A STUDY ON GENERALIZED RICCI 2-RECURRENT SPACES

U. C. De and S. Bandyopadhyay

Abstract. The object of the present paper is to study some properties of generalized Ricci 2-recurrent spaces. At first it is proved that every 3-dimensional generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space is a generalized 2-recurrent space. In section 3, it is shown that for such a space Ricci-principal invariant is 1/2R. In section 4 we find a necessary condition for such a space to be a Ricci-recurrent space. Next it is proved that a conformally symmetric Ricci 2-recurrent space is a generalized 2-recurrent space and a conformally symmetric generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space with definite metric and zero scalar curvature can not exist. Lastly an example of a generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space.

1. Preliminaries

A non flat Riemanian space V_n (n > 3) is called a generalized 2-recurrent space [1] if its curvature tensor satisfies

$$R_{hijk,lm} = \lambda_m R_{hijk,l} + a_{lm} R_{hijk} \tag{1.1}$$

where a_{lm} is non-zero and a comma denotes covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g_{ij} . λ_m and a_{lm} are called its vector and tensor of recurrence. Such a space has been denoted by $G(2k_n)$. In generalizing this concept we intend to study Riemannian space whose Ricci tensor is non-zero and satisfies a relation of the form

$$R_{ij,lm} = \lambda_m R_{ij,l} + a_{lm} R_{ij} \tag{1.2}$$

where λ_m and a_{lm} have the same meaning as before. Such a space shall be called a generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space and will be denoted by $G(2R_n)$. If in particular, $\lambda_m = 0$, then the space reduces to a Ricci 2-recurrent space introduced by Chaki and Roychowdhary [2]. In 1952, Patterson [3] introduced a type of Riemannian space V_n $(n \ge 3)$ the Ricci tensor of which satisfies $R_{ij,k} = \lambda_k R_{ij}$ and $R_{ij} \ne 0$ for some non-zero vector λ_k . He called such a space Ricci-recurrent and denoted an *n*-dimensional space of this kind by R_n . Now from (1.1) and (1.2) it is easily seen that every $G(2R_n)$ is a $G(2R_n)$, but the converse is not in general true. Here we prove that every $G(2R_3)$ is a $G(2R_3)$. According to Chaki and Gupta [4], an

AMS Subject Classification: 53 C 20

n-dimensional (n > 3) Riemannian space is called conformally symmetric if its Weyl's conformal curvature tensor

$$C_{ijk}^{h} = R_{ijk}^{h} - \frac{1}{n-2} (g_{ij}R_{k}^{h} - g_{ik}R_{j}^{h} + \delta_{k}^{h}R_{ij} - \delta_{j}^{h}R_{ik}) + \frac{R}{(n-1)(n-2)} (\delta_{k}^{h}g_{ij} - \delta_{j}^{h}g_{ik})$$
(1.3)

satisfies

$$C^h_{ijk,l} = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

where R is the scalar curvature.

In the present paper we consider $G(2R_n)$ for n > 3.

2. 3-dimensional generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space

It is known [5] that for a V_3

$$R_{hijk} = g_{hk}\pi_{ij} - g_{hj}\pi_{ik} + g_{ij}\pi_{hk} - g_{ik}\pi_{hj}$$
(2.1)

where

$$\pi_{ij} = (R_{ij} - \frac{R}{4}g_{ij}). \tag{2.2}$$

Now for a $G(2R_3)$ we have

$$R_{ij,lm} = \lambda_m R_{ij,l} + a_{lm} R_{ij}. \tag{2.3}$$

Transvecting (2.3) with g^{ij} we get

$$R_{,lm} = \lambda_m R_{,l} + a_{lm} R. \tag{2.4}$$

From (2.2) we have by virtue of (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\pi_{ij,lm} - \lambda_m \pi_{ij,l} - a_{lm} \pi_{ij} = R_{ij,lm} - \lambda_m R_{ij,l} - a_{lm} R_{ij} - (R_{,lm} - \lambda_m R_{,l} - a_{lm} R) \frac{g_{ij}}{4} = 0$$

or $\pi_{ij,lm} = \lambda_m \pi_{ij,l} + a_{lm} \pi_{ij}$. Therefore from (2.1) it follows that

$$R_{hijk,lm} = \lambda_m R_{hijk,l} + a_{lm} R_{hijk}$$

Thus we can state the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. Every $G(2R_3)$ is a $G(2k_3)$.

