A STUDY ON GENERALIZED RICCI 2-RECURRENT SPACES

U. C. De and S. Bandyopadhyay

Abstract. The object of the present paper is to study some properties of generalized Ricci 2-recurrent spaces. At first it is proved that every 3-dimensional generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space is a generalized 2-recurrent space. In section 3, it is shown that for such a space Ricciprincipal invariant is $1/2R$. In section 4 we find a necessary condition for such a space to be a Ricci-recurrent space. Next it is proved that a conformally symmetric Ricci 2-recurrent space is a generalized 2-recurrent space and a conformally symmetric generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space with definite metric and zero scalar curvature can not exist. Lastly an example of a generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space is also constructed.

1. Preliminaries

A non flat Riemanian space V_n $(n>3)$ is called a generalized 2-recurrent space [1] if its curvature tensor satises

$$
R_{hijk,lm} = \lambda_m R_{hijk,l} + a_{lm} R_{hijk} \tag{1.1}
$$

where a_{lm} is non-zero and a comma denotes covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g_{ij} . λ_m and a_{lm} are called its vector and tensor of recurrence. Such a space has been denoted by $G(2k_n)$. In generalizing this concept we intend to study Riemannian space whose Ricci tensor is non-zero and satisfies a relation of the form

$$
R_{ij,lm} = \lambda_m R_{ij,l} + a_{lm} R_{ij}
$$
\n
$$
(1.2)
$$

where λ_m and a_{lm} have the same meaning as before. Such a space shall be called a generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space and will be denoted by $G(2R_n)$. If in particular, $\lambda_m = 0$, then the space reduces to a Ricci 2-recurrent space introduced by Chaki and Roychowdhary [2]. In 1952, Patterson [3] introduced a type of Riemannian space V_n $(n \geq 3)$ the Ricci tensor of which satisfies $R_{ij,k} = \lambda_k R_{ij}$ and $R_{ij} \neq 0$ for some non-zero vector λ_k . He called such a space Ricci-recurrent and denoted an *n*-dimensional space of this kind by R_n . Now from (1.1) and (1.2) it is easily seen that every $G(2k_n)$ is a $G(2R_n)$, but the converse is not in general true. Here we prove that every $G(2R_3)$ is a $G(2k_3)$. According to Chaki and Gupta [4], an

AMS Subject Classification: $53C20$

n-dimensional $(n > 3)$ Riemannian space is called conformally symmetric if its Weyl's conformal curvature tensor

$$
C_{ijk}^h = R_{ijk}^h - \frac{1}{n-2} (g_{ij} R_k^h - g_{ik} R_j^h + \delta_k^h R_{ij} - \delta_j^h R_{ik}) + \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} (\delta_k^h g_{ij} - \delta_j^h g_{ik})
$$
\n(1.3)

satisfies

$$
C_{ijk,l}^h = 0 \tag{1.4}
$$

where R is the scalar curvature.

In the present paper we consider $G(2R_n)$ for $n>3$.

2. 3-dimensional generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space

It is known [5] that for a V_3

$$
R_{hijk} = g_{hk}\pi_{ij} - g_{hj}\pi_{ik} + g_{ij}\pi_{hk} - g_{ik}\pi_{hj}
$$
\n
$$
(2.1)
$$

where

$$
\pi_{ij} = (R_{ij} - \frac{R}{4}g_{ij}).\tag{2.2}
$$

Now for a $G(2R_3)$ we have

$$
R_{ij,lm} = \lambda_m R_{ij,l} + a_{lm} R_{ij}.
$$
\n(2.3)

Transvecting (2.3) with g^{ij} we get

$$
R_{,lm} = \lambda_m R_{,l} + a_{lm} R. \tag{2.4}
$$

From (2.2) we have by virtue of (2.3) and (2.4)

$$
\pi_{ij,lm} - \lambda_m \pi_{ij,l} - a_{lm} \pi_{ij} = R_{ij,lm} - \lambda_m R_{ij,l} - a_{lm} R_{ij} - (R_{,lm} - \lambda_m R_{,l} - a_{lm} R) \frac{g_{ij}}{4} = 0
$$

or $\pi_{ij,lm} = \lambda_m \pi_{ij,l} + a_{lm} \pi_{ij}$. Therefore from (2.1) it follows that

$$
R_{h\,ijk,lm} = \lambda_m R_{h\,ijk,l} + a_{lm} R_{h\,ijk}.
$$

Thus we can state the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. Every $G(2R_3)$ is a $G(2k_3)$.

