = \text{const.}$

We suppose that, for $f \in H^0(\Omega)$, our problem (1) has a unique solution in $H^2(\Omega)$ and, provided $f \in H^{s-2}(\Omega)$, $u \in H^s(\Omega)$ for $2 \le s \le 4$ (see [1,4]).

Problem (1) is discretised on the uniform mesh with step-size $h: \overline{\Omega}_h = \{(ih, jh): i, j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N; Nh = 1\}$. We define $\Omega_h = \Omega \cap \overline{\Omega}_h$ and $\partial \Omega_h = \partial \Omega \cap \overline{\Omega}_h$. In $\partial \Omega_h$ we distinguish between two kinds of meshpoints: $\partial \Omega_h^2 = \partial \Omega_h \setminus \partial \Omega_h^1$ and $\partial \Omega_h^1 = \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)\}$.

For a function U defined on $\overline{\Omega}_h$, the following notation will be used: $U_{ij} = U(x_i, y_j), \ x_i = ih, \ y_j = jh, \quad i, j = 1, 2, ..., N$ and

$$\Delta_x^- U_{ij} = \frac{U_{ij} - U_{i-1,j}}{h}, \quad \Delta_x^+ U_{ij} = \Delta_x^- U_{i+1,j}, \Delta_y^- U_{ij} = \frac{U_{ij} - U_{i,j-1}}{h}, \quad \Delta_y^+ U_{ij} = \Delta_y^- U_{i,j+1}.$$

1991 AMS Subject Classification 65N12, 65N15

In the linear space of functions defined on $\overline{\Omega}_h$ let

$$[U,V] = h^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} U_{ij}V_{ij} + \frac{h^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (U_{i0}V_{i0} + U_{0i}V_{0i} + U_{Ni}V_{Ni} + U_{iN}V_{iN}) + \frac{h^2}{4} (U_{00}V_{00} + U_{N0}V_{N0} + U_{0N}V_{0N} + U_{NN}V_{NN})$$

be the scalar product and $|[U]| = \sqrt{[U, U]}$ the corresponding norm. The discrete H^1 norm $|[\cdot]|_{1,h}$ is defined by $|[U]|_{1,h} = \sqrt{|[U]|^2 + |U|^2_{1,h}}$, where $|\cdot|_{1,h}$ is the discrete H^1 seminorm:

$$\begin{split} |U|_{1,h} &= \sqrt{\left|\left|\Delta_x^- U\right]\right|_x^2 + \left|\left|\Delta_y^- U\right]\right|_y^2}, \qquad ||U]|_x = \sqrt{(U,U]_x}, \qquad ||U]|_y = \sqrt{(U,U]_y}, \\ (U,V]_x &= h^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^N U_{ij} V_{ij} \qquad \text{and} \qquad (U,V]_y = h^2 \sum_{i=0}^N \sum_{j=1}^N U_{ij} V_{ij}. \end{split}$$

Let T_i , \overline{T}_i and $\overline{\overline{T}}_i$ (i = 1, 2) denote the mollifiers defined by

$$T_1 f(x, y) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(x + th, y) dt \quad , \quad T_2 f(x, y) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(x, y + th) dt,$$

$$\overline{T}_1 f(0, y) = 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(th, y) dt \quad , \quad \overline{T}_2 f(x, 0) = 2 \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(x, th) dt,$$

$$\overline{\overline{T}}_1 f(1, y) = 2 \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{0} f(1 + th, y) dt \; , \quad \overline{\overline{T}}_2 f(x, 1) = 2 \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{0} f(x, 1 + th) dt.$$

We approximate problem (1) by the finite-difference scheme

$$\Delta_h U = F \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega}_h, \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta_h U = \Delta_{h,x} U + \Delta_{h,y} U$,

$$\Delta_{h,x}U = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{h}(\Delta_x^+U - \sigma U) , & i = 0 , j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N\\ \Delta_x^+\Delta_x^-U , & i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1, j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N\\ -\frac{2}{h}(\Delta_x^-U + \sigma U), & i = N , j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N \end{cases}$$

