ELEMENTARY SOLUTION OF VECUA EQUATION WITH ANALYTIC COEFFICIENTS ON Z, \overline{Z}

Miloje Rajović, Dragan Dimitrovski, Rade Stojiljković

Abstract. An explicit solution of Vecua equation with analytic coefficients on z , \bar{z} is given in the form of a series which depends on the coefficients A, B, F of the equation, and an analytic function $\Phi(z)$ in the role of an arbitrary integration constant.

The proposed procedure is a generalization of the quadrature of the pseudolinear equation (1).

The well-known I. N. Vecua equation

$$
\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{z}} = A(z, \bar{z})W + B(z, \bar{z})\overline{W} + F(z, \bar{z}),
$$

$$
A, B, F \in L_p(G), \ p > 2, \ W(z, \bar{z}) = W = U(x, y) + iV(x, y), \quad z = x + iy,
$$
 (1)

where G is a bounded closed domain of the complex plane, with a smooth curve Γ as its boundary, and where the differentiation operator is $\sigma_{\overline{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}),$ that is

$$
\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right) + i \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right) \right],
$$
 (2)

is solved in the monograph [1], and in the article [2], too, in the general case when the coefficients are some measurable functions in the domain G , firstly by iteration method, but only in that domain.

There are numerous systems of partial equations of the first order and elyptic type with two unknown functions $U(x, y)$ and $V(x, y)$, who have the feature of reducing to equation (1); for example, for the well-known Carlemann's system

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} + aU + bV = f
$$

\n
$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + cU + dV = g
$$
\n(3)

AMS Subject Classification: 34 A 20, 30 D 05

in the case of analytic coefficients a, b, c, d, f, g , it is possible to get the solution of the system (3), written in the form (1), by the iteration methods of I. N. Vecua, using the integral equation

$$
W(z,\bar{z}) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \iint_G \frac{A(\zeta)W(\zeta) + B(\zeta)\overline{W(\zeta)}}{\zeta - z} d\xi d\eta + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{W(\zeta) d\zeta}{\zeta - z}, \qquad (4)
$$

 $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$, but those iterations have only symbolic meaning and no practical validity to solve the given system; they only give one way of qualitative judgement of the solution.

This is the main reason why we now give one direct approach to solving the equation (1) in the form of an explicit formula, which is a generalization of quadratures, where the solution will depend only on coefficients, using the method of areolar series.

Theorem 1. Incomplete homogeneous I. N. Vecua equation with conjugation of the unknown function ^W, and with analytic coecient A(z) which depends only on a complex variable

$$
\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{z}} = A(z)\overline{W},\tag{5}
$$

 h us general solution in the form of series which depends on $A(z)$, $A(z)$ and $\mathbf{Y}(z)$, \cdots where the last function is analytic only on z and has the role of an arbitrary integration constant:

$$
W(z,\bar{z}) = \Phi(z) + A(z) \left[\int \overline{\Phi} \, d\bar{z} + \int \overline{A} \, d\bar{z} \int \Phi \, dz + \right. \\
\left. + \int \overline{A} \, d\bar{z} \int A \, dz \int \overline{\Phi} \, d\bar{z} + \int \overline{A} \, d\bar{z} \int A \, dz \int \overline{A} \, d\bar{z} \int \Phi \, dz + \right. \\
\left. + \cdots + \int \overline{A} \, d\bar{z} \int A \, dz \int \overline{A} \, d\bar{z} \int A \, dz \cdots \int \Phi(z) \, dz + \cdots \right].
$$
\n(6)

The formula was proved for the rst time by M. Canak [6] (see also [4]). A proof by the method of areolar series is given in the papers [3] and [4].