3. Tensor of recurrence and Ricci principal invariant in a $G(2R_n)$ with non-zero scalar curvature

We see from (2.4) that if R is constant, then $R = 0$ for $a_{lm} \neq 0$. Again from (2.4)

$$
\lambda_m R_{,l} - \lambda_l R_{,m} + (a_{lm} - a_{ml})R = R_{,lm} - R_{,ml} = 0.
$$

Hence if a_{lm} is symmetric, then λ_m , R_{jl} are co-directional.

From Bianchi identity we get

$$
R_{ijk,h}^h + R_{ik,j} - R_{ij,k} = 0.
$$
\n(3.1)

Covariant differentiation of (5.1) gives $R_{iik,hm} + R_{ik,jm} - R_{ij,km} = 0$. Now by virtue of (1.2)

$$
R_{ijk,hm}^h = a_{km}R_{ij} - a_{jm}R_{ik} + \lambda_m(R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j}).
$$
\n(3.2)

Trnsvecting (3.2) with g^{ij} and using the formula $\kappa_{i,r} = \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{i,i}$ we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{2}R_{,km} = a_{km}R - a_{jm}R_k^j + \frac{\lambda_m}{2}R_{,k}
$$
\n(3.3)

whence

$$
a_{jm}R_k^j = \frac{1}{2}a_{km}R.\tag{3.4}
$$

Now by the similar argument as in [2] we get the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. In a $G(2R_n)$ with non-zero scalar curvature the tensor of recurrence a_{lm} is not symmetric in general and its rank is less than n. Also a_{lm} is symmetric if and only if λ_m and $R_{,l}$ are co-directional. Further, for such a space, one Kicci principal invariant is $\frac{1}{2}$ K.

4. $G(2R_n)$ $(R \neq 0)$ of definite metric

In this section we consider a $G(2R_n)$ with non-zero scalar curvature for which

$$
R^{ij}R_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}R^2
$$
 (4.1)

holds. Then from (4.1) it follows $2R^{ij}R_{ij,l} = RR_{jl}$. Differentiating both sides of the previous equation covariantly, we get

$$
R_{,m}^{ij}R_{ij,l} + R^{ij}R_{ij,lm} = \frac{1}{2}R_{,l}R_{,m} + \frac{1}{2}RR_{,lm}.
$$
 (4.2)

But

$$
R^{ij}R_{ij,lm} = R^{ij}(a_{lm}R_{ij} + \lambda_m R_{ij,l}) = a_{lm}R^{ij}R_{ij} + \lambda_m R^{ij}R_{ij,l}
$$

= $\frac{1}{2}a_{lm}R^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_m RR_{,l} = \frac{1}{2}R(a_{lm}R + \lambda_m R_{,l}) = \frac{1}{2}RR_{,lm}.$

By virtue of this, (4.2) reduces to $K_{,m}^s K_{ij,l} = \frac{1}{2} K_{,l} K_{,m}$. Put $S_{ijk} = K_{ij,k} - \lambda_k K_{ij}$, where $\lambda_k = R_k / R$. Then

$$
S^{ijk} S_{ijk} = g^{mk} R_{,m}^{ij} R_{ij,k} - \bar{\lambda}_m g^{mk} R^{ij} R_{ij,k} - \lambda_k g^{mk} R^{hl} R_{hl,m} + g^{mk} \bar{\lambda}_m \bar{\lambda}_k R^{ij} R_{ij}
$$

= $\frac{1}{2} g^{mk} R_{,m} R_{,k} - g^{mk} \bar{\lambda}_m R R_{,k} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\lambda}_m \bar{\lambda}_k g^{mk} R^2 = 0.$ (4.3)

If the space is of definite metric, then (4.3) gives $S_{ijk} = 0$, whence $R_{ijk} =$ $\lambda_k \mu_{ij}$. We can uncrefore state the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. Every $G(2R_n)$ of definite metric whose scalar curvature is different from zero and for which $K^{\circ}K_{ij}=\frac{1}{2}K^{\ast},$ is an $K_{n}.$

5. Conformally symmetric $G(2R_n)$

It is well known that for a conformally symmetric Riemannian space, it holds

$$
R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (R_{,k} g_{ij} - R_{,j} g_{ik}).
$$
\n(5.1)