 $F_{ij} = T_1 T_2 f_{ij}, \ F_{0j} = \overline{T}_1 T_2 f_{0j}, (i, j = 1, 2, ..., N - 1), \ F_{00} = \overline{T}_1 \overline{T}_2 f_{00},$ and $\Delta_{h,y} U, \ F_{Nj}, \ F_{i0}, \ F_{iN}, \ F_{N0}, \ F_{0N}, \ F_{NN}$ defined analogously.

2. Stability of the scheme

To begin, let us prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let U, V denote mesh-functions on $\overline{\Omega}_h$. Then $[\Delta_h U, V] = [U, \Delta_h V]$.

Proof. Using summation by parts it is easy to prove that

$$\begin{split} [\Delta_{h,x}U,V] &= -h^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Delta_x^- U_{ij} \Delta_x^- V_{ij} - \\ &- \frac{h^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\Delta_x^- U_{i0} \Delta_x^- V_{i0} + \Delta_x^- U_{iN} \Delta_x^- V_{iN} \right) - h \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(\sigma_{Ni} U_{Ni} V_{Ni} + \sigma_{0i} U_{0i} V_{0i} \right) \\ &- \frac{h}{2} \left(\sigma_{00} U_{00} V_{00} + \sigma_{0N} U_{0N} V_{0N} + \sigma_{N0} U_{N0} V_{N0} + \sigma_{NN} U_{NN} V_{NN} \right) = [U, \Delta_{h,x} V] \,. \end{split}$$

The operator $\Delta_{h,y}$ has the same property. Therefore,

$$[\Delta_h U, V] = [\Delta_{h,x} U, V] + [\Delta_{h,y} U, V] = [U, \Delta_{h,x} V] + [U, \Delta_{h,y} V] = [U, \Delta_h V].$$

LEMMA 2. Let U denote mesh-function on $\overline{\Omega}_h$. Then $[\Delta_h U, U] \leq -C |[U]|^2$, where $C = \min\{1, 2\sigma_0\}$.

Proof. For fixed j = 0, 1, 2, ..., N, using an inequality from [8] we get

$$\max\left\{U_{ij}^{2}: 0 \le i \le N\right\} \le 2h \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\Delta_{x}^{-} U_{ij}\right)^{2} + U_{0j}^{2} + U_{Nj}^{2}.$$

This yields the following inequality:

$$h\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} U_{ij}^2 + \frac{h}{2} \left(U_{0j}^2 + U_{Nj}^2 \right) \le 2h\sum_{i=1}^N \left(\Delta_x^- U_{ij} \right)^2 + U_{0j}^2 + U_{Nj}^2.$$

Now let us prove that $[\Delta_{h,x}U, U] \leq -\frac{C}{2}|[U]|^2$. Summing by parts and using last inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} \left[\Delta_{h,x}U,U\right] &= -h^2 \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\Delta_x^- U_{ij}\right)^2 - \frac{h^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\left(\Delta_x^- U_{i0}\right)^2 + \left(\Delta_x^- U_{iN}\right)^2 \right] - \\ &-h \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left(\sigma_{Nj}U_{Nj}^2 + \sigma_{0j}U_{0j}^2\right) - \frac{h}{2} \left(\sigma_{00}U_{00}^2 + \sigma_{0N}U_{0N}^2 + \sigma_{N0}U_{N0}^2 + \sigma_{NN}U_{NN}^2\right) \leq \\ &-h \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left[h \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\Delta_x^- U_{ij}\right)^2 + \sigma_0 U_{Nj}^2 + \sigma_0 U_{0j}^2 \right] - \frac{h}{2} \left[h \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\Delta_x^- U_{i0}\right)^2 + \sigma_0 U_{00}^2 + \sigma_0 U_{N0}^2 \right] \\ &- \frac{h}{2} \left[h \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\Delta_x^- U_{iN}\right)^2 + \sigma_0 U_{0N}^2 + \sigma_0 U_{0N}^2 + \sigma_0 U_{NN}^2 \right] \leq -\frac{C}{2} |[U]|^2. \end{split}$$

The inequality $[\Delta_{h,y}U, U] \leq -\frac{C}{2} |[U]|^2$ can be proved analogously and we easily obtain Lemma 2.