Taking the series

$$
A(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \qquad W(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} C_{p,q} z^p \bar{z}^q
$$

and using the fact that an areolar equation with analytic coefficients has only analytic solutions ([5], Cauchy type problem, proved by Dimitrovski-Ilijevski), we get the coefficients in polynomial form

$$
C_{p,q} = P_{p,q}(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k); \qquad k \leq p-1, q-1.
$$
 (7)

After the grouping and condensation of coefficients $C_{p,q}$ corresponding to the powers $z^r z^r$, it can be seen that it is possible to write these terms in the integral form as

$$
\int \overline{A} \, d\bar{z} \int A \, dz \int \overline{\Phi} \, d\bar{z},
$$

where the coefficient $C_{p,0}$ is arbitrary, i.e. it determines an arbitrary analytic function $\Phi(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} C_{p,0} z^p$.

The proof of these facts needs a detailed technical procedure and we think there is no need to reproduce it here.

The solution (6) satisfies the equation (5) where $A(z) = U(x, y) + iV(x, y)$ is an analytic function for which Cauchy-Riemann's conditions $U_x = V_y, U_y = -V_x$ are valid. This inspires us how to solve a more general Vecua equation. Let the equation

$$
\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{z}} = A(z, \bar{z})\overline{W} \tag{8}
$$

is given, where $A(z,\bar{z})$ is the given analytic function on z, \bar{z} . Since Cauchy-Riemann's condition on A need not be valid now, the problem is much more general. Also, the method of areolar series

$$
A(z,\bar{z}) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} a_{p,q} z^p \bar{z}^q; \qquad W(z,\bar{z}) = \sum_{p,q=0}^{\infty} C_{p,q} z^p \bar{z}^q
$$

and their summing, which would be natural to use here, too, is too much complicated and it would be hard to get the solution in the form (6). That is the reason why we shall use the operator method here.

Let \int^* denote the reverse operation to conjugated differentiation $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$, i.e.

$$
\frac{\partial W}{\partial \bar{z}} = F \iff W = \int^* F = \int^* A(z, \bar{z}) \overline{W}, \tag{9}
$$

where the righthand side of (9) is a complex integral equation. But as analytic (in wider sense) equation has an analytic solution (5), then it is

$$
W = \int A(z, \bar{z}) \overline{W} \, d\bar{z} + \Phi(z), \tag{10}
$$

where $\Phi(z)$ is an arbitrary analytic function, the integration constant.

THEOREM 2. The operator $\int^* F$ is a contraction operator, if F is an analytic μ unction, ac μ ncu in a simple bounded region D .

Proof. Denote the righthand side of (10) by $T(W) = \int A \overline{W} d\overline{z} + \Phi(z)$ and we obtain

$$
|TW_1 - TW_2| = \left| \int A \overline{W}_1 \, d\overline{z} + \Phi - \int A \overline{W}_2 \, d\overline{z} - \Phi \right| < \int |A(z, \overline{z})| \cdot |\overline{W}_1 - \overline{W}_2| \cdot |d\overline{z}|
$$

$$
\leq \max_{D} |A| \cdot \max_{D} |\overline{W}_1 - \overline{W}_2| \cdot |z| < M m h,
$$

where $M = \max_D |A(z, \bar{z})|$, $m = \max_D |\overline{W_1 - W_2}|$, $h = \max_D |z|$. Iterating we get $|T^2W_1 - T^2W_2| = |T(TW_1) - T(TW_2)| = |T(TW_1 - TW_2)|$

$$
\leqslant \int |A(z,\bar{z})| \cdot |\overline{TW_1 - TW_2}| \cdot |d\bar{z}| = \int |A| \left(\int |\overline{A(z,\bar{z})}| \cdot |W_1 - W_2| \cdot |d\bar{z}| \right) \cdot |d\bar{z}|
$$

$$
< (\max_D |A|)^2 \cdot \max_D |W_1 - W_2| \iint |d\bar{z}|^2 < M^2 m \frac{h^2}{2!}
$$

and so on. By induction we obtain that

$$
|T^nW_1 - T^nW_2| \leqslant M^n m \frac{h^n}{n!},
$$

and as we can choose n so that $(Mh)^n/n!$ becomes arbitrary small, we can obtain that

$$
||T^nW_1 - T^nW_2|| \leqslant q||W_1 - W_2||
$$

with $q < 1$, that is the operator T determined by (10) is a contraction operator.