Let us suppose that a $G(2R_n)$ with $R \neq 0$, is conformally symmetric. The conformal curvature tensor can be written in the form

$$
C_{hijk} = R_{hijk} - D_{hijk} \tag{5.2}
$$

where

$$
D_{hijk} = \pi_{hk} g_{ij} - \pi_{hj} G_{ik} + \pi_{ij} g_{hk} - \pi_{ik} g_{hj}, \qquad (5.3)
$$

$$
\pi_{ij} = \left(R_{ij} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)}g_{ij}\right). \tag{5.4}
$$

Now

$$
R_{hijk,l} - D_{hijk,l} = C_{hijk,l} = 0.
$$
\n(5.5)

On account of (5.1) and (5.4) ,

$$
\pi_{ij,k} - \pi_{ik,j} = \frac{1}{n-2} \left[R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (R_{,j} g_{ik} - R_{,k} g_{ij}) \right] = 0.
$$

Hence

$$
\pi_{ij,k} = \pi_{ik,j}.\tag{5.6}
$$

From (5.4) we have as a consequence of (1.2)

$$
\pi_{ij,kl} = a_{kl}\pi_{ij} + \lambda_l \pi_{ij,k}.\tag{5.7}
$$

Now (5.5) gives

$$
R_{hijk,lm} = D_{hijk,lm}.\tag{5.8}
$$

On account of (5.8) and (5.3) we have $R_{hijk,lm} = a_{lm}R_{hijk} + \lambda_m R_{hijk,l}$. Also equations (5.6) and (5.7) give $a_{kl}\pi_{ij} = a_{ij}\pi_{ik}$. Multiplying both sides by g^{kl} we get $\sigma \pi_{ii} = a_{ii} g \pi_{ik}$ where $\sigma = g \sigma_{kl}$.

Now considering a_{ij} is symmetric we obtain

$$
\theta R_{ij} = \frac{R}{2} \frac{n-2}{n-1} a_{ij} + \frac{R}{2} \frac{\theta}{n-1} g_{ij}.
$$
 (5.9)

Multiplying the above equation by R^{ij} we have

$$
\theta R_{ij} R^{ij} = \frac{R}{2} \frac{n-2}{n-1} a_{ij} R^{ij} + \frac{R \theta}{2(n-1)} g_{ij} R^{ij}.
$$
 (5.10)

But multiplying (3.5) by g^{mn} we obtain $a_{jm}R^{jm} = \frac{1}{2}R\theta$. Hence (5.10) gives $\theta R_{ij}R^{ij} = \frac{nR \theta}{4(n-1)}$. Since $R \neq 0$, if $\theta = 0$, (5.10) would give $a_{ij} = 0$. Hence $\theta \neq 0$. Therefore $R_{ij}R^{ij} = \frac{nR^{2}}{(1-\theta)^{2}}$. Therefore $4(n-1)$. Thus we get

THEOREM 4. A conformally symmetric $G(2R_n)$ is a $G(2k_n)$ and when the tensor of recurrence is symmetric then the length of Ricci tensor is $\frac{n\hbar^2}{\sqrt{m}}$. $4(n-1)$

Now by covariant differentiation of (5.1) it follows

$$
R_{ij,kl} - R_{ik,jl} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (R_{,kl}g_{ij} - R_{,jl}g_{ik}).
$$
\n(5.11)

By virtue of (2.4) and (1.2) the equation (5.11) reduces to the form

$$
a_{kl} \left(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} R g_{ij} \right) + \lambda_l \left(R_{ij,k} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} R_{,k} g_{ij} \right) =
$$

=
$$
a_{jl} \left(R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} R g_{ik} \right) + \lambda_l \left(R_{ik,j} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)} R_{,j} g_{ik} \right).
$$

Hence on account of (5.1) we obtain

$$
a_{kl}\left(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ij}\right) = a_{il}\left(R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ik}\right).
$$
 (5.12)

Transvecting (5.12) with R_p^j and using the relation (3.5),

$$
a_{kl}\left(R_{ri}R_p^r - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}RR_{ip}\right) = \frac{1}{2}Ra_{pl}\left(R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ik}\right).
$$

But it follows from (5.12) that

$$
\frac{1}{2}R\left(R_{ik}-\frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ik}\right)a_{pl}=\frac{1}{2}R\left(R_{ip}-\frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ip}\right)a_{kl}.
$$