THEOREM 1. For any $f \in H^s(\Omega)$, $s \geq 0$, finite-difference scheme (2) has unique solution U. Moreover,

$$|[U]|_{1,h} \le \sqrt{2 + \frac{1}{C}} \, |[F]| \,, \tag{3}$$

where $C = \min\{1, 2\sigma_0\}.$

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of solutions follow from the fact that Δ_h is a self-adjoint and negative definite operator (Lemmas 1. and 2.). Further, (using Lemma 2.) we can prove stability in the norm $|[\cdot]| : |[U]|^2 \leq \frac{1}{C} [-\Delta_h U, U] = \frac{1}{C} [-F, U] \leq \frac{1}{C} |[F]| |[U]|$ and thus $|[U]| \leq \frac{1}{C} |[F]|$. Summing by parts we can also prove that $[\Delta_{h,x}U, U] \leq -\frac{1}{2} ||\Delta_x^- U]|_x^2$, $[\Delta_{h,y}U, U] \leq -\frac{1}{2} ||\Delta_y^- U]|_y^2$, and $|U|_{1,h}^2 \leq 2 [-\Delta_h U, U]$. Thence and using Lemma 2. we get (3).

3. The estimates of energy norm $[-\Delta_h U, U]$

In this section we present three lemmas. Each of them will be used to obtain an appropriate error estimate for scheme (2).

LEMMA 3. Let U denote a mesh-function on $\overline{\Omega}_h$ which is a solution of finitedifference scheme (2). Then

$$\left[-\Delta_{h}U,U\right] \leq C_{3} \left\{h^{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} F_{ij}^{2} + \frac{h^{3}}{4} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} \left(F_{i0}^{2} + F_{iN}^{2}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(F_{0i}^{2} + F_{Ni}^{2}\right)\right]\right\}, \quad (4)$$

where C_3 is a positive constant.

Proof. Using the ε -inequality: $|ab| \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}b^2$; $a, b \in \mathbf{R}, \quad \varepsilon > 0$; in the identity $[-\Delta_h U, U] = [-F, U]$ as follows:

$$-h^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} F_{ij} U_{ij} \le \varepsilon h^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} U_{ij}^2 + \frac{h^2}{4\varepsilon} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} F_{ij}^2,$$

$$-\frac{h^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} F_{i0} U_{i0} \le \varepsilon h \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} U_{i0}^2 + \frac{h^3}{16\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} F_{i0}^2 \text{ and } -\frac{h^2}{4} F_{00} U_{00} \le \frac{\varepsilon h}{4} U_{00}^2 + \frac{h^3}{16\varepsilon} F_{00}^2$$

we obtain the following inequality:

$$\left[-\Delta_h U, U\right] \le \varepsilon \mathbb{U} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon} \left\{ h^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} F_{ij}^2 + \frac{h^3}{4} \sum_{i=0}^N \left(F_{i0}^2 + F_{iN}^2\right) + \frac{h^3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(F_{0i}^2 + F_{Ni}^2\right) \right\}$$

where $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{U}(U, h)$, more precisely

$$\mathbb{U} = h^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} U_{ij}^2 + h \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(U_{0i}^2 + U_{i0}^2 + U_{Ni}^2 + U_{iN}^2 \right) + \frac{h}{4} \left(U_{00}^2 + U_{0N}^2 + U_{N0}^2 + U_{NN}^2 \right).$$