THEOREM 3. Vecua equation (8) has the general solution written in the form of the third approximation

$$
W = W_3 = \Phi + \overline{\Phi} \int A(z, \bar{z}) d\bar{z} + \int A(z, \bar{z}) d\bar{z} \int \overline{A} \Phi(z) dz
$$

+
$$
\int A(z, \bar{z}) d\bar{z} \int \overline{A}(z, \bar{z}) \overline{\Phi}(z) dz \int A(z, \bar{z}) d\bar{z} + R_3,
$$
 (11)

where the residue has the form

$$
R_3 = \int A \, d\bar{z} \int \overline{A} \, dz \int A \, d\bar{z} \int \overline{A} W(z, \bar{z}) \, dz.
$$

Proof. Starting with iterations, from (10) we get W1 ⁼ Report of the contract of the \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} are defined as \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{N} where $\overline{W} = \overline{W}_0$ is an arbitrary initial value. Now define the second approximation W2 ⁼ **Report Following Services** $\mathcal{A}=\{x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},\dots,\dots,x_{n-1},\dots,\dots,\mathcal{A} \}$

$$
W_2 = \int \overline{A} \left(\int \overline{A} \overline{W} \, d\overline{z} + \overline{\Phi} \right) d\overline{z} + \Phi = \int A \int [AW \, dz + \overline{\Phi}] \, d\overline{z} + \Phi
$$

$$
= \Phi + \overline{\Phi} \int A \, d\overline{z} + \int A \, d\overline{z} \int \overline{A}W \, dz.
$$

In the same way we define

$$
W_3 = \Phi + \overline{\Phi} \int A \, d\overline{z} \int \overline{A} W_2 \, dz
$$

and so on. We can go arbitrary far in this direction. The process converges by the fixed point principle, usnig the analyticity assumed. \blacksquare

This inspire us to solve the general equation (1) with arbitrary analytic coef ficients. Introducing the operator \int^* , and starting from (1), we have

$$
W = \int^* (AW + B\overline{W} + F)
$$

and since an analytic equation of the first order has an analytic solution $W(z, \bar{z}, A, B, F)$ we get

$$
W = \int (AW + B\overline{W} + F) \, d\overline{z} + \Phi.
$$

But, since

$$
\overline{W} = \int \overline{(AW + B\overline{W} + F) \, dz + \Phi} = \int (\overline{AW} + \overline{B}W + \overline{F}) \, dz + \overline{\Phi},
$$

by iteration it is easy to obtain the following

THEOREM 4. The Vecua equation (1) with analytical coefficients has the following representation of the general solution in the form of series of integrals of the $coefficients$ and arbitrary integration elements:

$$
W(z,\bar{z}) = \Phi + \int A\Phi \, d\bar{z} + \int A \, d\bar{z} \int A\Phi \, d\bar{z} + \int A \, d\bar{z} \int A \, d\bar{z} \int A\Phi \, d\bar{z}
$$

$$
+ \cdots + \int B\overline{\Phi} \, dz + \int B \, d\bar{z} \int \overline{B\Phi} \, d\bar{z} + \int B \, d\bar{z} \int \overline{B} \, dz \int \overline{B} \Phi \, dz
$$

$$
+ \cdots + \int A \, d\bar{z} \int B\overline{\Phi} \, d\bar{z} \int B \, d\bar{z} \int \overline{A\Phi} \, dz + \int A \, d\bar{z} \int A \, dz \int B\overline{\Phi} \, d\bar{z}
$$

$$
+ \int B \, d\bar{z} \int \overline{B} \, dz \int \overline{A\Phi} \, d\bar{z} + \cdots + \int F \, d\bar{z} + \int A \, d\bar{z} \int F \, d\bar{z}
$$

$$
+ \int B \, d\bar{z} \int \overline{F} \, dz + \int A \, d\bar{z} \int A \, d\bar{z} \int F \, d\bar{z} + \int B \, d\bar{z} \int \overline{B} \, dz \int F \, d\bar{z} + \cdots \quad (12)
$$