3. Tensor of recurrence and Ricci principal invariant in a $G(2R_n)$ with non-zero scalar curvature

We see from (2.4) that if R is constant, then R = 0 for $a_{lm} \neq 0$. Again from (2.4)

$$\lambda_m R_{,l} - \lambda_l R_{,m} + (a_{lm} - a_{ml})R = R_{,lm} - R_{,ml} = 0.$$

Hence if a_{lm} is symmetric, then λ_m , $R_{,l}$ are co-directional.

From Bianchi identity we get

$$R_{ijk,h}^{h} + R_{ik,j} - R_{ij,k} = 0. ag{3.1}$$

Covariant differentiation of (3.1) gives $R_{ijk,hm}^{h} + R_{ik,jm} - R_{ij,km} = 0$. Now by virtue of (1.2)

$$R^{h}_{ijk,hm} = a_{km}R_{ij} - a_{jm}R_{ik} + \lambda_m(R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j}).$$
(3.2)

Trnsvecting (3.2) with g^{ij} and using the formula $R^r_{i,r} = \frac{1}{2}R_{,i}$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}R_{,km} = a_{km}R - a_{jm}R_k^j + \frac{\lambda_m}{2}R_{,k}$$
(3.3)

whence

$$a_{jm}R_k^j = \frac{1}{2}a_{km}R.$$
 (3.4)

Now by the similar argument as in [2] we get the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. In a $G(2R_n)$ with non-zero scalar curvature the tensor of recurrence a_{lm} is not symmetric in general and its rank is less than n. Also a_{lm} is symmetric if and only if λ_m and $R_{,l}$ are co-directional. Further, for such a space, one Ricci principal invariant is $\frac{1}{2}R$.

4. $G(2R_n)$ $(R \neq 0)$ of definite metric

In this section we consider a $G(2R_n)$ with non-zero scalar curvature for which

$$R^{ij}R_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}R^2 \tag{4.1}$$

holds. Then from (4.1) it follows $2R^{ij}R_{ij,l} = RR_{,l}$. Differentiating both sides of the previous equation covariantly, we get

$$R_{,m}^{ij}R_{ij,l} + R^{ij}R_{ij,lm} = \frac{1}{2}R_{,l}R_{,m} + \frac{1}{2}RR_{,lm}.$$
(4.2)

But

$$\begin{aligned} R^{ij}R_{ij,lm} &= R^{ij}(a_{lm}R_{ij} + \lambda_m R_{ij,l}) = a_{lm}R^{ij}R_{ij} + \lambda_m R^{ij}R_{ij,l} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}a_{lm}R^2 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_m RR_{,l} = \frac{1}{2}R(a_{lm}R + \lambda_m R_{,l}) = \frac{1}{2}RR_{,lm}. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of this, (4.2) reduces to $R_{,m}^{ij}R_{ij,l} = \frac{1}{2}R_{,l}R_{,m}$. Put $S_{ijk} = R_{ij,k} - \bar{\lambda}_k R_{ij}$, where $\bar{\lambda}_k = R_{,k}/R$. Then

$$S^{ijk}S_{ijk} = g^{mk}R^{ij}_{,m}R_{ij,k} - \bar{\lambda}_m g^{mk}R^{ij}R_{ij,k} - \lambda_k g^{mk}R^{hl}R_{hl,m} + g^{mk}\bar{\lambda}_m\bar{\lambda}_k R^{ij}R_{ij}$$

= $\frac{1}{2}g^{mk}R_{,m}R_{,k} - g^{mk}\bar{\lambda}_m RR_{,k} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\lambda}_m\bar{\lambda}_k g^{mk}R^2 = 0.$ (4.3)

If the space is of definite metric, then (4.3) gives $S_{ijk} = 0$, whence $R_{ij,k} = \bar{\lambda}_k R_{ij}$. We can therefore state the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. Every $G(2R_n)$ of definite metric whose scalar curvature is different from zero and for which $R^{ij}R_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}R^2$, is an R_n .