It is easy to prove that $\mathbb{U}(U,h) \leq \left(\frac{1}{C} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0}\right) \left[-\Delta_h U, U\right]$, where $C = \min\{1, 2\sigma_0\}$ and $\sigma \geq \sigma_0 > 0$. Thus we get (4) where $C_3 = \left[4\varepsilon - 4\varepsilon^2 \left(C^{-1} + \sigma_0^{-1}\right)\right]^{-1}$ and the value of ε can be chosen so that $1 > \varepsilon \left(C^{-1} + \sigma_0^{-1}\right)$, the optimal choice being

$$\varepsilon = C\sigma_0 (2\sigma_0 + 2C)^{-1} = \begin{cases} 1 + \sigma_0^{-1}, & \sigma_0 \ge \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{3}{2}\sigma_0^{-1}, & 0 < \sigma_0 < \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

Using the same technique, we can prove the following two lemmas. Their proofs are omitted.

LEMMA 4. Let U denote a mesh-function on $\overline{\Omega}_h$ which is the solution of finitedifference scheme (2). If we substitute F_{ij} by $F_{ij} = \Delta_x^+ \xi_{1,ij} + \Delta_y^+ \xi_{2,ij}$, (i, j = 1, 2, ..., N - 1), $F_{i0} = \Delta_x^+ \xi_{1,i0} + \eta_{2,i0}$, $F_{0i} = \eta_{1,0i} + \Delta_y^+ \xi_{2,0i}$, $F_{Ni} = \eta_{1,Ni} + \Delta_y^+ \xi_{2,Ni}$, $F_{iN} = \Delta_x^+ \xi_{1,iN} + \eta_{2,iN}$, (i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1), $F_{00} = \eta_{1,00} + \eta_{2,00}$, $F_{0N} = \eta_{1,0N} + \eta_{2,0N}$, $F_{N0} = \eta_{1,N0} + \eta_{2,N0}$ and $F_{NN} = \eta_{1,NN} + \eta_{2,NN}$ then

$$[-\Delta_h U, U] \le C_4 \left\{ h^2 \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\xi_{1,ij}^2 + \xi_{2,ji}^2 \right) + h \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\xi_{1,Ni}^2 + \xi_{1,1i}^2 + \xi_{2,iN}^2 + \xi_{2,i1}^2 \right) + h^3 \sum_{i=0}^N \left(\eta_{1,i0}^2 + \eta_{1,iN}^2 + \eta_{2,0i}^2 + \eta_{2,Ni}^2 \right) \right\}.$$

LEMMA 5. Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 4, and defining $\alpha_{0i} = \xi_{1,1i} - \frac{h}{2}\eta_{1,0i}$, $\alpha_{Ni} = -\xi_{1,Ni} - \frac{h}{2}\eta_{1,Ni}$, $\beta_{i0} = \xi_{2,i1} - \frac{h}{2}\eta_{2,i0}$ and $\beta_{iN} = -\xi_{2,iN} - \frac{h}{2}\eta_{2,iN}$, (i = 0, 1, 2, ..., N), the following inequality holds:

$$[-\Delta_h U, U] \le C_5 \left\{ h^2 \sum_{j=0}^N \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\xi_{1,ij}^2 + \xi_{2,ji}^2 \right) + h \sum_{i=0}^N \left(\alpha_{0i}^2 + \alpha_{Ni}^2 + \beta_{i0}^2 + \beta_{iN}^2 \right) \right\}.$$

4. Convergence of the finite-difference scheme

Before stating our main results we quote the following theorem which is a variant of the well-known Dupont-Scott approximation theorem (see [2]).

THEOREM 2. Let E be a bounded connected domain in \mathbf{R}^2 satisfying the cone condition and $\mathcal{A}(u)$ a bounded linear functional on $H^s(E)$ ($s = \{s\} + \alpha, \{s\} \ge$ 0 is integer and $\{s\} < s, 0 < \alpha \le 1$) such that $P_{\{s\}} \subset \text{Kernel}(\mathcal{A}(u))$, where $P_{\{s\}}$ denotes the set of polynomials of degree $\le \{s\}$. Then, for any $u \in H^s(E), |\mathcal{A}(u)| \le$ $C|u|_{H^s(E)}$, where C = C(E, s) is a positive constant independent of u and $|\cdot|_{H^s(E)}$ is the highest seminorm of $H^s(E)$.