Or, stated in another way:

THEOREM 5. The solution of Vecua equation can be written as a sum of four summands

$$
W(z, \bar{z}) = W_{A, \Phi} + W_{B, \Phi} + W_{A, B, \Phi} + W_{A, B, F}
$$
\n⁽¹³⁾

whose forms are discussed in the formula (2), which can see that each of the can see uence to the solution of the solution and included the solution, which is an included the solution, which is a arbitrary analytic function in the role of the integration constant.

We see that there is no part with F, Φ , i.e. $W_{F,\Phi} = 0$, and so it follows:

THEOREM 6. Unhomogeneity F has no influence to the form of the general

Applications. As Carlemann's system (3) can be easily reduced to (1), where A, B, F simply depend on a, b, c, d, f, g , a great number of systems (3) of elyptic type with analytic coefficients can be solved through the functions

$$
U(x, y) = \text{Re } W, \quad V(x, y) = \text{Im } W
$$

in the sense of general solution, where $\Phi(z) = \alpha(x, y) + i\beta(x, y)$, and where α and β are arbitrary real harmonic functions which fulfill the Cauchy-Riemann's conditions $\alpha_x = \beta_y, \, \alpha_x = -\beta_y.$

By elimination of U (or V), a great number of partial equations of the second

$$
\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2} + P \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + Q \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + RU = S
$$

can be solved, too (and, similarly, equations depending on the conjugated function $V(x, y)$.

Conclusion. A simple procedure, formal-mathematical and iterative, solve Vecua equation easier than it was done in the well-known article [2], through an integral symmetrical formula, which issimple for appraisal and approximation, which we didn't find in literature.

REMARK. Fundamental theorem 3 is also formulated in a different way in $[7]$.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. N. Vecua, Obobshchenie analiticheskie funkcii, Nauka, Moskva 1988.
- [2] I. N. Vecua, Sistemy differencial'nyh uravnenii ellipticheskogo tipa i granichnye zadachi s primeneniem v teorii obolochek, Mat. Sbornik 31 (73), 2 (1952), 217-314.
- [3] B. Ilijevski, Nekoi analitichki reshenia na nekoi klasi ravenki od tip I. N. Vekua, Mat. Bilten Drushvoto mat. inf. R. Makedonija 14 (XL) (1990), 79-86.
- [4] B. Ilijevski, Linearni areolarni ravenki, Ph. D. thesis, Skopje 1992.
- [5] D. Dimitrovski, B. Ilijevski, L'équation différentielle aréolaire analytique, Prilozi MANU, sec. Math. Techn., V , 1-2 (1984), 25-39.
- [6] M. Čanak, Systeme von Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung vom elliptischen Typus mit analytischen Koeffizienten und Methode der verallgemeinerten areolären Reihen, Publ. de l'Inst. Math. 33 (47) (1983), 35-39.
- [7] M. Čanak, Über Existenz und Einzigheit der Lösung einer areolären Differentialgleichung erster Ordnung, Math. Balcanica $8, 5$ (1978), 43-48.

(received 21.01.1997, in revised form 29.09.1997.)

Miloje Rajovic, Dept. of Mathematics, Technical Faculty, Kraljevo, Yugoslavia Dragan Dimitrovski, Mathematical Institute, Faculty of Sciences, 91000 Skop je, Rep. of Macedonia, P.O.Box 162

Rade Stojiljkovic, High Pedagogical School, Gnjilane, Yugoslavia