5. Conformally symmetric $G(2R_n)$

It is well known that for a conformally symmetric Riemannian space, it holds

$$R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (R_{,k}g_{ij} - R_{,j}g_{ik}).$$
(5.1)

Let us suppose that a $G(2R_n)$ with $R \neq 0$, is conformally symmetric. The conformal curvature tensor can be written in the form

$$C_{hijk} = R_{hijk} - D_{hijk} \tag{5.2}$$

where

$$D_{hijk} = \pi_{hk} g_{ij} - \pi_{hj} G_{ik} + \pi_{ij} g_{hk} - \pi_{ik} g_{hj}, \qquad (5.3)$$

$$\pi_{ij} = \left(R_{ij} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)} g_{ij} \right).$$
 (5.4)

Now

$$R_{hijk,l} - D_{hijk,l} = C_{hijk,l} = 0. (5.5)$$

On account of (5.1) and (5.4),

$$\pi_{ij,k} - \pi_{ik,j} = \frac{1}{n-2} \left[R_{ij,k} - R_{ik,j} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (R_{,j}g_{ik} - R_{,k}g_{ij}) \right] = 0.$$

Hence

$$\pi_{ij,k} = \pi_{ik,j}.\tag{5.6}$$

From (5.4) we have as a consequence of (1.2)

$$\pi_{ij,kl} = a_{kl}\pi_{ij} + \lambda_l\pi_{ij,k}. \tag{5.7}$$

Now (5.5) gives

$$R_{hijk,lm} = D_{hijk,lm}. ag{5.8}$$

On account of (5.8) and (5.3) we have $R_{hijk,lm} = a_{lm}R_{hijk} + \lambda_m R_{hijk,l}$. Also equations (5.6) and (5.7) give $a_{kl}\pi_{ij} = a_{ij}\pi_{ik}$. Multiplying both sides by g^{kl} we get $\theta \pi_{ij} = a_{jl}g^{kl}\pi_{ik}$ where $\theta = g^{kl}a_{kl}$.

Now considering a_{ij} is symmetric we obtain

$$\theta R_{ij} = \frac{R}{2} \frac{n-2}{n-1} a_{ij} + \frac{R}{2} \frac{\theta}{n-1} g_{ij}.$$
(5.9)

Multiplying the above equation by R^{ij} we have

$$\theta R_{ij} R^{ij} = \frac{R}{2} \frac{n-2}{n-1} a_{ij} R^{ij} + \frac{R\theta}{2(n-1)} g_{ij} R^{ij}.$$
(5.10)

But multiplying (3.5) by g^{km} we obtain $a_{jm}R^{jm} = \frac{1}{2}R\theta$. Hence (5.10) gives $\theta R_{ij}R^{ij} = \frac{nR^2\theta}{4(n-1)}$. Since $R \neq 0$, if $\theta = 0$, (5.10) would give $a_{ij} = 0$. Hence $\theta \neq 0$. Therefore $R_{ij}R^{ij} = \frac{nR^2}{4(n-1)}$. Thus we get

50

THEOREM 4. A conformally symmetric $G(2R_n)$ is a $G(2k_n)$ and when the tensor of recurrence is symmetric then the length of Ricci tensor is $\frac{nR^2}{4(n-1)}$.

Now by covariant differentiation of (5.1) it follows

$$R_{ij,kl} - R_{ik,jl} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (R_{,kl}g_{ij} - R_{,jl}g_{ik}).$$
(5.11)

By virtue of (2.4) and (1.2) the equation (5.11) reduces to the form

$$a_{kl}\left(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ij}\right) + \lambda_l\left(R_{ij,k} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}R_{,k}g_{ij}\right) = a_{jl}\left(R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ik}\right) + \lambda_l\left(R_{ik,j} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}R_{,j}g_{ik}\right).$$

Hence on account of (5.1) we obtain

$$a_{kl}\left(R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ij}\right) = a_{il}\left(R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ik}\right).$$
 (5.12)

Transvecting (5.12) with R_p^j and using the relation (3.5),

$$a_{kl}\left(R_{ri}R_{p}^{r}-\frac{1}{2(n-1)}RR_{ip}\right) = \frac{1}{2}Ra_{pl}\left(R_{ik}-\frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ik}\right).$$

But it follows from (5.12) that

$$\frac{1}{2}R\left(R_{ik} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ik}\right)a_{pl} = \frac{1}{2}R\left(R_{ip} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ip}\right)a_{kl}$$