The derivations of all error estimates below are based on the above theorem.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that $u \in H^s(\Omega)$, $2 \le s \le 4$, is the solution of problem (1) and U is the solution of the finite-difference scheme (2). Then

$$\|[U-u]\|_{1,h} \le Ch^{s-2} \|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)} = O(h^{s-2}).$$

Proof. Let us define the global error as z = U - u. Then $\Delta_h z_{ij} = \Delta_h U_{ij} - \Delta_h u_{ij} = F_{ij} - \Delta_h u_{ij} = \varphi_{ij}$. We shall consider three cases:

i) If $(ih, jh) \in \Omega_h$, then

$$\varphi_{ij} = T_2 \Delta_x^- \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \left(ih + \frac{h}{2}, jh \right) + T_1 \Delta_y^- \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \left(ih, jh + \frac{h}{2} \right) - \Delta_h u_{ij}.$$

Using Theorem 2 and standard technique based on Theorem 2 as in [3], [5] or [9], we obtain $|\varphi_{ij}| \leq Ch^{s-3} |u|_{H^s(e_{ij})}, \quad 2 < s \leq 4, \quad \text{where}$ $e_{ij} = \{(x, y) : ih - h \leq x \leq ih + h; \ jh - h \leq y \leq jh + h\}.$

ii) If $(ih, jh) \in \partial \Omega_h^2$, for example (0, jh), then

$$\begin{split} \varphi_{0j} &= \frac{2}{h} T_2 \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \left(\frac{h}{2}, jh \right) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \left(0, jh \right) \right] + \overline{T}_1 \Delta_y^- \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \left(0, jh + \frac{h}{2} \right) - \\ &- \frac{1}{h^2} \left[u_{0,j+1} + u_{0,j-1} + 2u_{1,j} - 2h \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \left(0, jh \right) - 4u_{0j} \right]. \end{split}$$

In the same way, except that $2 < s \leq 3$, we obtain $|\varphi_{0j}| \leq Ch^{s-3}|u|_{H^s(e_{0j})}$, where $e_{0j} = \{(x, y): 0 \leq x \leq h; jh-h \leq y \leq jh+h\}$.

iii) If $(ih, jh) \in \partial \Omega_h^1$, for example (0, 0), then

$$\varphi_{00} = \frac{2}{h}\overline{T}_2 \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \left(\frac{h}{2}, 0 \right) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, 0) \right] + \frac{2}{h}\overline{T}_1 \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \left(0, \frac{h}{2} \right) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(0, 0) \right] - \frac{2}{h^2} \left[u_{10} - u_{00} - h \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, 0) + u_{01} - u_{00} - h \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(0, 0) \right]$$

and we obtain, provided $2 < s \leq 3$, $|\varphi_{00}| \leq Ch^{s-3}|u|_{H^s(e_{00})}$, where $e_{00} = \{(x, y) : 0 \leq x, y \leq h\}$.

However, we can obtain $|[U]|_{1,h}^2 \leq C [\Delta_h U, U]$. Thence, using (4), we get

$$|[z]|_{1,h}^{2} \leq C \left\{ h^{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \varphi_{ij}^{2} + \frac{h^{3}}{4} \sum_{i=0}^{N} \left(\varphi_{i0}^{2} + \varphi_{iN}^{2} \right) + \frac{h^{3}}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(\varphi_{0i}^{2} + \varphi_{Ni}^{2} \right) \right\}$$