Hence

$$
\left(R_{ri}R_p^r - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}RR_{ip}\right)a_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}R\left(R_{ip}\frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ip}\right)a_{kl}.
$$

Therefore

$$
R_{ri}R_p^r = \frac{n}{2(n-1)}RR_{ip} - \frac{1}{4(n-1)}R^2g_{ip}.
$$
\n(5.13)

Now if $R = 0$, (5.13) reduces to $R_{ri}R_p^r = 0$ or $R^{ri}R_{ri} = 0$ (by contraction with g^{ip}). So, for definite metric $R_{ij} = 0$, which is not possible. Hence we obtain the following theorem:

THEOREM 5. A conformally symmetric generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space with definite metric and zero scalar curvature can not exist.

6. Example of a generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space

For this section let the greek index runs over 2, 3, \dots , $n-1$ and the latin index runs over $1, 2, \ldots, n$, we define the metric q in $K^*, n \geq 4$ by the formula [6]

$$
ds^2 = Q(dx^1)^2 + K_{\alpha\beta}dx^{\alpha}dx^{\beta} + 2dx^1dx^n
$$
\n(6.1)

where $[K_{\alpha\beta}]$ is a symmetric and non-singular matrix consisting of constants, and Q is independent of x^{\ldots} .

The only components of Christoffel symbols R_{hijk} , R_{ij} , not identically zero are those related to

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\begin{Bmatrix}\n\lambda \\
11\n\end{Bmatrix} &= -\frac{1}{2} K^{\alpha\beta} Q.\beta, \quad\n\begin{Bmatrix}\nn \\
11\n\end{Bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} Q.\mathbf{1}, \quad\n\begin{Bmatrix}\nn \\
1\alpha\n\end{Bmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} Q.\alpha, \\
R_{1\alpha\beta 1} &= \frac{1}{2} Q.\alpha\beta, \quad R_{11} = -\frac{1}{2} K^{\alpha\beta} Q.\alpha\beta\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(6.2)

where $|\Lambda^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}| = |\Lambda_{\alpha}{}_{\beta}|$

Let $Q = K_{\alpha\beta} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta} e^{2x}$ where

$$
[K_{\alpha\beta}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.
$$

So $[K^{\alpha\beta}] = [K_{\alpha\beta}]$. Now

$$
K_{\alpha\beta}K^{\alpha\beta} = n - 2, \quad Q.\alpha\beta = 2K_{\alpha\beta}e^{2x^1}, \quad Q.\alpha\beta\nu = 0, \quad K^{\alpha\beta}Q.\alpha\beta = 2(n - 2)e^{2x^1}.
$$
\n(6.3)

Hence from (6.2) and (6.3) the only non zero components of R_{ij} , $R_{ij,l}$, $R_{ij,lm}$ are

$$
R_{11} = (n-2)e^{2x^1}
$$
, $R_{11,1} = 2(n-2)e^{2x^1}$, $R_{11,11} = 4(n-2)e^{2x^1}$.

 $S\subset T$ - R11;11 $S\subset T$ - R11;1 + 2R11. Hence Rij;lm R and R where R is a matrix R where R \sim and \sim \sim \sim \sim $\{2, \text{ for } l = m = 1, \ldots \}$ 0, otherwise, $\begin{array}{c} 0, & \text{other}} \\ 0, & \text{other} \\ 0, & \text{other}$ $1, \text{ for } m = 1,$ $0, \text{ otherwise.}$ Ricci 2-recurrent space.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. K. Roy, On generalized 2-recurrent tensors in Riemannian spaces, Bull. Acad. Roy. Belg. Cl Sc. 5e ser. 58 (1972), 220-228.
- [2] M. C. Chaki and A. N. Roychowdhury, On Ricci-recurrent spaces of 2nd order, Indian Jour. Math. 9, 2 (1967), 279-287.
- [3] E. M. Patterson, Some theorems on Ricci-recurrent spaces, J. London Math. Soc. 27 (1952), 287-295.
- [4] M. C. Chaki and B. Gupta, On conformally symmetric spaces, Indian Jour. Math. 5 (1963), 113-122.
- [5] T. J. Willmore, An Introduction to Differential Geometry, Oxford Univ. Press, 1959.
- [6] W. Gryeak and M. Hotlos, On the existence of certain types of Riemannian matrices, Colloq. Math. 47, 1 (1982), 31-37.

(received 12.02.1998.)

Department of Mathematics, Kalyani University, Kalyani, India