Hence

$$\left(R_{ri}R_{p}^{r} - \frac{1}{2(n-1)}RR_{ip}\right)a_{kl} = \frac{1}{2}R\left(R_{ip}\frac{1}{2(n-1)}Rg_{ip}\right)a_{kl}.$$

Therefore

$$R_{ri}R_{p}^{r} = \frac{n}{2(n-1)}RR_{ip} - \frac{1}{4(n-1)}R^{2}g_{ip}.$$
(5.13)

Now if R = 0, (5.13) reduces to $R_{ri}R_p^r = 0$ or $R^{ri}R_{ri} = 0$ (by contraction with g^{ip}). So, for definite metric $R_{ij} = 0$, which is not possible. Hence we obtain the following theorem:

THEOREM 5. A conformally symmetric generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space with definite metric and zero scalar curvature can not exist.

6. Example of a generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space

For this section let the greek index runs over 2, 3, ..., n-1 and the latin index runs over 1, 2, ..., n. We define the metric g in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 4$ by the formula [6]

$$ds^{2} = Q(dx^{1})^{2} + K_{\alpha\beta}dx^{\alpha}dx^{\beta} + 2dx^{1}dx^{n}$$
(6.1)

where $[K_{\alpha\beta}]$ is a symmetric and non-singular matrix consisting of constants, and Q is independent of x^n .

The only components of Christoffel symbols R_{hijk} , R_{ij} , not identically zero are those related to

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \\ 11 \end{cases} = -\frac{1}{2} K^{\alpha\beta} Q.\beta, \quad \begin{cases} n \\ 11 \end{cases} = -\frac{1}{2} Q.1, \quad \begin{cases} n \\ 1\alpha \end{cases} = -\frac{1}{2} Q.\alpha, \\ R_{1\alpha\beta1} = \frac{1}{2} Q.\alpha\beta, \quad R_{11} = -\frac{1}{2} K^{\alpha\beta} Q.\alpha\beta \end{cases}$$
(6.2)

where $[K^{\alpha\beta}] = [K_{\alpha\beta}]^{-1}$.

Let $Q = K_{\alpha\beta} x^{\alpha} x^{\beta} e^{2x^1}$ where

$$[K_{\alpha\beta}] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So $[K^{\alpha\beta}] = [K_{\alpha\beta}]$. Now

$$K_{\alpha\beta}K^{\alpha\beta} = n - 2, \quad Q.\alpha\beta = 2K_{\alpha\beta}e^{2x^{1}}, \quad Q.\alpha\beta\nu = 0, \quad K^{\alpha\beta}Q.\alpha\beta = 2(n-2)e^{2x^{1}}.$$
(6.3)

Hence from (6.2) and (6.3) the only non zero components of R_{ij} , $R_{ij,l}$, $R_{ij,lm}$ are

$$R_{11} = (n-2)e^{2x^1}, \quad R_{11,1} = 2(n-2)e^{2x^1}, \quad R_{11,11} = 4(n-2)e^{2x^1}$$

So, $R_{11,11} = R_{11,1} + 2R_{11}$. Hence $R_{ij,lm} = a_{lm}R_{ij} + \lambda_m R_{ij,l}$ where $a_{lm} = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{for } l = m = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$ and $\lambda_m = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } m = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ Hence V_n is a generalized Ricci 2-recurrent space.

REFERENCES

- A. K. Roy, On generalized 2-recurrent tensors in Riemannian spaces, Bull. Acad. Roy. Belg. Cl Sc. 5e ser. 58 (1972), 220–228.
- [2] M. C. Chaki and A. N. Roychowdhury, On Ricci-recurrent spaces of 2nd order, Indian Jour. Math. 9, 2 (1967), 279-287.
- [3] E. M. Patterson, Some theorems on Ricci-recurrent spaces, J. London Math. Soc. 27 (1952), 287-295.
- [4] M. C. Chaki and B. Gupta, On conformally symmetric spaces, Indian Jour. Math. 5 (1963), 113-122.
- [5] T. J. Willmore, An Introduction to Differential Geometry, Oxford Univ. Press, 1959.
- [6] W. Gryeak and M. Hotlos, On the existence of certain types of Riemannian matrices, Colloq. Math. 47, 1 (1982), 31-37.

(received 12.02.1998.)

Department of Mathematics, Kalyani University, Kalyani, India