Now, for $2 < s \le 3$, it is easy to prove that $|[z]|_{1,h} \le Ch^{s-2}|u|_{H^s(\Omega)}$. If $3 < s \le 4$, then

$$h^{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} \varphi_{ij}^{2} \le C h^{2s-4} |u|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}^{2}.$$
(5)

On the other hand, if $u \in H^s(\Omega)$, then $u \in H^3(\Omega)$ and

$$\frac{h^3}{4} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{N} \left(\varphi_{i0}^2 + \varphi_{iN}^2 \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(\varphi_{0i}^2 + \varphi_{Ni}^2 \right) \right] \le Ch^3 |u|_{H^3(\partial_h \Omega)}, \tag{6}$$

where $\partial_h \Omega$ is the boundary strip of width h. But, according to [7]

$$|u|_{H^{3}(\partial_{h}\Omega)} \leq C ||u||_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \cdot \begin{cases} h^{s-3}, & 3 < s < \frac{7}{2} \\ \sqrt{h} |\ln h|, & s = \frac{7}{2} \\ \sqrt{h}, & \frac{7}{2} < s \leq 4. \end{cases}$$
(7)

Using (5), (6) and (7) we obtain $|[z]|_{1,h} \leq Ch^{s-2} ||u||_{H^s(\Omega)}$ and that completes the proof. \blacksquare

THEOREM 4. Suppose that $u \in H^s(\Omega)$, $\frac{3}{2} < s \leq 3$, is the solution of problem (1) where $\sigma \in M(H^{s-1}(0,1))$ (see [6]) and U is the solution of (2). Then

$$|[U-u]|_{1,h} = \begin{cases} O(h^{s-1}) \ , & \frac{3}{2} < s < \frac{5}{2} \\ O(h\sqrt{h}|lnh|), & s = \frac{5}{2} \\ O(h\sqrt{h}) \ , & \frac{5}{2} < s \le 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This theorem is similar to the previous one. Therefore we begin the proof as before. Naturally, this time we shall use Lemma 5 and thus we have to derive the following:

i) If
$$i = 1, 2, ..., N$$
; $j = 1, 2, ..., N - 1$ and $\frac{3}{2} < s \le 3$, then

$$\xi_{1,ij} = T_2 \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \left(ih - \frac{h}{2}, jh \right) \right] - \Delta_x^- u_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad |\xi_{1,ij}| \le Ch^{s-2} |u|_{H^s(e_{ij})},$$

where $e_{ij} = \{(x, y) : ih - h \le x \le ih, jh - \frac{h}{2} \le y \le jh + \frac{h}{2}\}.$ *ii*) If i = 1, 2, ..., N; j = 0 or j = N and $\frac{3}{2} < s \le 2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{1,i0} &= \overline{T}_2 \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \left(ih - \frac{h}{2}, 0 \right) \right] - \Delta_x^- u_{i0}, \quad \xi_{1,iN} = \overline{\overline{T}}_2 \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \left(ih - \frac{h}{2}, 1 \right) \right] - \Delta_x^- u_{iN} \\ \text{and} \qquad |\xi_{1,ij}| \le Ch^{s-2} |u|_{H^s(e_{ij})} \end{aligned}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{where} \qquad e_{i0} = \left\{ (x,y): \ ih-h \leq x \leq ih, \ 0 \leq y \leq \frac{h}{2} \right\} \\ \text{or} \qquad e_{iN} = \left\{ (x,y): \ ih-h \leq x \leq ih, \ 1-\frac{h}{2} \leq y \leq 1 \right\}. \\ \text{(Analogous results can be obtained for } \xi_{2.}) \end{array}$

iii) If j = 1, 2, ..., N - 1, then $\alpha_{0j} = T_2(\sigma_{0j}u_{0j}) - \sigma_{0j}u_{0j}$ and

$$|\alpha_{0j}| \le Ch^{s-\frac{3}{2}} |\sigma u|_{H^{s-1}(d_{0j})}, \qquad 1 \le s \le 3,$$

where $d_{0j} = \left[jh - \frac{h}{2}, jh + \frac{h}{2}\right]$. (The same results can be obtained for α_{Nj} , β_{jN} and β_{j0} .)

29

iv) If i = 0 and j = 0, then $\alpha_{00} = \overline{T}_2(\sigma_{00}u_{00}) - \sigma_{00}u_{00}$ and $|\alpha_{00}| \le Ch^{s-\frac{3}{2}}|\sigma u|_{H^{s-1}(d_{00})}, \quad 1 \le s \le 2,$

where $d_{00} = [0, \frac{h}{2}]$. (The same results can be obtained for α_{ij} and β_{ij} where $(ih, jh) \in \partial \Omega_h^1$.)

Thence:

$$\begin{split} h^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \left(\xi_{1,ij}^2 + \xi_{2,ji}^2 \right) &\leq Ch^{2s-2} |u|_{H^s(\Omega)}^2, \quad \frac{3}{2} < s \leq 3, \\ h^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\xi_{1,i0}^2 + \xi_{1,iN}^2 + \xi_{2,0i}^2 + \xi_{2,Ni}^2 \right) &\leq C \|u\|_{H^s(\Omega)}^2 \cdot \begin{cases} h^s &, \quad \frac{3}{2} < s \leq \frac{5}{2} \\ h^3 \ln^2 h, \quad s = \frac{5}{2} \\ h^3 &, \quad \frac{5}{2} < s \leq 3 \end{cases}, \\ h \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \alpha_{0j}^2 &\leq Ch^{2s-2} |\sigma u|_{H^{s-1}(0,1)}^2, \quad 1 \leq s \leq 3, \\ h \alpha_{00}^2 \leq C \|\sigma u\|_{H^{s-1}(0,1)}^2 \cdot \begin{cases} h^{2s-2} , & 1 \leq s \leq \frac{5}{2} \\ h^3 \ln^2 h, \quad s = \frac{5}{2} \\ h^3 &, \quad \frac{5}{2} < s \leq 3 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma u|_{H^{s-1}(0,1)} &\leq \|\sigma u\|_{H^{s-1}(0,1)} \leq C \|\sigma\|_{M(H^{s-1}(0,1))} \|u\|_{H^{s-1}(0,1)} \leq \\ &\leq C \|\sigma\|_{M(H^{s-1}(0,1))} \|u\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now using Lemma 5, we easily complete the proof of the theorem. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York 1975
- [2] T. Dupont and R. Scott, Polynomial approximation of functions in Sobolev spaces, Math. Comp. 34 (1980), 441-463
- [3] B. S. Jovanović, On the convergence of discrete solutions to the generalized solutions of boundary value problems, in: Variational-Difference Methods in Mathematical Physics, (N. S. Bahvalov, ed.), Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow 1984, 120-129 (in Russian)
- [4] O. A. Ladizhenskaya, Boundary Value Problems of Mathematical Physics, Nauka, Moscow 1973 (in Russian)
- [5] R. D. Lazarov, On the question of convergence of finite difference schemes for generalized solutions of the Poisson equation, Diff. Uravneniya 17 (1981), 1287–1294 (in Russian)
- [6] V. G. Maz'ya and T. O. Shaposhnikova, Theory of Multipliers in Spaces of Differentiable Functions, Monographs Stud. Math. 23, Pitman, Boston 1985
- [7] L. A. Oganesyan and L. A. Ruhovec, Variational-Difference Methods of Solving Elliptic Equations, A.N. ArmSSR, Erevan 1979 (in Russian)
- [8] A. A. Samarskii, Theory of Difference Schemes, Nauka, Moscow 1983 (in Russian)
- [9] E. E. Süli, B. S. Jovanović and L. D. Ivanović, Finite difference approximations of generalized solutions, Math. Comp. 45 (1985), 319-327

(received 08.05.1995.)

University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Sciences

Radoja Domanovića 12, 34000 Kragujevac, Yugoslavia

30